

Award by at least 10 times. Currently, the CDFI Fund does not evaluate Applicants exceeding the 10:1 ratio more favorably than Applicants that meet the minimum 10 times benchmark. Should this approach be changed to recognize and score more favorably Applicants with total leverage exceeding the minimum requirement?

(k) *Program Income*: During the five-year Investment Period, the repayment of principal and/or equity from projects financed with CMF is considered Program Income and must be reinvested in CMF-eligible projects. To date, the reinvestment has been limited to the Recipient's approved Service Area. Should Recipients be allowed to reinvest CMF Program Income in anywhere in the United States without restriction?

(l) *Streamlined Collection of Data on Track Record/Projections*: As part of the Application, the CDFI Fund collects and evaluates information from Applicants related to their five-year track record and projections. In past Applications, this information has been collected in a format that indicates activity (cost and units) on an annual basis. In the proposed Application, the information collection format in Tables A–C has been changed to a cumulative five-year basis. Is the approach of collecting cumulative data sufficient or should the CDFI Fund continue to collect data for each year?

(m) *Alignment with other Housing Programs*: The CDFI Fund recognizes that the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program is a significant resource used by many CMF Recipients in CMF-financed projects. Are there ways the CDFI Fund can increase alignment with LIHTC to reduce the burden on Applicants, particularly related to requirements or reporting for tenant income determination, long term deed restrictions or restrictive covenants, and income averaging? If so, please describe specific ways the alignment could best be achieved?

(n) *Loan Loss Reserves/Guarantees*: The capitalization of Loan Loss Reserves and providing Loan Guarantees are eligible CMF activities. To ensure oversight and effective use of CMF Awards, the CDFI Fund is considering a requirement that Loan Loss Reserves or Guarantees provided with a CMF Award be established as segregated funds or accounts restricted to provide credit enhancement only for eligible CMF activities. Would such a requirement be feasible for Recipients?

CMF Performance Report

The CDFI Fund is also seeking input on the content of the CMF Performance

Report. The CMF Assistance Agreement requires the collection of annual reports for compliance monitoring and program evaluation purposes.

Data collected through the CMF Performance Report consists of three categories of data: (1) Mandatory data points, (2) conditionally required data points based on project characteristics, financing type or other condition, and (3) optional data points. For most compliance measures, AMIS calculates whether the Recipient is compliant or non-compliant based on the data reported into the system. When the system determines that a non-compliance has occurred, the Recipient is required to complete an explanation of non-compliance prior to submitting the report to the CDFI Fund.

Comments concerning the CMF Performance Report are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the CDFI Fund, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the CDFI Fund's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services required to provide information.

The CDFI Fund also seeks comments on the following specific questions related to the proposed CMF Performance Report:

(a) *Data Collection Changes*: Are the proposals for new data points and deleted data points in the CMF Performance Report appropriate? As explained above, proposed changes are highlighted or listed in the CMF Performance Report Data Points file. Will any of the proposed new data points for the CMF Performance Report highlighted in this file be infeasible or overly burdensome to collect?

(b) *Bulk Upload of Data*: The CMF Performance Report does not currently allow Recipients to use a bulk upload process to create new "project records" in the reporting system. A "project record" is a unique record that is created through the entry of basic data about an investment, such as the location of the investment, the amount of the CMF Award Committed, and other information that typically is entered when an investment is made; data in the project record typically does not have to be revised or changed. The intent of the current policy is to

minimize the accidental creation of duplicate "project records" by Recipients. The CDFI Fund is evaluating the feasibility of allowing Recipients to upload and create multiple project records, such as by uploading a spreadsheet. How can the CDFI Fund ensure that Recipients do not create duplicate records or generate other data quality issues if "project records" are allowed to be created in this manner?

(c) *Output Reports*: The CDFI Fund began providing output reports (summary data based on data inputted by Recipients) in the CMF Performance Report that can be downloaded for individual Recipients to use beginning in July 2020. What modifications to these reports or other output reports would be helpful to Recipients?

(d) *Data Collection Frequency*: An "annual project report" record is a record within the reporting system that generally consists of data points that are updated as a Project progresses, such as dates, or data that is associated with single performance years, such as occupancy in that performance year. A "project record" generally consists of information entered only one time for each Project. Is there any data currently being collected annually on an "annual project report" record that should be collected only once? Is there any data on the "project record" that should be collected annually instead of only once?

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collections of information displays a valid OMB control number.

Authority: Pub. L. 110–289, 12 CFR part 1807.

Jodie L. Harris,

Director, Community Development Financial Institutions Fund.

[FR Doc. 2020–28757 Filed 12–29–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Notice of OFAC Sanctions Actions

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) is publishing the names of one or more persons that have been placed on OFAC's Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List based on OFAC's determination that one or more applicable legal criteria were satisfied. All property and interests in

property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of these persons are blocked, and U.S. persons are generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for effective date(s).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OFAC: Andrea Gacki, Director, tel.: 202–622–2420; Associate Director for Global Targeting, tel.: 202–622–2420; Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202–622–2490; Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202–622–2480; or Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, tel.: 202–622–4855.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

The Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC's website (<https://www.treasury.gov/ofac>).

Notice of OFAC Action(s)

On December 23, 2020, OFAC determined that the property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of the following persons are blocked under the relevant sanctions authority listed below.

Individual

1. KAZAKEVICH, Henadz Arkadzievich (Cyrillic: КАЗАКЕВИЧ, Генадзь Аркадзевіч) (a.k.a. KAZAKEVICH, Genadz Arkadzievich; a.k.a. KAZAKEVICH, Gennadi; a.k.a. KAZAKEVICH, Gennadi Arkadievich (Cyrillic: КАЗАКЕВИЧ, Геннадий Аркадеевич); a.k.a. KAZAKEVICH, Gennady (Cyrillic: КАЗАКЕВИЧ, Геннадий); a.k.a. KAZAKEVICH, Gennady Arkadyevich; a.k.a. KAZAKEVICH, Henadz), Minsk, Belarus; DOB 14 Feb 1975; POB Minsk, Belarus; nationality Belarus; Gender Male (individual) [BELARUS].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii)(A) of Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, “Blocking Property of Certain Persons Undermining Democratic Processes or Institutions in Belarus,” 71 FR 35485, 3 CFR 13405 (E.O. 13405) for being responsible for, or having participated in, actions or policies that undermine democratic processes or institutions in Belarus.

Entities

1. CENTRAL COMMISSION OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS ON ELECTIONS AND HOLDING REPUBLICAN REFERENDA (a.k.a. BELARUSIAN CENTRAL ELECTION COMMISSION; a.k.a. CEC BELARUS; a.k.a. TSENTRALNAYA KAMISIYA RESPUBLIKI BELARUS PA VYBARAKHI I PRAVYADZENNI RESPUBLIKANSKIKH

REFERENDUMAU (Cyrillic: ЦЭНТРАЛЬНАЯ КАМІСІЯ РЭСПУБЛІКІ БЕЛАРУСЬ ПА ВЫБАРАХ І ПРАВЯДЗЕННІ РЭСПУБЛІКАНСКІХ РЭФЕРЭНДУМАУ); a.k.a. TSENTRALNAYA KOMISSIYA RESPUBLIKI BELARUS PO VYBORAM I PROVEDENIYU RESPUBLIKANSKIKH REFERENDUMOV (Cyrillic: ЦЕНТРАЛЬНАЯ КОМИССИЯ РЕСПУБЛИКИ БЕЛАРУСЬ ПО ВЫБОРАМ И ПРОВЕДЕНИЮ РЕСПУБЛИКАНСКИХ РЕФЕРЕНДУМОВ)), 11 Sovetskaya St., House of Government, Minsk 220010, Belarus [BELARUS].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii)(A) of Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, “Blocking Property of Certain Persons Undermining Democratic Processes or Institutions in Belarus,” 71 FR 35485, 3 CFR 13405 (E.O. 13405) for being responsible for, or having participated in, actions or policies that undermine democratic processes or institutions in Belarus.

2. KGB ALPHA (Cyrillic: КГБ АЛЬФА) (a.k.a. ALFA SPETZNAZ; a.k.a. KGB ALFA; a.k.a. “ALPHA GROUP”; a.k.a. “ALPHA UNIT”), Minsk, Belarus [BELARUS].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii)(A) of Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, “Blocking Property of Certain Persons Undermining Democratic Processes or Institutions in Belarus,” 71 FR 35485, 3 CFR 13405 (E.O. 13405) for being responsible for, or having participated in, actions or policies that undermine democratic processes or institutions in Belarus.

3. MAIN INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIRECTORATE OF THE MINSK CITY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (Cyrillic: ГЛАВНОЕ УПРАВЛЕНИЕ ВНУТРЕННИХ ДЕЛ МИНСКОГО ГОРОДСКОГО ИСПОЛНИТЕЛЬНОГО КОМИТЕТА) (a.k.a. GLAVNOYE UPRAVLENIE VNUTRENNIKH DEL MINSKOVO GORISPOLKOMA; a.k.a. MINSK GUVU (Cyrillic: ГУВД МИНСК); a.k.a. THE GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS OF MINSK CITY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE), Dobromislenski Lane, 5, Minsk, Belarus (Cyrillic: пер.Добромысленский,5, г.Минск, Belarus) [BELARUS].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii)(A) of Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, “Blocking Property of Certain Persons Undermining Democratic Processes or Institutions in Belarus,” 71 FR 35485, 3 CFR 13405 (E.O. 13405) for being responsible for, or having participated in, actions or policies that undermine democratic processes or institutions in Belarus.

4. MINSK SPECIAL PURPOSE POLICE UNIT (Cyrillic: МИНСКИЙ ОТРЯД МИЛИЦИИ ОСОБОГО НАЗНАЧЕНИЯ) (a.k.a. MINSK OMON (Cyrillic: МИНСК ОМОН); a.k.a. MINSK OTRYAD MILITSII OSOBOVO NAZNACHENIYA; a.k.a. MINSK SPECIAL PURPOSE MOBILE UNIT; a.k.a. MINSK SPECIAL TASK POLICE FORCE), Heroes of the 120th Division St. 42, Minsk, Belarus (Cyrillic: ул.Героев 120-й дивизии, 42, г.Минск, Belarus) [BELARUS].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii)(A) of Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, “Blocking Property of Certain Persons Undermining Democratic Processes or Institutions in Belarus,” 71 FR 35485, 3 CFR 13405 (E.O. 13405) for being responsible for,

or having participated in, actions or policies that undermine democratic processes or institutions in Belarus.

Dated: December 23, 2020.

Bradley T. Smith,

Deputy Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control, U.S. Department of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 2020–28863 Filed 12–29–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–AL–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Notice of OFAC Sanctions Actions

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) is publishing the names of one or more persons that have been removed from the SDN List. Their property and interests in property are no longer blocked, and U.S. persons are no longer generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them. OFAC is also publishing an update to the identifying information of persons currently included in the SDN List.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OFAC: Associate Director for Global Targeting, tel.: 202–622–2420; Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202–622–2490; Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202–622–2480; or Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, tel.: 202–622–4855.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

The Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC's website. (<https://www.treasury.gov/ofac>).

Notice of OFAC Actions

On August 2, 1990, the President issued Executive Order 12722, “Blocking Iraqi Government Property and Prohibiting Transactions with Iraq.” On August 9, 1990, the President issued Executive Order 12724, “Blocking Iraqi Government Property and Prohibiting Transactions with Iraq.” On July 29, 2004, the President issued E.O. 13350, “Termination of Emergency Declared in Executive Order 12722 With Respect to Iraq and Modification of Executive Order 13290, Executive Order 13303, and Executive Order 13315,” which included an annex listing, in part,