

budgets must not exceed \$1.5 million, including contract support costs.

V. Proposal Template

The following proposal template is provided for the convenience of applicants. The use of this template is recommended but not mandatory. A proposal will be accepted for review as long as it meets all the applicable criteria in this notice. Email completed proposals to FDPIR-RC@usda.gov with subject line "FDPIR Demonstration Project". Proposals will be accepted until 11:59 p.m. ET on March 15, 2021.

A. Tribal Organization Information

Please provide the following information:

1. Full name, address, and telephone number of Tribal Organization proposing to contract.
2. Full name, address, telephone number, and email of Tribal Organization's main contact for this proposal.
3. A copy of signed Federal-State Agreement (FNS-74) with FNS or of signed agreement with the FDPIR State agency.
4. A copy of current FDPIR Plan of Operation.
5. A copy of most recent FNS FDPIR management evaluation within the last three (3) years or, if the management evaluation is not available, copy of most recent Tribal audit report.
6. A Tribal Resolution from the Tribal Council authorizing the Tribal Organization to participate in this demonstration project or a statement affirming that a Tribal Resolution with this authorization has been requested of the Tribal Council and will be submitted prior to contract negotiations and within 30 days, if selected.
7. A detailed description of Tribal Organization's capacity to purchase the proposed food(s) for its FDPIR program.

B. Agricultural Commodity Procurement

Please provide the following information:

1. Identification of food(s) from the current FDPIR food package the Tribal Organization intends to supplant (*i.e.*, replace).
2. A detailed description of food(s) proposed for purchase and inclusion in the FDPIR program by Tribal Organization. Specifically, the following information must be included:
 - a. An attestation that the proposed food(s) is a product grown, processed, and otherwise prepared for sale or distribution exclusively in the United States. See also #5 of this proposal template.
 - b. A description of the nutritional value of the proposed food(s) and

explanation of how the proposed food(s) is of similar or higher nutritional value than the food(s) being supplanted. Alternately, Tribal Organizations may describe how the proposed food(s) is nutritionally similar to the items in the FDPIR food package category of the food it is replacing rather than drawing a direct nutritional comparison to the specific food being supplanted. For example:

- If a Tribal Organization proposes to supplant frozen blueberries in the FDPIR food package fruit category (Exhibit O) with a berry traditional to its culture, the Tribal Organization may explain how the traditional berry is nutritionally similar to other fruits currently offered in the fruit category. A comparison of the specific nutrients in frozen blueberries compared to the traditional berry is not required.

c. A description of Tribal Organization's capacity to obtain the proposed food(s) in a quantity that meets estimated participant demand. In the description, Tribal Organization must confirm proposed food(s) will be offered to all FDPIR participants served by its program.

d. The estimated number of months the proposed food(s) will be distributed to Tribal Organization's existing FDPIR caseload. A minimum six-month distribution is required (consecutive or non-consecutive).

e. Documentation that the proposed food(s) is commercially available (*i.e.*, presently being sold to the public through commercial channels by the vendor(s) from which the Tribal Organization is proposing to procure the food(s)). See also #5 of this proposal template.

3. A proposed budget and narrative of estimated costs. Budget proposal, including all contract support costs, may not exceed \$1.5 million. The proposed budget must include the following:

- a. The total amount of funds requested.
- b. A budget narrative that describes all major line-item expenditures that are proposed.
- c. A breakout of the amount of funds requested by the following categories:
 - Food purchases
 - Personnel
 - Equipment
 - Materials and supplies
 - Travel
 - Other allowable costs
- d. An estimate and description of all contract support costs.

4. A proposed period of performance to perform contract activities.

5. Letter(s) of Support from vendor(s) which will supply the food(s). Letter(s) should certify that vendor(s):

- Sells food(s) commercially; and
- Offers food(s) that is a product grown, processed, and otherwise prepared for sale or distribution exclusively in the United States.

Pamilyn Miller,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2021-00529 Filed 1-13-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices by the Pacific Northwest Region; Oregon, Washington, and Parts of California

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the Ranger Districts, Forests and Regional Office of the Pacific Northwest Region to publish legal notices required under Agency regulations. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decision. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions, provide information on the procedures to comment, object, or appeal, and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments, appeals, or objection were timely.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers begins on the date of this publication. This list of newspapers will remain in effect until a new list is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Dixon, Regional Environmental Coordinator, Pacific Northwest Region, 1220 Southwest Third Avenue, Portland, OR 97204 and by phone at (503) 808-2276 or by email at sue.dixon@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The administrative procedures at 36 CFR 218, and 219 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR 218 and 219. In general, the notices will identify: The decision or project by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional information; and where and how to file comments or appeals/objection. The date the notice is

published will be used to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment, appeal, or objection period.

Pacific Northwest Regional Office

Regional Forester

Notices for Comment and Decisions and Objections affecting Oregon Forests:—“*The Oregonian*”, Portland, Oregon, for National Forest System Lands in the State of Oregon for any projects of Region-wide impact, or for any projects affecting more than one National Forest or National Grassland in Oregon.

Notices for Comment and Decisions and Objections affecting Washington Forests:—“*The Seattle Times*”, Seattle, Washington, for National Forest System Lands in the State of Washington for any projects of Region-wide impact, or for any projects affecting more than one National Forest or National Grassland in Washington.

Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area

Notices for Comment and Decisions and Objections by the Area Manager/ Forest Supervisor are published in:—“*Hood River News*”, Hood River, Oregon.

Oregon National Forests and Grassland

Deschutes National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Bend/ Fort Rock District Ranger, Crescent District Ranger, Redmond Air Center Manager, and Sisters District Ranger are published in:—“*The Bulletin*”, Bend, Oregon.

Fremont-Winema National Forests

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Bly District Ranger, Lakeview District Ranger, Paisley District Ranger, Silver Lake District Ranger, Chemult District Ranger, Chiloquin District Ranger, Klamath District Ranger, are published in:—“*Herald and News*”, Klamath Falls, Oregon.

Malheur National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Blue Mountain District Ranger, Prairie City District Ranger, are published in:—“*Blue Mountain Eagle*”, John Day, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Emigrant Creek District Ranger are published in:—“*Burns Times Herald*”, Burns, Oregon.

Mt. Hood National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor,

Clackamas River District Ranger, Zigzag District Ranger, Hood River District Ranger, Barlow District Ranger, are published in:—“*The Oregonian*”, Portland, Oregon.

Ochoco National Forest and Crooked River National Grassland

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Crooked River National Grassland Area Manager, Lookout Mountain District Ranger, Paulina District Ranger, are published in:—“*The Bulletin*”, Bend, Oregon.

Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forests

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, High Cascades District Ranger, J. Herbert Stone Nursery Manager, Siskiyou Mountains District Ranger, are published in:—“*Mail Tribune*”, Medford, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Wild Rivers District Ranger are published in:—“*Grants Pass Daily Courier*”, Grants Pass, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Gold Beach District Ranger are published in:—“*Curry County Reporter*”, Gold Beach, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Powers District Ranger are published in:—“*The World*”, Coos Bay, Oregon.

Siuslaw National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor are published in:—“*Corvallis Gazette-Times*”, Corvallis, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Central Coast Ranger—Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area District Ranger are published in:—“*The Register-Guard*”, Eugene, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Hebo District Ranger are published in:—“*Tillamook Headlight Herald*”, Tillamook, Oregon.

Umatilla National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, North Fork John Day District Ranger, Heppner District Ranger, Pomeroy District Ranger, Walla Walla District Ranger, are published in:—“*East Oregonian*”, Pendleton, Oregon.

Umpqua National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Cottage Grove District Ranger, Diamond Lake District Ranger, North Umpqua District Ranger, Tiller District Ranger, Dorena Genetic Resource Center Manager, are published in:—“*The News-Review*”, Roseburg, Oregon.

Wallowa-Whitman National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Whitman District Ranger, are published in:—“*Baker City Herald*”, Baker City, Oregon

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by La Grande District Ranger are published in:—“*The Observer*”, La Grande, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Hells Canyon National Recreation Area Manager, Eagle Cap District Ranger, Wallowa Valley District Ranger, are published in:—“*Wallowa County Chieftain*”, Enterprise, Oregon.

Willamette National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Middle Fork District Ranger, McKenzie River District Ranger, Sweet Home District Ranger, are published in:—“*The Register-Guard*”, Eugene, Oregon.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Detroit District Ranger are published in:—“*Statesman Journal*”, Salem, Oregon.

Washington National Forests

Colville National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Three Rivers District Ranger, are published in:—“*Statesman-Examiner*”, Colville, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Sullivan Lake District Ranger, Newport District Ranger, are published in:—“*The Newport Miner*”, Newport, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Republic District Ranger are published in:—“*Ferry County View*”, Republic, Washington.

Gifford Pinchot National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Mount Adams District Ranger, Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument Manager, are published in:—“*The Columbian*”, Vancouver, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Cowlitz Valley District Ranger are published in:—“*The Chronicle*”, Chehalis, Washington.

Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Darrington District Ranger, Skykomish District Ranger, are published in:—“*Everett Herald*”, Everett, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Mt. Baker District Ranger are published in:—“*Skagit Valley Herald*”, Mt. Vernon, Washington

(south half of the district); *“Bellingham Herald”*, Bellingham, Washington (north half of the district).

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Snoqualmie District Ranger are published in:—*“Snoqualmie Valley Record”*, North Bend, Washington (north half of district); *“Enumclaw Courier Herald”*, Enumclaw, Washington (south half of district).

Okanogon-Wenatchee National Forests

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor, Chelan District Ranger, Entiat District Ranger, Tonasket District Ranger, Wenatchee River District Ranger, are published in:—*“The Wenatchee World”*, Wenatchee, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Naches District Ranger are published in:—*“Yakima Herald”*, Yakima, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Methow Valley District Ranger are published in:—*“Methow Valley News”*, Twisp, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Cle Elum District Ranger are published in:—*“Ellensburg Daily Record”*, Ellensburg, Washington.

Olympic National Forest

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Forest Supervisor are published in:—*“The Olympian”*, Olympia, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Hood Canal District Ranger are published in:—*“Peninsula Daily News”*, Port Angeles, Washington.

Notices for Comments, Decisions, and Objections by Pacific District Ranger are published in:—*“The Daily World”*, Aberdeen, Washington (south portion of district); *“Peninsula Daily News”*, Port Angeles, Washington (north portion of district).

Christine Dawe,

Acting Associate Deputy Chief, National Forest System.

[FR Doc. 2021–00724 Filed 1–13–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3411–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–1–2021]

Foreign-Trade Zone 114—Peoria, Illinois; Application for Reorganization under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by the Economic Development Council,

Inc., grantee of FTZ 114, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the FTZ Board (15 CFR Sec. 400.2(c)). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new subzones or “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the FTZ Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a zone. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on January 8, 2021.

FTZ 114 was approved by the FTZ Board on December 21, 1984 (Board Order 288, 50 FR 1606, January 11, 1985) and expanded on October 16, 2009 (Board Order 1647, 74 FR 55813, October 29, 2009).

The current zone includes the following sites: *Site 1* (88 acres)—Peoria Barge Terminal, Inc., 1925 Darst St., Peoria; *Site 2* (150 acres)—United Facilities, Inc., 603 N. Main St., East Peoria; *Site 4* (2 acres)—CDO Distribution, Inc., 5703 Smithville Rd., Bartonville; *Site 5* (37 acres)—KMI Sales, Inc., 278 Koch St., Pekin; *Site 6* (17 acres)—Export Packaging Company, 6409 West Smithville Rd., Bartonville; *Site 7* (360 acres)—Rantoul Airport, 601 S. Century Boulevard, Rantoul; *Site 8* (333 acres)—Logistics Park Galesburg, 659 Knox Road, Galesburg; and, *Site 9* (3 acres)—HK Logistics, 2314 East Wilkins Dr., Mossville.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Peoria, Cass, Champaign, Dewitt, Ford, Fulton, Knox, Livingston, Logan, Macon, Marshall, Mason, McDonough, McLean, Menard, Piatt, Putnam, Sangamon, Schuyler, Stark, Tazewell, Woodford and portions of Bureau and LaSalle Counties, Illinois, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The application indicates that the proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Peoria Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its zone to include existing Sites 7 and 8 as “magnet” sites and existing Sites 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 as “usage-driven” sites. The applicant is proposing that existing Site 9 be removed from the zone. The applicant is also requesting approval of a subzone for Rivian Automotive, LLC at the following sites: *Proposed Site 1* (528

acres)—110 N. Rivian Motorway, Normal, McLean County; *Proposed Site 2* (16 acres)—2601 W. College Avenue, Normal, McLean County; and, *Proposed Site 3* (65.6 acres)—301 W. Kerrick Road, Normal, McLean County. The application would have no impact on FTZ 114’s previously authorized subzones.

In accordance with the FTZ Board’s regulations, Elizabeth Whiteman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board’s Executive Secretary and sent to: ftz@trade.gov. The closing period for their receipt is March 15, 2021. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to March 30, 2021.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection in the “Reading Room” section of the FTZ Board’s website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Elizabeth Whiteman at Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov.

Dated: January 8, 2021.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–00713 Filed 1–13–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Request for Appointment of a Technical Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of information collection, request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public