

The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5170 (March 2021), entitled *Pentafluoroethane (R-125) from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-662 and 731-TA-1554 (Preliminary)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 26, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-04432 Filed 3-3-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1125-0003]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection Comments Requested; Fee Waiver Request

AGENCY: Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until May 3, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Lauren Alder Reid, Assistant Director, Office of Policy, Executive Office for Immigration Review, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500, Falls Church, VA 22041, telephone: (703) 305-0289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Executive Office for Immigration Review, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

- proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision and extension of a currently approved collection.
2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Fee Waiver Request.
3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is EOIR-26A, Executive Office for Immigration Review, United States Department of Justice.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: An individual submitting an appeal or motion to the Board of Immigration Appeals. An individual submitting an application or motion to the Office of the Chief Immigration Judge. Other: Attorneys and qualified representatives representing an alien in immigration proceedings before EOIR. Abstract: The information on the fee waiver request form is used by the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Office of the Chief Immigration Judge to determine whether the requisite fee for an application, motion or appeal will be waived due to an individual's financial situation.
5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 5,499 respondents will complete the form annually with an average of 1 hour per response.
6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated public burden associated with this collection is 5,499 hours. It is estimated that respondents will take 1 hour to complete the form.

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution

Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: February 26, 2021.

Melody D. Braswell,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2021-04418 Filed 3-3-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

On January 20, 2021, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of Utah in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America v. Magnesium Corporation of America, et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:01CV0040-DBB.

If approved by the court, the consent decree would resolve the claims of the United States against US Magnesium LLC ("USM"), the Renco Group, Inc. ("Group"), the Ira Leon Rennert Revocable Trusts ("Trusts"), and Mr. Ira Leon Rennert ("Rennert"), collectively "Defendants," for injunctive relief and civil penalties for alleged violations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") at USM's magnesium production facility in Rowley, Utah. The consent decree would require USM to: (1) Make extensive process modifications at the facility, including construction of a filtration plant to treat all wastewaters, that will reduce the environmental impacts from its production operations and ensure greater protection for its workers; (2) establish appropriate financial assurance for closure or corrective action of certain waste management areas in the operating areas of the facility; (3) pay a civil penalty of \$250,000; and (4) perform the CERCLA Response Action, which includes construction of a barrier wall around 1,700 acres of the operating portions of the facility to prevent leaks or breaches of hazardous materials to the Great Salt Lake, and the payment of EPA costs incurred in connection with the CERCLA Response Action.

In return for the Settling Defendants' compliance with these requirements, the consent decree would resolve past RCRA violations at the Rowley facility that the United States' complaint alleges. Provided that the Settling Defendants remain in compliance with the consent decree's requirements, including payment of EPA CERCLA Response Action costs, the United States would covenant not to sue the