care homes in which infant/toddler teachers and caregivers work). We will collect information on how competency frameworks have been developed and implemented; how competencies are assessed; how program directors, center directors, family child care providers, and teachers and caregivers use competency frameworks; key lessons related to implementing competency frameworks and assessing

competencies; and perspectives on how competencies can help build the capacity of the workforce teaching and caring for infants and toddlers and support quality improvement.

Respondents: System-level staff (this may include lead developers, lead adopters, administrators for state/local quality improvement initiatives, administrators of licensing and/or credentialing agencies, higher education

stakeholders, other training and technical assistance providers, state-level oversight of federal programs) and program-level staff (program and/or center directors, professional development coordinators/managers, center-based teachers/caregivers and family child care providers).

Annual Burden Estimates:

Instrument	Number of respondents (total over request period)	Number of responses per respondent (total over request period)	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)	Annual burden (in hours)
System-Level Screening Protocol (Instrument 1)	30	1	.6	18	9
(Instrument 2)	60	1	1.5	90	45
Nominations for Programs Protocol (Instrument 3)	15	1	.3	4.5	2.25
Program-Level Screening Protocol (Instrument 4) Program-Level Master Semi-structured Interview Protocol	70	1	.6	42	21
(Instrument 5): Directors	20	1	1	20	10
(Instrument 5): Family child care providers Program-Level Master Semi-structured Interview Protocol	20	1	1	20	10
(Instrument 5): Center-based teachers	20	1	0.5	10	5

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 102.25.

Authority: Head Start Act Section 640 [42 U.S.C. 9835] and Section 649 [42 U.S.C. 9844], and the Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG) Act of 1990, as amended by the CCDBG Act of 2014 (Pub. L. 113–186).

Mary B. Jones,

ACF/OPRE Certifying Officer. [FR Doc. 2021–05100 Filed 3–10–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4184–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Community Living [OMB No. 0985–0039]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Public Comment Request; Prevention and Public Health Fund Evidence-Based Falls Prevention Program, Information Collection

AGENCY: Administration for Community

Living, HHS. **ACTION:** Notice

SUMMARY: The Administration for Community Living is announcing that the proposed collection of information listed above has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance as

required under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This 30-day notice collects comments on the information collection requirements related to the proposed Extension with minor changes on the information collection requirements related to Prevention and Public Health Funds Evidence-Based Falls Prevention Program.

DATES: Submit written comments on the collection of information by April 12, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find the information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function. By mail to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, New Executive Office Bldg., 725 17th St. NW, Rm. 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ACL.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Shannon Skowronski, Administration for Community Living, Washington, DC 20201, Shannon Skowronski, 202–795– 7438, shannon.skowronski@acl.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, ACL has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for

review, comment and approval. The Evidence-Based Falls Prevention Grant Program is financed through the Prevention and Public Health Fund (PPHF), most recently with FY 2020 PPHF funds. The statutory authority for these cooperative is contained in Continuing Appropriations Act, 2020 and Health Extenders Act of 2019, Public Law 116–59; the Older Americans Act (OAA) (Section 411); and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, 42 U.S.C. 300u–11 (Prevention and Public Health Fund).

The Evidence-Based Falls Prevention Program supports a national resource center and awards competitive grants to implement and promote the sustainability of evidence-based community programs that have been proven to reduce the falls incidence and risk among for older adults.

OMB approval of the existing set of Falls Prevention data collection tools (OMB Control Number, 0985–0039) expires on 03/31/2021. This data collection continues to be necessary for monitoring program operations and outcomes.

ACL/AoA proposes to use the following tools: (1) Semi-annual performance reports to monitor grantee progress; (2) a Host/Implementation Organization Information Form to record location of agencies that sponsor programs that will allow mapping of the delivery infrastructure; and (3) a set of

tools used to collect information at each program completed by the program leaders (Program Information Cover Sheet and Attendance Log), a Participant Information Form to be completed by all participants, and a Post Program Survey to be completed by a random sample of participants. ACL/AoA intends to continue using an online data entry system for the program

and participant survey data. Minor changes are being proposed to the currently approved tools. All changes proposed are based on feedback from a focus group that included a sub-set of current grantees and consultation with subject-matter experts.

Comments in Response to the 60-Day Federal Register Notice

A notice published in the **Federal Register** on September 28, 2020, Volume 85, No. 188, page 60808. There were five public comment emails received during the 60-day FRN comment period.

A summary of the comments and the ACL response is provided below.

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION FORM AND POST SURVEY

Comment	Response
A suggestion was made to add a purpose statement to the forms to	ACL did not adopt this suggestion. The purpose of this data collection
better inform participants of why this specific data collection is pertinent.	is multi-fold—with different benefits and potential uses of the data by federal, state, and local stakeholders.
Suggestions were made to make adjustments to the wording and/or response options for some of the demographic questions, such as those related to race, ethnicity, and gender.	ACL did not adopt these suggestions. The wording and response options for the demographic questions included are consistent with OMB-approved surveys for other ACL programs. Having this consistency allows ACL and researchers utilizing this data to compare outcomes from the population reached with ACL's Falls Prevention Programs to a more broadly representative population of older adults.
For some of the non-demographic questions, suggestions were made to use different response options, adjust the wording of the questions, or use different measurement scales.	ACL did not adopt these suggestions. ACL consulted with experts in the field to identify validated scales to capture the information needed to understand the impact of the programs on critical domains. Adjusting the wording of the questions would impact their validity.
Several suggestions were made with respect to the formatting of the forms.	, see green gr
 Provide a small box on the bottom right hand corner of each sheet to identify participant ID. Should paperwork be separated, it provides another mechanism to keep forms complete. Also suggest adding more white space to the document, increasing the space between questions and answers, and increasing the font size. 	1. ACL did not adopt these suggestions in order to keep the Participant Information Form and Post-Survey to one sheet (front and back). ACL will be providing the surveys to grantees in a Word format so they can make any formatting edits they deem necessary, <i>i.e.</i> , larger font size, more white space, etc.
There needs to be further consistency with bullet point sizes and format of questions. They seem to be inconsistent.	2. ACL reviewed the bullet point sizes and format of questions to ensure consistency.
To better align the pre- and post- survey, it might make sense to move question number 9 on Participant Information Form closer to question 12.	3. ACL revisited the ordering of the forms to ensure the questions align, to the greatest extent possible.
In question 7, the word "agree," is misspelled under "Strongly disagree".	4. ACL made the spelling correction to question 7.
Some commenters suggested including definitions of certain terms on the form, for example, defining what is meant by "vigorous" or "moderate" exercise.	ACL did not adopt suggestions to provide detailed definition of terms within the questions. Including definitions would increase the length of the forms, resulting in greater participant burden. Local program coordinators are available to assist participants completing the forms, in the event any questions arise with any of the specific questions.
A suggestion was made to remove the proposed Question 19 from the Participant Information Form, with the comment that it is not relevant pre-program.	ACL adopted this suggestion.
A suggestion was made to adjust the wording of the existing Question 11 (and the response options) to align with the ACL Chronic Disease Self-Management Education data collection forms.	ACL did not adopt this suggestion. The ACL Falls Prevention and Chronic Disease Self-Management Education grant programs are two distinct grant programs, with two distinct lists of chronic conditions in their OMB-approved data collections.
A suggestion was made to expand the following question on the Participant Information Form: "Are you limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental, or emotional problems?"	ACL did not adopt this suggestion. This question was only included in the Participant Information Form, not the Post Survey. The Participant Information Form and Post Survey already include questions to assess limitations due to physical, mental, and/or emotional problems, so this question was deemed duplicative and removed from the Participant Information Form entirely to reduce participant burden.
Suggested replacement questions: • "Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you: Oher Have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions? Yes, No. Have difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's of-	
fice or shopping? Yes, No". • "Do you have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs? Yes, No" • "Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing? Yes, No".	
A commenter suggested adding the following questions to the forms:	ACL did not adopt these suggestions to avoid increasing participant burden and the length of the forms beyond one sheet (front and

back).

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION FORM AND POST SURVEY—Continued Comment Response • "Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing? Yes, "Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses? Yes, No" "During the past year, did you provide regular care or assistance to a friend or family member who has a long-term health condition or disability?" **FALL PREVENTION COVERSHEET** Comment Response Some commenters suggested not requiring a separate Program Infor-ACL did not adopt this suggestion. The grantee focus group reported mation Coversheet-instead folding some of the questions in the that this form was useful for organizing their data collection and procoversheet into the Participant Information Form, Post-Survey, and/or gram delivery. Adding questions to the Participant Information and the semi-annual grantee report. Post-Survey would also increase their length beyond 1 sheet (front and back). A commenter provided the following formatting-related comments: ACL adopted these edits. The dotted lines dictating the start year appear to be missingsuggest adding these; and · suggest adjusting the bullet sizes to be consistent, specifically in question number 7, the bullet under indicating "other," is different from the previous bullet A commenter suggested adding a space to note host/implementation ACL did not adopt this suggestion. organization. A commenter suggested adding check boxes to note if the program ACL did not adopt this suggestion due to variability in how remote prowas delivered in a remote format. grams are defined and delivery format. HOST/IMPLEMENTATION ORGANIZATION FORM Comment Response ACL did not adopt this suggestion. The purpose of this form is to docu-A commenter suggested adding to Question 2 the statement, "Please check only if you are a new **Host Organization** ment new host organizations and implementation sites, so these admentation Site.". ditional instructions were deemed unnecessary. FALL PREVENTION ATTENDANCE LOG

Comment	Response
One commenter suggested using an "X" (rather than fill in the box) to denote sessions attended. One commenter noted that "the last blank for 'end date' is not bolded" One commenter suggested changing the form to landscape to account for length of Tai Chi and Enhance Fitness programs.	ACL adopted this suggestion. ACL made this correction. ACL adopted this suggestion.

COMMENT RELEVANT TO ALL FORMS

Comment	Response		
One commenter suggested that ACL provide fillable PDF forms	ACL will be providing the documents in Word format. If resources allow, we will also provide fillable PDFs for grantee use.		

Estimated Program Burden

ACL estimates the burden associated with this collection of information as follows:

Respondent/data collection activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Hours per response	Annual burden hours
Project staff, Semi-annual Performance Report Local agency leaders Program Information Cover Sheet/Participant Information Form/Attendance Log/Post Local data entry staff; Program Survey.	436 leaders	Twice a year Twice a year (one set per program).	.50	320 436

Respondent/data collection activity	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Hours per response	Annual burden hours
	40 data entry staff	Once per program × 872 programs.	.50	436
Local organization staff and local database entry staff; Host Organization Data Form.	436 staff	1	.05	22
		1	.10	1046
Program Participants; Post Program Survey	6,273	1	.10	628
Total Burden Hours				2888

Dated: March 5, 2021.

Alison Barkoff,

Acting Administrator and Assistant Secretary for Aging.

[FR Doc. 2021-05042 Filed 3-10-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4154-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

[Document Identifier OMB No. 0990-0476]

Agency Information Collection Request. 60-Day Public Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirement of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of a proposed collection for public comment.

DATES: Comments on the ICR must be received on or before May 10, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments to *Sherrette.Funn@hhs.gov* or by calling (202) 795–7714.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

When submitting comments or requesting information, please include the document identifier 0990–0476, and project title for reference, to Sherrette Funn, the Reports Clearance Officer, Sherrette.funn@hhs.gov, or call 202–795–7714.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection

techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Title of the Collection: ASPA COVID— 19 Public Education Campaign Market Research.

Type of Collection: OMB #0990–0476. The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs (ASPA), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is requesting an extension on a currently approved collection that includes three components: 1. COVID–19 Current Events Tracker; 2. Foundational Focus Groups; and 3. Copy Testing Surveys. Together, these efforts support the development and execution of the COVID–19 Public Education Campaign. The broad purpose of each effort is as follows:

Current Events Tracker

The primary purpose of the COVID-19 Current Events Tracker (CET) survey is to continuously track key metrics of importance to the Campaign, including vaccine confidence, familiarity with and trust in HHS, and the impact of external events on key attitudes and behaviors. Tracking Americans' attitudes about, perceptions of, and behavior toward the COVID-19 pandemic will inform the Campaign of key metrics around vaccine confidence and uptake, as well as towards vaccine messengers such as HHS and key public health officials. It will also inform changes in messaging strategies necessary to effectively reach the entire U.S. population or specific subgroups.

The weekly tracking of this information will be critical for the Campaign's ability to respond to shifting events and attitudes in real-time, helping guide the American public with accurate information about the vaccine rollout as well as on how to take protective actions.

Foundational Focus Groups

ASPA is collecting information through the COVID–19 Public Education

Campaign Foundational Focus Groups to inform the Campaign about audience risk knowledge, perceptions, current behaviors, and barriers and motivators to healthy behaviors (including COVID—19 vaccination). Ultimately these focus groups will provide in-depth insights regarding information needed by Campaign audiences as well as their attitudes and behaviors related to COVID—19 and the COVID—19 vaccines. These will be used to inform the development of Campaign messages and strategy.

Copy Testing Surveys

Prior to placing Campaign advertisements in market, ASPA will conduct copy testing surveys to ensure the final Campaign messages have the intended effect on target attitudes and behaviors. Copy testing surveys will be conducted with sample members who comprise the target audiences; these surveys will assess perceived effectiveness of the advertisements as well as the effect of exposure to an ad on key attitudes and behavioral intentions. The results from these surveys will be used internally by ASPA to inform decisions on Campaign messages and materials; for example, to identify revisions to the materials or determine which advertisement to move to market.

Need and Proposed Use: In light of the current COVID-19 crisis, this information is needed given the impact of the pandemic on the nation. The Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has declared a public health emergency effective January 27, 2020, under section 319 of the Public Health Service Act (42) U.S.C. 247d [1]) and renewed it continually since its issuance (see links to the determination here and here). Additionally, in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.13, HHS previously requested emergency submissions (sections 1320 (a)(2)(ii) and (2)(iii) of the federal regulations.