

(1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or

(2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or

(3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

(ii) There is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite;

The proposed action involves only a schedule change, which is administrative in nature and does not involve any changes to be made in the types or significant increase in the amounts of effluents that may be released offsite.

(iii) There is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure;

Since the proposed action involves only a schedule change, which is administrative in nature, it does not contribute to any significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure.

(iv) There is no significant construction impact;

The proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature; the application is for a standard design certification the review of which is suspended until further notice. No application for construction or operation has been filed. Accordingly, the proposed action does not involve any construction impact.

(v) There is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents;

The proposed action involves only a schedule change which is administrative in nature and does not impact the probability or consequences of accidents.

(vi) The requirements from which an exemption is sought involve:

(1) Reporting requirements;

The exemption request involves submitting a report required by 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(iii); and

(2) Scheduling requirements;

The proposed exemption relieves that applicant from submitting the required reports for the years 2020–2024.

IV. Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants Framatome a one-time exemption from

the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(iii) until December 31, 2024.

This exemption provides that Framatome shall submit a report under 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(iii) before making any request that the NRC resume its review of the U.S. EPR standard design certification application and that Framatome shall update that report or take other appropriate action no later than December 31, 2024.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22, the Commission has determined that the exemption request meets the applicable categorical exclusion criteria set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), and the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of September 2020.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Anna H. Bradford,

Director, Division of New and Renewed Licenses, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2020–21811 Filed 10–1–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2020–257 and CP2020–287; MC2020–258 and CP2020–288]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* October 6, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s):* MC2020–257 and CP2020–287; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 665 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* September 28, 2020; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:*

¹ See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).

Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due:* October 6, 2020.

2. *Docket No(s):* MC2020–258 and CP2020–288; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 119 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* September 28, 2020; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:* Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due:* October 6, 2020.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–21801 Filed 10–1–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–90022; File No. SR–MEMX–2020–09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MEMX, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Extend the Current Pilot Program Related to MEMX Rule 11.15, Clearly Erroneous Executions

September 28, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 17, 2020, MEMX LLC (“MEMX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposed rule change to proposed rule change [sic] to extend the current pilot program related to MEMX Rule 11.15, “Clearly Erroneous Executions,” to the close of business on

April 20, 2021. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to extend the effectiveness of the Exchange’s current rule applicable to Clearly Erroneous Executions to the close of business on April 20, 2021. Portions of Rule 11.15, explained in further detail below, are currently operating as a pilot program which expired on April 20, 2020.⁵

On May 4, 2020, the Commission approved MEMX’s Form 1 Application to register as a national securities exchange with rules including, on a pilot basis, MEMX Rule 11.15.⁶ Rule 11.15, among other things (i) provides for uniform treatment of clearly erroneous execution reviews in multi-stock events involving twenty or more securities; and (ii) reduces the ability of the Exchange to deviate from objective standards set forth in the rule. The rule further provides that: (i) A series of transactions in a particular security on one or more trading days may be viewed as one event if all such transactions were effected based on the same fundamentally incorrect or grossly misinterpreted issuance information resulting in a severe valuation error for all such transactions; and (ii) in the event of any disruption or malfunction in the operation of the electronic communications and trading facilities of the Exchange, another SRO, or responsible single plan processor in connection with the transmittal or receipt of a trading halt, an Officer of the Exchange or senior level employee designee, acting on his or her own motion, shall nullify any transaction that occurs after a trading halt has been

declared by the primary listing market for a security, and before such a trading halt has officially ended according to the primary listing market.⁷

Previously, the pilot program and the current Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Act (the “Limit Up-Limit Down Plan” or the “LULD Plan”) were a single pilot program. On December 26, 2018, the Commission published the proposed Eighteenth Amendment to the LULD Plan to allow the LULD Plan to operate on a permanent, rather than pilot, basis.⁸ On April 17, 2019, the Commission published an approval of the Eighteenth Amendment.⁹ Accordingly, national securities exchanges filed with the Commission amendments to exchange rules to untie the pilot program’s effectiveness from that of the LULD Plan in order to provide such exchanges additional time to consider further amendments, if any, to the clearly erroneous execution rules in light of the proposed Eighteenth Amendment to the LULD Plan.¹⁰

On July 6, 2020, MEMX executed and filed with the Commission an amended copy of the LULD Plan.¹¹ An amended and executed copy of the LULD Plan, with MEMX included as a Party, was provided to each then current Party to the Plan, in accordance with the requirements for the addition of a new member to the LULD Plan. Accordingly, MEMX is now a Participant pursuant to the LULD Plan.¹²

The Exchange now proposes to amend MEMX Rule 11.15 to extend the pilot’s effectiveness to the close of business on April 20, 2021. MEMX understands that the other national securities exchanges and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) also intend to file similar proposals to extend their respective clearly erroneous execution pilot programs, the substance of which are identical to MEMX Rule 11.15.

The Exchange does not propose any additional changes to MEMX Rule 11.15. By proposing to extend the pilot, the Exchange will avoid any discrepancy between its clearly erroneous pilot program and the pilot programs of other exchanges and

⁷ See MEMX Rule 11.15.

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012) (the “Limit Up-Limit Down Release”).

⁹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85623 (April 11, 2019), 84 FR 16086 (April 17, 2019) (File No. 4–631).

¹⁰ See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85542 (April 8, 2019), 84 FR 15009 (April 12, 2019) (SR–CboeBYX–2019–003).

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89420 (July 29, 2020), 85 FR 46762 (August 3, 2020).

¹² See *id.*

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

⁵ See MEMX Rule 11.15.

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88806 (May 4, 2020), 85 FR 27451 (May 8, 2020).