

The ICTAP Training Survey will not collect any personal identifiable information (PII) from respondents (emergency communications stakeholders) of the survey. In collecting feedback regarding the ITSL, COML, and COMT courses, the survey will collect what state the respondent lives, where they took the course, did the course provide the information needed, should the course curriculum be updated, and any comments to improve the course material. The survey will encompass 10 questions regarding the former student's experience, anything that they liked, disliked, or something new that they would like to see incorporated into the refreshed class. It is estimated that it will take each participant 10 minutes to complete the training survey. For 300 respondents annually, the burden is 50 hours. To estimate the cost of this collection, CISA uses the mean hourly wage of "All Occupations" of \$25.72. CISA then applies a load factor of 1.4597 to this average wage to obtain a fully loaded average hourly wage of \$37.54. The total respondent cost burden for this collection is \$1,877 (50 hours × \$37.54).

This is a NEW collection of information.

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Analysis

Agency: Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

Title of Collection: Interoperable Communications and Technical Assistance Program (ICTAP) Training Survey.

OMB Control Number: 1670-NEW.

Frequency: Annually.
Affected Public: State, Local, Tribal, and Territorial Governments.
Number of Annualized Respondents: 300.
Estimated Time per Respondent: 10 Minutes.
Total Annualized Burden Hours: 50 hours.
Total Annualized Respondent Opportunity Cost: \$1,877.16.
Total Annualized Respondent Out-of-Pocket: \$0.
Total Annualized Government Cost: \$4,082.67.

Samuel Vazquez,

Acting Chief Information Officer, Department of Homeland Security, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency.

[FR Doc. 2021-03404 Filed 2-18-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-9P-P

INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION, UNITED STATES AND MEXICO

Notice of Availability of an Amended Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for Aquatic Habitat Restoration in the Rio Grande Canalization Project

AGENCY: United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico (USIBWC). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality Final Regulations, and USIBWC *Operational Procedures for Implementing Section 102 of NEPA*, published in the **Federal Register** September 2, 1981, the USIBWC hereby gives notice that the amended *Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for Aquatic Habitat Restoration in the Rio Grande Canalization Project* is available. The EA seeks to identify, develop, and design aquatic projects to implement aquatic habitat, wetland, and riparian habitat restoration for the Rio Grande Canalization Project (RGCP). An Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared unless additional information which may affect this decision is brought to our attention within 30 days from the date of this Notice.

DATES: *Public Comments:* USIBWC will consider substantive comments from the public and stakeholders for 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Please note all written and email comments received during the comment

period will become part of the public record, including any personal information you may provide. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to: Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist, USIBWC, 4191 N Mesa; El Paso, Texas 79902. Telephone: (915) 832-4701, Fax: (915) 493-2428, email: Elizabeth.Verdecchia@ibwc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Verdecchia, Natural Resources Specialist, Telephone: (915) 832-4701, email: Elizabeth.Verdecchia@ibwc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 4, 2009, the USIBWC issued a Record of Decision (ROD) on the long-term management of the RGCP in southern New Mexico and western Texas. The ROD committed the USIBWC to the restoration of aquatic and riparian habitat at up to 30 sites over 10 years (through 2019). In May 2019, the USIBWC prepared a Draft EA to analyze the potential impact of seven action alternatives and a No Action Alternative to implement aquatic habitat within the RGCP, and the USIBWC extended the comment period (**Federal Register** July 22, 2019). After public input and subsequent development of preliminary designs, USIBWC re-evaluated alternative sites for aquatic habitat and assessed the feasibility of three additional sites, two of which were added to the EA. The USIBWC has prepared an Amended Draft EA, which evaluates potential impacts of ten alternatives, including the No Action Alternative and the following sites: Yeso Arroyo, Angostura Arroyo, Broad Canyon Arroyo, Selden Point Bar, Las Cruces Effluent, Mesilla Valley Bosque State Park, Downstream of Courchesne Bridge, Trujillo Restoration Site, and Montoya Intercepting Drain.

Restoration actions could include invasive vegetation removal, native vegetation planting, overbank lowering, bank cuts, natural levee breaches, secondary channels, bank

destabilization, channel widening, arroyo mouth management, construction of inset floodplains, construction of wetland depressions, and use of supplemental water for on-site irrigation.

Based on a review of the facts and analyses contained in the Amended Draft EA, the USIBWC has selected five projects as the Preferred Alternatives: Alternative D—Broad Canyon Arroyo, Alternative F—Las Cruces Effluent, Alternative G—Mesilla Valley Bosque State Park (MVBSP), Alternative H—Downstream of Courchesne Bridge, and Alternative J—Trujillo Arroyo. Alternatives Las Cruces Effluent and Downstream of Courchesne Bridge would require engineering designs prior to construction, while Alternatives Broad Canyon Arroyo and Trujillo Restoration Site, which are smaller and less complicated projects, could be constructed from conceptual designs. Downstream of Courchesne Bridge would be implemented as part of compensatory mitigation for future levee improvement projects. All alternatives would require appropriate permits from the United States Army Corps of Engineers for dredge and fill of Waters of the United States, per the Clean Water Act Sections 404 and 401.

Potential impacts on natural, cultural, and other resources were evaluated in the Draft EA. The USIBWC has prepared a FONSI for the Preferred Alternatives, based on a review of the facts and analyses contained in the amended Draft EA.

Availability: The electronic version of the amended Draft EA is available at the USIBWC web page: https://www.ibwc.gov/EMD/EIS_EA_Public_Comment.html.

Dated: February 10, 2021.

Jennifer Peña,

Chief Legal Counsel, International Boundary and Water Commission, United States Section.

[FR Doc. 2021-03303 Filed 2-18-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7010-01-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1217]

Enforcement Proceeding; Certain Blowers and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Formal Enforcement Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has instituted a formal enforcement proceeding relating to the Consent Order issued on November 12, 2020, in the above-referenced investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted the original investigation on September 8, 2020, based on a complaint filed by Regal Beloit America, Inc (“Regal”) of Beloit, Wisconsin. 85 FR 55491-92 (Sep. 8, 2020). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain blowers and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 7-10, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 8,079,834 (“the ‘834 patent”). *Id.* at 55492. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents East West Manufacturing, LLC of Atlanta, Georgia, and East West Industries of Binh Duong, Vietnam (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* at 55492. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) did not participate as a party in the original investigation. *Id.*

On October 14, 2020, Respondents filed a motion to terminate the investigation with respect to themselves based upon a consent order stipulation. The motion included a consent order stipulation and a proposed consent order.

On October 22, 2020, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued an initial determination (“ID”) granting the motion and terminating the investigation with respect to Respondents based on the entry of a consent order. Order No. 6 at 3 (Oct. 22, 2020). Thereafter, the Commission

determined not to review the ID and issued a Consent Order. 85 FR 73511 (Nov. 18, 2020). Respondents were therefore terminated from the original investigation and the investigation was terminated in its entirety. *Id.*

On January 15, 2021, Regal filed a complaint requesting that the Commission institute a formal enforcement proceeding under Commission Rule 210.75 to investigate the alleged violation of the Consent Order by Respondents.

Having examined the enforcement complaint and the supporting documents, the Commission has determined to institute a formal enforcement proceeding, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.75(a), to determine whether a violation of the Consent Order, issued on November 12, 2020, in the original investigation has occurred and to determine what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. The named respondents are East West Manufacturing, LLC of Atlanta, Georgia, and East West Industries of Binh Duong, Vietnam. OUII is also named as a party.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on February 16, 2021.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part p10.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 16, 2021.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2021-03409 Filed 2-18-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Milad I. Shaker, M.D.; Decision and Order

On October 5, 2020, the Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, Government), signed an Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC) addressed to Milad I. Shaker, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant). OSC, at 1. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. FS1471818. *Id.* It alleged that Registrant is without “authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Pennsylvania, the state in which [Registrant is] registered with DEA.” OSC, at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).