

**DATES:** Comments are due within 30 days of the date of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments can be provided to Gary M. Gates, Civil Engineer, Helena Airports District Office, 2725 Skyway Drive Suite 2, Helena, MT 59602, (406) 449-5271.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Monte Hasl, Airport Superintendent, Nampa Municipal Airport, 411 3rd Street South, Nampa Idaho 83651 or Gary M. Gates, Civil Engineer, Helena Airports District Office, 2725 Skyway Drive Suite 2, Helena, MT 59602, (406) 449-5271. Documents reflecting this FAA action may be reviewed at the above locations.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the provisions of Title 49, U.S.C. 47153(c), and 47107(h)(2), the FAA is considering a proposal from the Airport Superintendent, Nampa Municipal Airport, to change a portion of the Nampa Municipal Airport from aeronautical use to non-aeronautical use in order to relocate a portion of an existing roadway outside of the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ). A total of 6 parcels, consisting of approximately 4.68 acres were included in the request. The FAA has reviewed the request and determined that all of the parcels or portions thereof in the request package can be released from aeronautical use. The land will remain under the City of Nampa ownership and will be leased at fair market value for non-aeronautical revenue generation. The revenue will support the Airports aviation needs, including upcoming airport development projects. The proposed use of this property is considered compatible with other airport operations in accordance with FAA's Policy and Procedures Concerning the Use of Airport Revenue, published in **Federal Register** on February 16, 1999.

Issued in Helena, Montana on September 28, 2020.

**Steven L. Engebrecht,**

*Acting Manager, Helena Airports District Office.*

[FR Doc. 2020-21780 Filed 10-1-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2020-0027-N-25]

#### Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) and its implementing regulations, this notice announces that FRA is forwarding the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the information collection and its expected burden. On July 16, 2020, FRA published a notice providing a 60-day period for public comment on the ICR.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 2, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed ICR should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find the particular ICR by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Hodan Wells, Information Collection Clearance Officer, Office of Railroad Safety, Regulatory Analysis Division, Federal Railroad Administration, telephone (202) 493-0440, email: [Hodan.wells@dot.gov](mailto:Hodan.wells@dot.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, require Federal agencies to issue two notices seeking public comment on information collection activities before OMB may approve paperwork packages. See 44 U.S.C. 3506, 3507; 5 CFR 1320.8 through 1320.12. On July 16, 2020, FRA published a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comment on the ICR for which it is now seeking OMB approval. See 85 FR 43298. FRA received no comments in response to this notice.

Before OMB decides whether to approve these proposed collections of information, it must provide 30 days for public comment. Federal law requires OMB to approve or disapprove paperwork packages between 30 and 60 days after the 30-day notice is

published. 44 U.S.C. 3507(b)-(c); 5 CFR 1320.12(d); see also 60 FR 44978, 44983, Aug. 29, 1995. OMB believes the 30-day notice informs the regulated community to file relevant comments and affords the agency adequate time to digest public comments before it renders a decision. 60 FR 44983, Aug. 29, 1995. Therefore, respondents should submit their respective comments to OMB within 30 days of publication to best ensure having their full effect.

*Comments are invited on the following ICR regarding:* (1) Whether the information collection activities are necessary for FRA to properly execute its functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FRA's estimates of the burden of the information collection activities, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates; (3) ways for FRA to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information being collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of information collection activities on the public, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The summaries below describe the ICR<sup>1</sup> that FRA will submit for OMB clearance as the PRA requires:

*Title:* Railroad Safety Appliance Standards.

*OMB Control Number:* 2130-0594.

*Abstract:* The information collection associated with 49 CFR part 231 is used by FRA to promote and enhance the safe placement and securement of safety appliances on modern rail equipment by establishing a process for the review and approval of existing industry standards. In 2011, FRA amended the regulations related to safety appliance arrangements by permitting railroad industry representatives to submit requests for the approval of existing industry standards relating to the safety appliance arrangements on newly constructed railroad cars, locomotives, tenders, or other rail vehicles in lieu of the specific provisions contained in part 231.

*Type of Request:* Extension with change (revised estimates) of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Businesses.

*Form(s):* N/A.

<sup>1</sup> After an internal agency review, FRA adjusts the ICR's total estimated annual responses from 7 responses to 6 responses, consistent with FRA's experience of one response approximately every two months. FRA does not anticipate the filing of any statement of interests under 49 CFR 231.35(b)(2)(iii), thus decreasing the burden hours from 38 hours to 37 hours.

*Respondent Universe:* Railroads/ railroad industry representatives/rail labor unions/general public.

*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion.

*Total Estimated Annual Responses:* 6.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden:* 37 hours.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hour Dollar Cost Equivalent:* \$2,849.

Under 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.5(b) and 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that a respondent is not required to respond to, conduct, or sponsor a collection of information that does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

(Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520)

**Brett A. Jortland,**

*Deputy Chief Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2020–21836 Filed 10–1–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2019–0094; Notice 2]

#### Porsche Cars North America, Inc., Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Grant of petition.

**SUMMARY:** Porsche Cars North America, Inc. has determined that certain model year (MY) 2018 Porsche 911 GT3 motor vehicles do not fully comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 108, *Lamps, Reflective Devices, and Associated Equipment*. Porsche filed a noncompliance report dated July 24, 2019. Porsche subsequently petitioned NHTSA on August 20, 2019, for a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety. This document announces the grant of Porsche's petition for inconsequential noncompliance.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leroy Angeles, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), telephone (202) 366–5304, facsimile (202) 366–3081.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Overview

Porsche has determined that certain MY 2018 Porsche 911 GT3 motor vehicles do not fully comply with

Paragraph S8.1.4 and Table I–a of FMVSS No. 108, *Lamps, Reflective Devices, and Associated Equipment*. (49 CFR 571.108). Porsche filed a noncompliance report dated July 24, 2019, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, *Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports*. Porsche subsequently petitioned NHTSA on August 20, 2019, for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) and 49 CFR part 556, *Exemption for Inconsequential Defect or Noncompliance*.

Notice of receipt of Porsche's petition was published with a 30-day public comment period, on January 3, 2020, in the **Federal Register** (85 FR 412). No comments were received. To view the petition and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) website at <https://www.regulations.gov/>. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA–2019–0094.”

##### II. Vehicles Involved

Approximately 2,610 MY 2018 Porsche 911 GT3 motor vehicles, manufactured between August 30, 2017, and December 21, 2018, are potentially involved.

##### III. Noncompliance

Porsche explains that the noncompliance is that the subject vehicles are equipped with rear reflex reflectors that do not meet the height requirements as specified in paragraph S8.1.4 and Table I–a of FMVSS No. 108. Specifically, the rear reflex reflectors are mounted approximately 0.20 inches below the required 15 inches above the road surface. The actual height above the road surface is approximately 14.8 inches.

##### IV. Rule Requirements

Paragraph S8.1.4 and Table I–a of FMVSS No. 108 includes the requirements relevant to this petition. The reflective devices should not be mounted less than 15 inches and no more than 60 inches in height.

##### V. Summary of Porsche's Petition

The following views and arguments presented in this section are the views and arguments provided by Porsche. They do not reflect the views of the Agency.

Porsche described the subject noncompliance and stated that the

noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety.

Porsche submitted the following views and arguments in support of its petition:<sup>1</sup>

1. The installation height requirements of reflex reflectors as defined by paragraph S8.1.4 of FMVSS No. 108 are intended to assure a sufficient luminous intensity of the reflex reflectors towards the source of illumination. Although the rear reflex reflectors' installation height falls slightly below the specified minimum height by 0.20 inches (5 mm), Porsche has confirmed that the rear reflex reflectors meet or exceed all applicable FMVSS requirements regarding the luminous intensity performance as stated under § 571.108, S14 and all other relevant requirements of FMVSS No. 108 of paragraphs S8.1 and S8.2. Porsche provided a copy of the photometric test results for the rear reflex reflectors, which Porsche believes shows that the installation height does not affect the performance of the luminous intensity of the rear reflex reflectors or the visibility of the subject vehicles.

2. Porsche is unaware of any accidents, injuries, warranty claims or customer complaints related to the slight shortfall of the rear reflex reflectors' installation height. The absence of indicant data supports the conclusion that the minimal deviation in mounting height does not affect the performance of the rear reflectors or the visibility of the subject vehicles.

3. Porsche notes that NHTSA has previously granted a similar petition.<sup>2</sup> In that petition, Harley-Davidson Motor Company, Inc. described the noncompliance with FMVSS No. 108 where the rear reflex reflectors were mounted an average of 0.3 inches to 0.7 inches below the required 15-inch height. NHTSA determined that this noncompliance, where the deviation from the specified height was even greater than in the present case, was inconsequential to motor vehicle safety based primarily on the lack of reduction in conspicuity as compared to compliant vehicles. Porsche suggests that its noncompliant vehicles are also equally conspicuous.

4. The purpose of the FMVSS No. 108 reflex reflector requirement is to prevent crashes by permitting early detection of an unlighted motor vehicle at an intersection or when parked on or by the side of the road, and the height requirement is intended “to ensure adequate reflex reflector performance

<sup>1</sup> See Docket Number “NHTSA–2019–0094–001”.

<sup>2</sup> See 79 FR 69558, November 21, 2014.