attained the standards for the revoked 1997 8-hour NAAQS by the applicable attainment date of June 15, 2013. The determination was based upon complete quality-assured and certified data for the 3 calendar years 2010–2012. Under the provisions of the EPA's ozone implementation rule, these determinations suspend the applicable requirements under 40 CFR 51.900(f) and those listed under Clean Air Act sections 172(c) and 182.

Subpart G—Colorado

■ 3. Section 52.350 is amended by adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 52.350 Control strategy: Ozone.

(d) Determination of attainment by the attainment date. Effective December 8, 2020, the EPA determined the Denver-Boulder-Greeley-Ft. Collins-Loveland, CO, Marginal ozone nonattainment area attained the revoked 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) by the applicable attainment date of November 20, 2010. The determination was based upon complete quality-assured and certified data for the three calendar years 2007–2009. Under the provisions of the EPA's ozone implementation rule, this determination suspends the applicable requirements under 40 CFR 51.900(f) and those listed under Clean Air Act sections 172(c) and 182.

Subpart HH—New York

■ 4. Section 52.1683 is amended by adding paragraph (s) to read as follows:

§ 52.1683 Control strategy: Ozone.

* * * * *

- (s) Determination of attainment by the attainment date. Effective December 8, 2020, the EPA determined that certain areas in New York designated Moderate nonattainment attained the revoked 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) by the applicable attainment date of June 15, 2010. The determination was based upon complete quality-assured and certified data for the 3 calendar years 2007–2009. Under the provisions of the EPA's ozone implementation rule, this determination suspends the applicable requirements under 40 CFR 51.900(f) and those listed under Clean Air Act sections 172(c) and 182 for:
- (1) Buffalo-Niagara Falls (consisting of Erie and Niagara Counties).
- (2) Jamestown (consisting of Chautauqua County).
- (3) Jefferson County (consisting of Jefferson County).

(4) Poughkeepsie (consisting of Dutchess, Orange and Putnam Counties).

Subpart YY—Wisconsin

■ 5. Section 52.2585 is amended by adding paragraph (nn) to read as follows:

§ 52.2585 Control strategy: Ozone.

* * * * *

(nn) Determination of attainment by the attainment date. Effective December 8, 2020, the EPA determined that the Shoreline Sheboygan County, Wisconsin, and the Inland Sheboygan County, Wisconsin, Moderate ozone nonattainment areas attained the revoked 1997 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) by the applicable attainment date of June 15, 2010. The determinations are based upon complete quality-assured and certified data for the three calendar years 2007-2009. Together, the separate Shoreline Sheboygan County, Wisconsin, and the Inland Sheboygan County, Wisconsin, areas encompass the identical geographic area of the original fullcounty Sheboygan County, Wisconsin, area. The EPA's initial February 8, 2019, determination of attainment by the attainment date applied to the original full-county area, and continues to apply to the separate areas. Under the provisions of the EPA's ozone implementation rule, this determination suspends the applicable requirements under 40 CFR 51.900(f) and those listed under Clean Air Act sections 172(c) and 182.

[FR Doc. 2020–19559 Filed 10–8–20; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R08-OAR-2019-0621; FRL-10015-23-Region 8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Utah; Regional Haze 5-Year Progress Report State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a regional haze progress report State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Utah on March 7, 2016. The revision addresses the requirements for states to submit periodic reports describing progress toward reasonable progress goals established for regional haze and a determination of adequacy of the State's regional haze SIP. The EPA is taking this action pursuant to section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: This rule is effective on November 9, 2020.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2019-0621. All documents in the docket are listed on the http://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through http:// www.regulations.gov, or please email the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jaslyn Dobrahner, Air and Radiation Division, EPA, Region 8, Mailcode 8ARD–IO, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, (303) 312–6252, dobrahner.jaslyn@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document "we," "us," and "our" means the EPA.

I. Background

Under the Regional Haze Rule, states are required to submit progress reports that evaluate progress towards the reasonable progress goals for each mandatory federal Class I area within the state and in each Class I area outside the state that may be affected by emissions from within the state.1 In addition, the provisions also require states to submit, at the same time as the progress report, a determination of the adequacy of the state's existing regional haze plan. The first progress report must be in the form of a SIP revision and is due 5 years after submittal of the initial regional haze SIP.

On March 7, 2016, Utah submitted a Progress Report SIP revision which: (1) detailed the progress made toward achieving progress for improving visibility at Class I areas; ² and (2)

¹⁴⁰ CFR 51.309(d)(10).

² 42 U.S.C. 7491(a). Areas designated as mandatory Class I Federal areas consist of national parks exceeding 6,000 acres, wilderness areas and national memorial parks exceeding 5,000 acres, and all international parks that were in existence on August 7, 1977. 42 U.S.C. 7472(a). In accordance

declared a determination of adequacy of the State's regional haze plan to meet reasonable progress goals.

On June 16, 2020, the EPA published a proposed rulemaking titled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Utah; Regional Haze 5-Year Progress Report State Implementation Plan" proposing to approve Utah's Progress Report SIP revision.³ The rationale for the EPA's proposed action is explained in the proposed rulemaking and will not be restated here. The EPA is finalizing its proposed approval of the Progress Report as meeting the applicable regional haze requirements set forth in 40 CFR 51.309(d)(10).

II. Response to Comments

We received three comments on our proposed rulemaking during the public comment period. The EPA determined that some of these comments, or portions thereof, are outside the scope of our proposed action and fail to identify any material issue necessitating a response.

Comment: The commenter stated that part of the approval is based on 7-year old data noting that key visibility metrics described previously show improvement in visibility conditions between the baseline (2000–2004) and current conditions (2009–2013).

Response: We agree with the commenter that Utah provided data from 2009-2013 (current period) to compare visibility progress with the 2000-2004 (baseline period). The Regional Haze Rule required that the first progress reports be submitted in 2013 and include an assessment of changes in visibility conditions between the baseline period and the "past five years." ⁴ Additionally, the EPA's April 2013 General Principles for the 5-Year Regional Haze Progress Reports for the Initial Regional Haze State Implementation Plans states that "[f]or 'current visibility conditions,' the reports should include the 5-year average that includes the most recent

with section 169A of the CAA, the EPA, in consultation with the Department of Interior, promulgated a list of 156 areas where visibility is identified as an important value. 44 FR 69122 (November 30, 1979). The extent of a mandatory Class I area includes subsequent changes in boundaries, such as park expansions. 42 U.S.C. 7472(a). Although states and tribes may designate as Class I additional areas whose visibility they consider to be an important value, the requirements of the visibility program set forth in section 169A of the CAA apply only to "mandatory Class I Federal areas." Each mandatory Class I Federal area is the responsibility of a "Federal Land Manager." 42 U.S.C. 7602(i). When we use the term "Class I area" in this section, we mean a "mandatory Class I Federal area.

quality assured public data available at the time the state submits its 5-year progress report for public review." ⁵ Thus, Utah's report, which was submitted for public review in 2014 and to the EPA in 2016, ⁶ appropriately compared 2009–2013 data to the baseline period. Additionally, we note that Utah's progress report and the proposed rule also assessed Utah's progress in comparison to the Western Regional Air Partnership (WRAP) 2018 Preliminary Reasonable Progress projections. ⁷

Comment: The commenter argues that private universities have CO₂ emissions and should be regulated. In addition, the commenter states that the definition of haze should be broadened to include light emissions.

Response: This action is limited to the visibility impairing pollutants that Utah considered during the initial 10-year regional haze implementation period as required for regional haze progress reports, which included sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_X), and particulate matter (PM).⁸ Therefore, an EPA assessment of CO₂ and anthropogenic light emissions is beyond the scope of this action as CO₂ and anthropogenic light emissions are not included in Utah's initial regional haze SIP.

Comment: The commenter expressed support for the rulemaking and noted that reductions in visibility impairing emissions will benefit people residing in Utah, as well as the entire ecosystem.

Response: We acknowledge the commenter's support for this action.

III. Final Action

The EPA is finalizing approval of Utah's March 7, 2016, Regional Haze Progress Report as meeting the applicable regional haze requirements set forth in 40 CFR 51.309(d)(10).

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Utah State Air Quality Rules described in amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials

generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 8 Office (please email the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.9

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

^{3 85} FR 36359 (June 16, 2020).

⁴⁴⁰ CFR 51.309(d)(10), (d)(10)(C).

⁵ U.S. EPA, General Principles for the 5-Year Regional Haze Progress Reports for the Initial Regional Haze State Implementation Plans (Intended to Assist States and EPA Regional Offices in the Development and Review of the Progress Reports), page 7, April 2013.

⁶ Utah Progress Report, page 2 (Certification).

⁷ See 85 FR 36364-68.

⁸ See 40 CFR 51.309(d)(10).

⁹⁶² FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the

Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by December 8, 2020. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Greenhouse gases, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 28, 2020.

Gregory Sopkin,

Regional Administrator, Region 8.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart TT—Utah

- 2. In § 52.2320:
- a. The table in paragraph (c) is amended by adding the entry "R307–110–28" in numerical order.
- b. The table in paragraph (e) is amended by adding the entry "Progress Report for Utah's State Implementation Plan for Regional Haze" at the end of the section under the center heading "XVII. Visibility Protection".

The additions read as follows:

§ 52.2320 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

Rule No.			Rule title	Stat	e effective date	Final rule citation, date	Comments
:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
			R307-110. General Re	quirements: S	tate Implementa	tion Plan	
;	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
R307–110)–28		Section XX. Regional Haz	e		ert Federal Register citation], 0/9/2020.	
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
* *	*	* *	(e) * * *				
Rule title			Sta effec da	ctive	Final ru	le citation, date	Comments
,	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
			XVI	. Visibility Pro	tection		
Progress	* Report r Regiona	* for Utah's State	* Implementation 2	* /4/2016 [inser	* t Federal Registe	* er citation], 10/9/2020.	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 2020–21813 Filed 10–8–20; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 147

[EPA-HQ-OW-2020-0123; FRL-10013-68-OWI

Wyoming Underground Injection Control Program; Class VI Primacy

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) is hereby approving an application from the State of Wyoming under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) to implement an underground injection control (UIC) program for Class VI injection wells to protect underground sources of drinking water located within the state, except within Indian lands. EPA will continue to administer all well classes within Indian lands. Class VI wells are used for the underground injection of carbon dioxide into deep subsurface rock formations for long-term storage.

DATES: This final rule is effective on October 9, 2020. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51 on October 9, 2020.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2020-0123. All documents in the docket are listed on the https://www.regulations.gov Website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through https:// www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Molly McEvoy, Drinking Water Protection Division, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4606M), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564– 4765; email address: mcevoy.molly@ epa.gov or Wendy Cheung, Underground Injection Control Section, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop Street, MSC 8WD—SDU, Denver, Colorado 80202; telephone number: (303) 312–6242; email address: *cheung.wendy@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The State of Wyoming received primacy enforcement responsibility (primacy) for Class I, III, ĪV, and V injection wells under the SDWA section 1422 on August 17, 1983, and Class II injection wells under the SDWA section 1425 on December 23, 1982. Wyoming has applied to EPA under section 1422 of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. 300h-1, for primacy for Class VI injection wells, except those located on Indian lands. The UIC program revision package from Wyoming includes a description of the State Underground Injection Control program for Class VI injection wells, copies of all applicable rules and forms, a statement of legal authority, a summary and results of Wyoming's public participation activities, and a Memorandum of Agreement between Wyoming and EPA's Regional Administrator for Region 8.

This action is based on a legal and technical review of the State of Wyoming's application as directed in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 40 CFR part 145. As a result of this review, EPA is approving Wyoming's application because it meets or exceeds all applicable requirements for approval under the SDWA section 1422 and the Agency has determined that the state is capable of administering a Class VI UIC program in a manner consistent with the terms and purposes of the SDWA and all applicable regulations to protect underground sources of drinking water (USDWs).

II. Legal Authorities

This regulation is being promulgated under authority of the SDWA sections 1422 and 1450, 42 U.S.C. 300h–1 and 300j–9.

III. Requirements for State UIC Programs

SDWA section 1421 requires the Administrator of EPA to promulgate minimum requirements for effective state UIC programs to prevent underground injection activities that endanger USDWs. SDWA section 1422 establishes requirements for states seeking EPA approval of state UIC programs.

For states that seek approval for UIC programs under SDWA section 1422, EPA has promulgated a regulation setting forth the applicable procedures and substantive requirements, codified at 40 CFR part 145. It includes requirements for state permitting programs (by referencing certain

provisions of 40 CFR parts 124 and 144), compliance evaluation programs, enforcement authority, and information sharing.

IV. Wyoming's Application

A. Background

On January 31, 2020, Wyoming submitted a program revision application to add Class VI injection wells to the state's SDWA section 1422 UIC program. The UIC program revision package from Wyoming includes a description of the state UIC program for Class VI injection wells, copies of all applicable rules and forms, a statement of legal authority, a summary and results of Wyoming's public participation activities, and a Memorandum of Agreement between Wyoming and EPA's Regional Administrator for Region 8. EPA reviewed the application for completeness and simultaneously performed a technical evaluation of the application materials.

On April 14, 2020, EPA published a Federal Register document announcing Wyoming's submittal of a complete UIC program revision application to the Agency. In that document, EPA proposed to approve the application from Wyoming under the SDWA section 1422 to implement a UIC program for Class VI injection wells located within the state, except those on Indian country; sought public comments on the Agency's intent to approve Wyoming's application; and provided an opportunity to request a public hearing.

B. Public Participation Activities Conducted by the State of Wyoming

In 2019, Wyoming held two public hearings with public comment periods on the state's intent to adopt its Class VI UIC regulations. The Wyoming Water and Waste Advisory Board (WWAB) held the first public hearing on June 25, 2019, in Casper, Wyoming. The WWAB accepted public comments beginning on May 17, 2019, through the adjournment of the public hearing. The Wyoming Environmental Quality Council held the second public hearing on November 19, 2019, in Cheyenne, Wyoming. The Wyoming Environmental Quality Council accepted comments on proposed revisions from September 13, 2019, through October 30, 2019. The Wyoming Class VI regulations were signed by the Governor of Wyoming on January 23, 2020. Documentation of all public participation activities, including those associated with Class VI UIC regulations and subsequent revisions that the state proposed before 2019 can