

CPSC staff drafted proposed guidance clarifying staff's informational requirements and process for evaluating NAMs and IATAs. As described in the proposed guidance, the types of information CPSC staff would use to evaluate NAMs or IATAs submitted to CPSC would include (but not be limited to): Concordance and reproducibility data; false positive and false negative rates; applicability domain; test endpoint; validation studies; or any other pertinent information needed to make a determination. The proposed guidance also includes an optional NAM nomination form, which can be used to organize information about a NAM or IATA for CPSC staff evaluation. Such non-animal alternative test methods, if accepted by CPSC, would be considered reliable test methods for determining compliance with the labeling requirements under the FHSA. Additionally, CPSC would continue to list CPSC-accepted alternative test methods on CPSC's website.

The proposed guidance is not a rule and does not establish legal requirements. The proposed guidance is intended to inform stakeholders about what information CPSC staff uses to evaluate NAMs or IATAs for FHSA labeling determinations. The proposed guidance also informs stakeholders of CPSC staff's process for evaluating that information. Depending on the complexity of specific NAMs or IATAs, the information discussed in the guidance may or may not apply; and in some instances, staff may need additional information not specifically described in the guidance document to make an evaluation. The proposed guidance is available at: <https://www.regulations.gov> under docket number, CPSC-2021-0006, under "Supporting and Related Material", on the Commission's website at: <https://cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/NOA-Proposed-Guidance-on-Alternative-Test-Methods-and-Integrated-Testing-Approaches.pdf?NDYVpNRIAMpOPJDPzlt770dvxnvpJHh6>, and from the CPSC's Division of the Secretariat, as provided in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

#### B. Request for Comments

The Commission invites comments on the "Proposed Guidance for Industry and Test Method Developers: CPSC Staff Evaluation of Alternative Test Methods and Integrated Testing Approaches and Data Generated from Such Methods to Support FHSA Labeling Requirements." The CPSC will consider all timely comments before finalizing the guidance. Comments should be submitted by June 14, 2021. Information on how to submit comments can be

found in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

**Alberta E. Mills,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2021-06567 Filed 3-30-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

---

### CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Application Package for AmeriCorps VISTA Application and Reporting Forms

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (operating as AmeriCorps) has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) entitled Application Package for AmeriCorps VISTA Application and Reporting Forms for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the individual and office listed in the ADDRESSES section by April 30, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling AmeriCorps, Kelly Daly at 202-606-6849 or by email to [kdaly@cns.gov](mailto:kdaly@cns.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions;

- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

#### Comments

A 60-day Notice requesting public comment was published in the **Federal Register** on November 30, 2020 at Vol. 85, No. 230, Page 76542. This comment period ended January 29, 2021. Zero public comments were received from this Notice.

*Title of Collection:* Application Package for AmeriCorps VISTA Application and Reporting Forms.

*OMB Control Number:* 3045-0038.

*Type of Review:* Renewal.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* Organizations and State, Local or Tribal Governments.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 850.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 20,450.

*Abstract:* AmeriCorps is revising its VISTA application and reporting forms to remove duplicative questions, improve readability, and reflect changes in reporting requirements, including a reduction in frequency of programmatic reporting.

Dated: March 25, 2021.

**Margery Ansara,**

*Director, AmeriCorps VISTA.*

[FR Doc. 2021-06574 Filed 3-30-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-P

---

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### Negotiation of a Renewal of the Reciprocal Defense Procurement Memorandum of Understanding with the Ministry of Defense of Japan

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** On behalf of the U.S. Government, DoD is contemplating a renewal of Reciprocal Defense Procurement Memorandum of Understanding with the Ministry of Defense of Japan. DoD is requesting industry feedback regarding its experience in public defense

procurements conducted by or on behalf of the Japanese Ministry of Defense or Armed Forces.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by April 30, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to Defense Pricing and Contracting, Attn: Mr. Gregory D. Snyder, 3060 Defense Pentagon, Room 3B938, Washington, DC 20301–3060; or by email to [gregory.d.snyder.civ@mail.mil](mailto:gregory.d.snyder.civ@mail.mil).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Gregory D. Snyder, telephone 703–614–0719.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** DoD has concluded a Reciprocal Defense Procurement Memorandum of Understanding (RDP MOU) with each of the 27 “qualifying” countries at the level of the Secretary of Defense and his counterpart. The purpose of an RDP MOU is to promote rationalization, standardization, and interoperability of conventional defense equipment with allies and other friendly governments. These RDP MOUs provide a framework for ongoing communication regarding market access and procurement matters that enhance effective defense cooperation.

RDP MOUs generally include language by which the Parties agree that their defense procurements will be conducted in accordance with certain implementing procedures. These procedures relate to—

- Publication of notices of proposed purchases;
- The content and availability of solicitations for proposed purchases;
- Notification to each unsuccessful offeror;
- Feedback, upon request, to unsuccessful offerors concerning the reasons they were not allowed to participate in a procurement or were not awarded a contract; and
- Provision for the hearing and review of complaints arising in connection with any phase of the procurement process to ensure that, to the extent possible, complaints are equitably and expeditiously resolved.

Based on the RDP MOU, each country affords the other country certain benefits on a reciprocal basis consistent with national laws and regulations. The benefits that the United States accords to the products of qualifying countries include the following:

- Offers of qualifying country end products are evaluated without applying the price differentials otherwise required by the Buy American statute and the Balance of Payments Program.
- The chemical warfare protection clothing restrictions in 10 U.S.C. 2533a and the specialty metals restriction in

10 U.S.C. 2533b(a)(1) do not apply to products manufactured in a qualifying country.

- Customs, taxes, and duties are waived for qualifying country end products and components of defense procurements.

If DoD (for the U.S. Government) renews an RDP MOU with the Ministry of Defense of Japan, then Japan would continue to be listed as one of the “qualifying countries” in the definition of “qualifying country” at Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) 225.003, and offers of products of Japan, or that contain components from Japan, would continue to be afforded the benefits available to all qualifying countries. This also means that U.S. products would continue to be exempt from any analogous “Buy Japan” laws or policies applicable to procurements by the Japan Ministry of Defense or Armed Forces.

While DoD is evaluating Japan’s laws and regulations in this area, DoD would benefit from U.S. industry’s experience in participating in Japan’s public defense procurements. DoD is, therefore, asking U.S. firms that have participated or attempted to participate in procurements by or on behalf of Japan’s Ministry of Defense or Armed Forces to let us know if the procurements were conducted with transparency, integrity, fairness, and due process in accordance with published procedures, and if not, the nature of the problems encountered.

DoD is also interested in comments relating to the degree of reciprocity that exists between the United States and Japan when it comes to the openness of defense procurements to offers of products from the other country.

**Jennifer D. Johnson,**  
Regulatory Control Officer, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. 2021–06591 Filed 3–30–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

[Docket Number DARS–2020–0038; OMB Control Number 0750–0004]

#### Information Collection Requirement; Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS); Assessing Contractor Implementation of Cybersecurity Requirements

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Acquisition Regulations System has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 30, 2021.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title and OMB Number:* Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS), Assessing Contractor Implementation of Cybersecurity Requirements; OMB Control Number 0750–0004.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

DoD estimates the annual public reporting burden for the information collection as follows:

*Reporting Frequency:* On occasion.

#### a. Basic Assessment

*Respondents:* 13,068.

*Responses per respondent:* 1.

*Annual responses:* 13,068.

*Hours per Response:* 0.75.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 9,801.

#### b. Medium Assessment

*Respondents:* 200.

*Responses per respondent:* 1.

*Annual responses:* 200.

*Hours per Response:* 8.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 1,600.

#### c. High Assessment

*Respondents:* 110.

*Responses per respondent:* 1.

*Annual responses:* 110.

*Hours per Response:* 420.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 46,200.

#### d. Total Public Burden (All Entities)

*Respondents:* 13,068.

*Total annual responses:* 13,378.

*Total burden hours:* 57,601.

#### e. Total Public Burden (Small Entities)

*Respondents:* 8,823.

*Total annual responses:* 9,023.

*Total burden hours:* 41,821.

*Needs and Uses:* The collection of information is necessary for DoD to immediately begin assessing where vulnerabilities in its supply chain exist and take steps to correct such deficiencies. In addition, the collection of information is necessary to ensure Defense Industrial Base (DIB) contractors that have not fully implemented the NIST SP 800–171 security requirements pursuant to DFARS clause 252.204–7012, Safeguarding Covered Defense