

purposes. The written description of the scope of the AD order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

As noted above, Commerce received no comments concerning the *Preliminary Results*. As there are no changes from, or comments upon, the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce finds that there is no reason to modify its analysis and calculations. Accordingly, we adopt the analysis and explanation in our *Preliminary Results* for the purposes of these final results and we have not prepared an Issues and Decision Memorandum to accompany this **Federal Register** notice. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the period July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019, for Jindal is 0.00 percent.

Assessment Rates

Commerce has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in this review, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP no earlier than 35 days after publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication). Because we calculated a zero margin in the final results of this review for Jindal, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212 we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to dumping duties.³

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Jindal will be zero, the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period in which that company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this or any previous review or in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is,

the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the final results for the most recent period in which that manufacturer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the investigation, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the all-others rate of 5.71 percent, which is the all-others rate established by Commerce in the LTFV investigation.⁴ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with the final results within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, there are no calculations to disclose here because Commerce made no changes to the analysis or calculations in the *Preliminary Results*.⁵

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business

⁴ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India*, 67 FR 44175 (July 1, 2002); see also *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India*, 67 FR 34899 (May 16, 2002).

⁵ For disclosure of calculations made in the *Preliminary Results*, see Memorandum "Analysis Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India)," dated November 17, 2020.

proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: March 16, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021-05961 Filed 3-22-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-844]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Mexico: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018-2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Deacero S.A.P.I. de C.V. (Deacero) sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the November 1, 2018, through October 31, 2019 period of review (POR). In addition, Commerce preliminarily determines that Ternium Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (Ternium) had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable March 23, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Lindgren, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1671.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 6, 2014, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from Mexico in the **Federal Register**.¹

¹ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico: Antidumping Duty Order*, 79 FR 65925 (November 6, 2014) (*Order*).

³ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

On January 17, 2020, pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order*.²

On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days.³ Subsequently, on July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days.⁴ On October 9, 2020, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results to March 19, 2021.⁵

Commerce initiated this administrative review covering the following companies: AceroMex S.A.; Aceros Especiales Simec Tlaxcala; Arcelor Mittal; Arcelor Mittal Celaya; ArcelorMittal Cordoba S.A. de C.V.; ArcelorMittal Lazaro Cardenas S.A. de C.V.; Cia Siderurgica de California, S.A. de C.V.; Compania Siderurgica de California, S.A. de C.V.; DE ACERO SA. DE CV.; Deacero; Grupo Simec; Grupo Villacero S.A. de C.V.; Industrias CH; Orge S.A. de C.V.; Siderurgica Tultitlan S.A. de C.V.; Simec International S.A. de C.V.; Talleres y Aceros, S.A. de C.V.; and, Ternium Mexico, S.A. de C.V. On March 4, 2020, we limited the number of respondents selected for individual examination in this administrative review to Deacero.⁶ We did not select the remaining companies for individual

examination, and these companies remain subject to this administrative review.⁷

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is steel concrete reinforcing bar from Mexico. For a complete description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁸

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

We preliminarily determine that Ternium made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Moreover, consistent with our practice, we are not preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to Ternium, but, rather, we will complete the review with respect to the company and issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) based on the final results of this review.⁹ For further discussion, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Act. Constructed export price was calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value was calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of

the methodology underlying our preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

Rate for Non-Selected Companies

We are applying to the non-selected companies the rate preliminarily calculated for Deacero in this administrative review, which is the only calculated rate in this administrative review that is not zero, *de minimis* or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. For a detailed discussion, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Producer and/or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Deacero S.A.P.I de C.V. ¹⁰	5.05
Grupo Simec (Aceros Especiales Simec Tlaxcala, S.A. de C.V.; Compania Siderurgica del Pacifico S.A. de C.V.; Fundiciones de Acero Estructurales, S.A. de C.V.; Grupo Chant S.A.P.I. de C.V.; Operadora de Perfiles Sigosa, S.A. de C.V.; Orge S.A. de C.V.; Perfiles Comerciales Sigosa, S.A. de C.V.; RRLC S.A.P.I. de C.V.; Siderurgicos Noroeste, S.A. de C.V.; Siderurgica del Occidente y Pacifico S.A. de C.V.; Simec International 6 S.A. de C.V.; Simec International, S.A. de C.V.; Simec International 7 S.A. de C.V.; and, Simec International 9 S.A. de C.V.) ¹¹	5.05
AceroMex S.A.	5.05
Arcelor Mittal	5.05
ArcelorMittal Celaya	5.05

² See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 85 FR 3014 (January 17, 2020) (*Initiation Notice*).

³ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19," dated April 24, 2020.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews," dated July 21, 2020.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results," dated October 9, 2020.

⁶ See Memorandum, "2018-2019 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico: Respondent Selection," dated March 4, 2020.

⁷ While the petitioner requested a review of both DE ACERO SA. DE CV. and Deacero, Commerce has previously determined that DE ACERO SA. DE CV. is the same company as Deacero and therefore, we are treating DE ACERO SA. DE CV. as the same as Deacero. Further, we previously collapsed, or found

affiliated, 4 of the 18 firms listed in the *Initiation Notice* (i.e., Aceros Especiales Simec Tlaxcala, Grupo Simec, Orge S.A. de C.V., and Simec International S.A. de C.V.) into the single entity "Grupo Simec." Commerce has previously collapsed several additional companies into the single entity, "Grupo Simec" which are identified in the rates section below. See, e.g., *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018*, 85 FR 71053 (November 6, 2020) (*2017-2018 AR Mexico Rebar Final*), at 71053-71054.

⁸ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico; 2018-2019," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁹ See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2012-2013*, 79 FR 15951, 15952 (March

24, 2014), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Partial Rescission of Review; 2012-2013*, 79 FR 51306 (August 28, 2014).

¹⁰ This also applies to any entries made under the name DE ACERO SA. DE CV., as noted above.

¹¹ Commerce has previously collapsed the following entities into a single entity: Grupo Simec; Aceros Especiales Simec Tlaxcala, S.A. de C.V.; Compania Siderurgica del Pacifico S.A. de C.V.; Fundiciones de Acero Estructurales, S.A. de C.V.; Grupo Chant S.A.P.I. de C.V.; Operadora de Perfiles Sigosa, S.A. de C.V.; Orge S.A. de C.V.; Perfiles Comerciales Sigosa, S.A. de C.V.; RRLC S.A.P.I. de C.V.; Siderurgicos Noroeste, S.A. de C.V.; Siderurgica del Occidente y Pacifico S.A. de C.V.; Simec International 6 S.A. de C.V.; Simec International, S.A. de C.V.; Simec International 7 S.A. de C.V.; and, Simec International 9 S.A. de C.V. See, e.g., *2017-2018 AR Mexico Rebar Final*, 85 FR at 71053-71054.

Producer and/or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
ArcelorMittal Cordoba S.A. de C.V	5.05
ArcelorMittal Lazaro Cardenas S.A. de C.V	5.05
Cia Siderurgica de California, S.A. de C.V	5.05
Compania Siderurgica de California, S.A. de C.V	5.05
Grupo Villacero S.A. de C.V	5.05
Industrias CH	5.05
Siderurgica Tultitlan S.A. de C.V	5.05
Talleres y Aceros, S.A. de C.V	5.05

Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in these preliminary results to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice.¹² Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs.¹³ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹⁴ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS¹⁵ and must be served on interested parties.¹⁶ Executive Summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via Commerce’s electric records system, ACCESS. An electronically filed request must be received successfully in its entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹⁷ Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be

determined.¹⁸ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless extended.¹⁹

Assessment Rate

Upon completion of the administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If the weighted-average dumping margin for Deacero (*i.e.*, the sole individually examined respondent in this review) is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales made during the POR to each importer and the total entered value of those same sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). If a respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*, *i.e.*, “{w}here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed.”²⁰ For the companies which

were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for the sole individually examined respondent in this review, Deacero.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Deacero for which the producer did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, or for any respondent for which we have a final determination of no shipments, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company (or companies) involved in the transaction.²¹

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 41 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Deacero and other companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent, and therefore *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the

¹² See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 17006, 17007 (March 26, 2020) (“To provide adequate time for release of case briefs via ACCESS, E&C intends to schedule the due date for all rebuttal briefs to be 7 days after case briefs are filed (while these modifications remain in effect)”); and *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁵ See generally 19 CFR 351.303.

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.303(f).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

¹⁹ See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act; and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

²⁰ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping*

Proceedings; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

²¹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or in the investigation but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be the all-others rate of 20.58 percent, the rate established in the investigation of this proceeding.²² These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: March 17, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- V. Margin for Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-05959 Filed 3-22-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-891]

Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that POSCO, a producer and exporter of carbon and alloy steel wire rod from the Republic of Korea (Korea) sold the subject merchandise at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR), October 31, 2017, through April 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable March 23, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lingjun Wang, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2316.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 24, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*¹ covering the sole producer and exporter of the subject merchandise subject to the review, POSCO. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On September 28 and October 9, 2020, the petitioners² and POSCO filed case and rebuttal briefs.³ On January 14 and 21, 2021, we held video conferences with the counsels for POSCO and the petitioners, respectively.⁴ Commerce conducted this

¹ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2019*, 85 FR 44858 (July 24, 2020), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² The petitioners are Charter Steel, Liberty Steel USA, Nucor Corporation, and Optimus Steel LLC (collectively, the petitioners).

³ See Petitioners' Letter, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea—Petitioners' Case Brief," dated September 28, 2020; see also POSCO's Letter, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea—POSCO's Case Brief," dated September 28, 2020; Petitioners' Letter, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea—Petitioners' Rebuttal Brief," dated October 9, 2020; and POSCO's Letter, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea—POSCO's Rebuttal Brief," dated October 9, 2020.

⁴ See Memoranda, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea—*Ex Parte* Meeting with POSCO's Counsel," dated January 15, 2021, and "Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the

review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days.⁵ Subsequently, on July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all preliminary and final results in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days.⁶ On December 1, 2020, we extended the deadline for issuing the final results until March 17, 2021.⁷

Scope of the Order⁸

The scope of the *Order* includes certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, less than 19.00 mm in actual solid cross-sectional diameter. On April 8, 2019, Commerce excluded from the scope of the *Order* grade 1078 and higher tire cord quality wire rod used in the production of tire cord wire.⁹ On June 13, 2019, Commerce excluded from the scope of the *Order* valve spring quality steel products defined as wire rod.¹⁰ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.¹¹

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of these issues is attached in an appendix to this

Republic of Korea—*Ex Parte* Meeting with Petitioners' Counsel," dated January 21, 2021.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID-19," dated April 24, 2020.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews," dated July 21, 2020 (the deadline for the final results was actually tolled by 57 days because the tolling started three days before the publication date of the *Preliminary Results*).

⁷ See Memorandum, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea—Extension of Deadline for Final Results," dated December 1, 2020.

⁸ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Italy, the Republic of Korea, Spain, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determinations for Spain and the Republic of Turkey*, 83 FR 23417 (May 21, 2018) (*Order*).

⁹ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea and the United Kingdom: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 84 FR 13888 (April 8, 2019).

¹⁰ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*; 84 FR 27582 (June 13, 2019).

¹¹ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from the Republic of Korea; 2017–2019," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

²² See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 79 FR 54967 (September 15, 2014).