emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has determined that this rule is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

VA's impact analysis can be found as a supporting document at *http://www.regulations.gov,* usually within 48 hours after the rulemaking document is published. Additionally, a copy of the rulemaking and its impact analysis are available on VA's website at *http://www.va.gov/orpm/,* by following the link for ''VA Regulations Published From FY 2004 Through Fiscal Year to Date.''

This proposed rule is not expected to be an E.O. 13771 regulatory action because this proposed rule is not significant under E.O. 12866.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year. This proposed rule would have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program number and title for this proposed rule are as follows: 64.009, Veterans Medical Care Benefits; 64.012, Veterans Prescription Service; 64.019, Veterans Rehabilitation Alcohol and Drug Dependence; 64.041, VHA Outpatient Specialty Care; 64.045, VHA Outpatient Ancillary Services; 64.047, VHA Primary Care; 64.048, VHA Mental Health Clinics.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 17

Administrative practice and procedure, Alcohol abuse, Alcoholism, Claims, Day care, Dental health, Drug abuse, Government contracts, Grant programs—health, Grant programs—veterans, Health care, Health facilities, Health professions, Health records, Homeless, Medical and Dental schools, Medical devices, Medical research, Mental health programs, Nursing homes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Travel and transportation expenses, Veterans.

Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Brooks D. Tucker, Assistant Secretary for Congressional and Legislative Affairs, Performing the Delegable Duties of the Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on October 29, 2020, for publication.

Consuela Benjamin,

Regulations Development Coordinator, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Department of Veterans Affairs proposes to amend 38 CFR part 17 as set forth below:

PART 17—MEDICAL

■ 1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501, and as noted in specific sections.

* * * * *

■ 2. Amend § 17.108 by revising paragraphs (e)(16) and (17) and adding (e)(18) to read as follows:

§ 17.108 Copayments for inpatient hospital care and outpatient medical care.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(16) In-home video telehealth care;

(17) Mental health peer support services; and

(18) An outpatient care visit solely for education on the use of opioid antagonists to reverse the effects of overdoses of specific medications or substances.

* * * * *

■ 4. Amend § 17.110 by adding a new paragraph (c)(12) to read as follows:

§ 17.110 Copayments for medication.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(12) Opioid antagonists furnished to a veteran who is at high risk for overdose of a specific medication or substance in order to reverse the effect of such an overdose.

(i) For purposes of this paragraph (c)(12), a veteran who is at high risk for overdose of a specific medication or substance in order to reverse the effect of such an overdose is a veteran:

(A) Who is prescribed or using opioids, or has an opioid use history, and who is at increased risk for opioid overdose as determined by VA; or

(B) Whose provider deems, based on their clinical judgment, that the veteran may benefit from ready availability of an opioid antagonist.

(ii) Examples of a veteran who is at high risk for overdose of a specific medication or substance in order to reverse the effect of such an overdose include, but are not limited to, the following:

(A) A veteran with an opioid or substance use disorder diagnosis;

(B) A veteran receiving treatment for an opioid or substance use disorder diagnosis, such as receiving opioid agonist therapy or inpatient, residential, or outpatient treatment for such diagnosis, or attending a support group for such diagnosis;

(C) A veteran with a history of prescription opioid misuse or injection opioid use;

(D) A veteran with a history of previous opioid overdose;

(E) A veteran who is taking an extended-release or long-acting prescription opioid;

(F) A veteran with household or community access to opioids who is at increased risk for overdose (*e.g.,* psychiatric disorder or high risk for suicide) as determined by VA; or

(G) A veteran predicted to be at high risk for overdose based on standardized assessments or predictive models (*e.g.,* Risk Index for Overdose or Serious Opioid-induced Respiratory Depression [RIOSORD]; Stratification Tool for Opioid Risk Mitigation [STORM]).

Note 1 to paragraph (c)(12). The examples in § 17.110(c)(12)(ii)(A) through (G) apply even if the veteran has had a period of abstinence from opioids (*e.g.,* due to treatment, detoxification, incarceration) because loss of tolerance can increase the risk for an overdose.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2020–24370 Filed 11–5–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R05–OAR–2016–0321; FRL–10016–33–Region 5]

Air Plan Approval; Michigan; Partial Approval and Partial Disapproval of the Detroit SO_2 Nonattainment Area Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of the comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is reopening the comment period for a proposed revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP) published September 18, 2020. Sierra Club requested additional time to provide comments; therefore, EPA is reopening the comment period for 28 days from the close of the previous comment period.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published on September 18, 2020 (85 FR 58315), is reopened. Comments must be received on or before November 16, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2016–0321 at *http://www.regulations.gov,* or via email to *Aburano.Douglas@epa.gov.* For comments submitted at *Regulations.gov,* follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov.* For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.,* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit *http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah Arra, Environmental Scientist, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–9401, *Arra.Sarah@epa.gov.* The EPA Region 5 office is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID 19.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 18, 2020 (85 FR 58315), EPA proposed to partially approve and partially disapprove a revision to the Michigan SIP for attaining the 2010 1-hour primary sulfur dioxide (SO_2) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for the Detroit SO_2 nonattainment area. This SIP revision includes Michigan's attainment demonstration and other elements required under the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA proposed to approve the base year emissions inventory, and to affirm that the nonattainment new source review requirements for the area have been met. EPA proposed to disapprove the attainment demonstration, as well as the requirements for meeting reasonable further progress toward attainment of the NAAQS, reasonably available control measures and reasonably available control technology, and contingency measures. Finally, EPA proposed to disapprove the plan's control measures for two facilities as not demonstrating attainment, and proposed to approve the enforceable control measures for two facilities as SIP strengthening. The comment period closed on October 19, 2020. On October 9, 2020, EPA received a request from the Sierra Club to extend the comment period for four weeks from the end of the comment period.

Dated: November 2, 2020.

Kurt Thiede,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2020–24759 Filed 11–5–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA–R08–OAR–2020–0098; FRL–10016–53–Region 8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Utah; Salt Lake City and Provo, Utah $PM_{2.5}$ Redesignations to Attainment and Utah State Implementation Plan Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing the redesignation of the Salt Lake City, Utah and Provo, Utah nonattainment areas (NAAs) to attainment for the 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 2.5 microns ($PM_{2.5}$) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), and also acting on multiple related State Implementation Plan (SIP) submissions. We are proposing to approve SIP revisions submitted by the State of Utah on January 19, 2017; April 19, 2018; February 4 and 15, 2019; and January 13, May 21, and July 21, 2020. These SIP submissions include revisions to Utah Administrative Code (UAC) Sections R307–110, R307–200, and R307–300 Series; revisions to Utah SIP Sections X.B and E; revisions to Utah SIP Sections IX.H.11, 12, and 13; best available control measures/best available control technologies (BACM/BACT) $PM_{2.5}$ determinations for Salt Lake City and Provo; maintenance plans for the Salt Lake City and Provo areas for $PM_{2.5}$; and the request for redesignation under the 2006 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ standard. Additionally, the EPA is proposing to approve, through parallel processing, a request to remove startup and shutdown emission limits for Kennecott's Power Plant in the Utah SIP and the accompanying R307–110–17 revisions (draft dated October 9, 2020). The EPA is taking this action pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 7, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2020–0098, to the Federal Rulemaking Portal: *https://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *www.regulations.gov.* The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.,* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit *http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.*

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the *www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.,* CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available