

e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: DOL-Office of Workers' Compensation Programs

Type of Review: New Collection.

Title of Collection: DEEOIC

Authorization Forms

Agency Form Number: EE-22, EE-24,

EE-26, EE-28, EE-30, EE-32

OMB Control Number: 1240-0NEW.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; businesses or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 12,890.

Frequency: Varies by form.

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 66,770.

Estimated Average Time per

Response: 10 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 11,129.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A).

Anjanette Suggs,

Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2020-21790 Filed 10-1-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CR-P

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: 20-080]

### Name of Information Collection: Term and Condition Notification of Harassment Form

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of information collection

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections.

DATES: Comments are due by 10/31/2020.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Roger Kantz, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 300 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20546-0001 or call 281.792.7885.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection

instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Roger Kantz, NASA Clearance Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20546 or email [Travis.Kantz@nasa.gov](mailto:Travis.Kantz@nasa.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract:

This collection of information supports NASA's term and condition regarding sexual harassment, other forms of harassment, and sexual assault. This term and condition requires recipient organizations to report to NASA any findings/determinations of sexual harassment, other forms of harassment, or sexual assault regarding a NASA funded PI or Co-I. The new term and condition will also require the recipient to report to NASA if the PI or Co-I is placed on administrative leave or if the recipient has imposed any administrative action on the PI or Co-I, or any determination or an investigation of an alleged violation of the recipient's policies or codes of conduct, statutes, regulations, or executive orders relating to sexual harassment, other forms of harassment, or sexual assault.

In reviewing harassment notifications pursuant to the term and condition, it will be necessary for the Agency to have complete information provided in a consistent manner. The information provided will be used by the Agency to assess the matters reported and to consult with the Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR), or designee of the reporting institution. Based on the results of this review and consultation, NASA may, if necessary, assert its programmatic stewardship responsibilities and oversight authority to initiate the substitution or removal of the PI or any co-PI, reduce the award funding amount, or where neither of those previous options is available or adequate, to suspend or terminate the award.

#### II. Methods of Collection:

Electronic.

#### III. Data

Title: NASA Term and Condition Notification of Harassment Form.

OMB Number:

Type of review: New.

Affected Public: NASA grant recipient institution reporting officials.

Estimated Annual Number of Activities: 20.

Estimated Number of Respondents per Activity: 1.

Annual Responses: 20.

Estimated Time per Response: 1 hour.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 20.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$2,000.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) The accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (2) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (3) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

Roger Kantz,

NASA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2020-21810 Filed 10-1-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: 20-079]

### Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for NASA's Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Soil Cleanup Activities at Santa Susana Field Laboratory, Ventura County, California

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Record of Decision (ROD).

SUMMARY: NASA announces its decision concerning agency actions related to soil cleanup activities at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, Ventura County, California. NASA's decision is supported by the detailed analysis found in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Soil Cleanup Activities (SEIS), as summarized in the agency's Record of Decision (ROD).

ADDRESSES: The Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) SEIS ROD and related National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents are available at NASA's SSFL project website (<https://www.nasa.gov/feature/environmental-impact-statement-eis-for-demolition-and-environmental-cleanup-activities>).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Zorba, SSFL Project Director, email at [msfc-ssfl-information@mail.nasa.gov](mailto:msfc-ssfl-information@mail.nasa.gov), or phone (202) 714-0496. Additional information about NASA's SSFL site, the soil and cleanup activities, and the associated planning process and documentation (as

available) may be found on the internet at <https://www.nasa.gov/feature/environmental-impact-statement-eis-for-demolition-and-environmental-cleanup-activities> or on the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) website at [https://www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Santa\\_Susana\\_Field\\_Lab/](https://www.dtsc.ca.gov/SiteCleanup/Santa_Susana_Field_Lab/).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

NASA prepared the SSFL SEIS in accordance with NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), CEQ NEPA implementing regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), NASA's NEPA Implementing regulations (14 CFR 1216.3), and NASA NEPA policy (NASA Procedural Requirements 8580.1—Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act and Executive Order 12114). NASA announced its intent to prepare a SEIS on April 5, 2018 (84 FR 13725). The SEIS was limited in scope to the proposed soil cleanup activities at SSFL.<sup>1</sup> Preparation of the SEIS was required because NASA determined that significant new circumstances exist relevant to environmental concerns bearing on the cleanup of the SSFL site and the potential environmental impacts of those activities exist. Specifically, the estimated quantity of soil required to be removed during site cleanup under the California DTSC's proposed framework had increased substantially since the publication of NASA's 2014 Final EIS. This increase had the potential to significantly alter the environmental impacts that were evaluated in the 2014 Final EIS. For this reason, pursuant to NEPA, NASA determined it was required to prepare a supplement to the 2014 Final EIS. On October 25, 2019, NASA published a Notice of Availability (NOA) (84 FR 57490) for the SSFL Draft SEIS, which initiated a 45-day public comment period. On December 9, 2019, NASA published a notice in the FR which advised the public that the comment period would be extended by 30 days to January 8, 2020 (84 FR 67296). During the comment period, NASA received

approximately 1,200 comments and hosted two public meetings in Ventura County and Los Angeles County respectively. Oral and written comments were received at each public meeting. After considering the comments received on the Draft SEIS, NASA prepared and published the Final SEIS on July 24, 2020 (85 FR 44930).

##### SSFL Site Background

SSFL is located on 2,850 acres of open, rocky terrain above California's Simi Valley in southeastern Ventura County, approximately 30 miles northwest of Los Angeles. SSFL is divided into four Administrative Areas (Areas I through IV) and two undeveloped areas. Area II and a small portion of Area I (the Former Liquid Oxygen (LOX) Plant Area) are owned by the U.S. Government and administered by NASA. The remainder of the property is owned by The Boeing Company (Boeing). In Area IV, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has prepared an environmental impact statement for building demolition and the cleanup of soil and groundwater and remediation activities related to its previous activities at SSFL (see *e.g.*, NOA, 83 FR 67282).

Since the mid-1950s, when SSFL was administered by the U.S. Air Force, this site has been used for developing and testing rocket engines. Four test stand complexes were constructed in Area II between 1954 and 1957 named Alfa, Bravo, Coca, and Delta. These test areas along with the LOX Plant portion of Area I were acquired by NASA from the U.S. Air Force in the 1970s. NASA conducted rocket engine testing in support of the nation's space exploration programs (*e.g.*, Apollo program, Space Shuttle program) at SSFL and concluded its activities related to rocket engine and component testing in 2006.

Environmental sampling at SSFL indicates that contaminants are present in soil within the NASA-administered portion of SSFL. In 2018, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) approved NASA's Soil Treatability Study and in 2019 DTSC approved NASA's Soil Data Summary Report. The scientific data from these reports showed the soil quantity that may need to be removed from the SSFL site under DTSC's Look Up Table (LUT) framework (*i.e.*, Alternative A in the Final SEIS) far exceeded the estimate NASA used in its 2014 Final EIS. NASA subsequently determined this constituted significant new information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the Proposed Action.

In 2009, NASA completed a review of its property holdings at SSFL and determined SSFL was no longer needed by NASA to meet its then current needs or future mission requirements. On September 14, 2009, NASA requested the General Services Administration (GSA) take action for disposition of its portion of SSFL. On September 17, 2009, the GSA conditionally accepted NASA's request to undertake action to dispose of the property subject to the following conditions: (1) The receipt of NASA's certification that all remedial action necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the property has been taken, or receipt of EPA's [Environmental Protection Agency's] written concurrence that an approved and installed remedial design is operating properly and successfully, or; (2) the receipt of the Governor's concurrence in the suitability of the property for transfer as provided in CERCLA [Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act] Section 120(h)(3)(C).

##### Purpose and Need for Agency Action

The purpose and need of NASA's proposed action is to use the best available science and technology to achieve a soil cleanup at SSFL in a timely manner that minimizes impacts to the community, and which is fully protective of the public health and welfare. As set forth in the ROD, NASA has decided that, based on its comparison of alternatives in the Final SEIS, selection of Alternative C—Suburban Residential Cleanup (based on the DTSC-approved Standardized Risk Assessment) most fully supports the purpose and need and is NASA's preferred and environmentally preferred alternative for soil cleanup at SSFL. Selection of Alternative C applies an accepted risk methodology to soil cleanup activities which accounts for the reasonably foreseeable future use of the land, the health and safety of surrounding communities, the protection and preservation of significant cultural and natural resources, and the implementability of each alternative.

##### Alternatives

In the Draft and Final SEIS, NASA evaluated the No Action Alternative and four other action alternatives. In the Final SEIS, the impacts of soil remediation activities at the NASA-administered Area I Former LOX Plant and Area II are comprehensively evaluated. The alternatives considered for cleaning up the soil are as follows:

<sup>1</sup> NASA published a Final Environmental Impact Statement for demolition of site infrastructure, soil cleanup and groundwater remediation within NASA administered "Area II" and a portion of "Area I" (former LOX Plant) of SSFL on March 14, 2014 (79 FR 14545). NASA subsequently issued a ROD for building demolition on April 23, 2014. At that time, a decision was made to defer issuing RODs for the cleanup of soil and groundwater until further investigations, analysis, and planning could be completed. Upon completion of the SSFL Draft Groundwater Corrective Measure Study, a ROD allowing groundwater cleanup at SSFL was signed on October 4, 2018 and published in the **Federal Register** on October 17, 2018 (83 FR 52570).

Alternative A: Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) Cleanup; Alternative B: Revised LUT Levels Cleanup; Alternative C: Suburban Residential Cleanup; Alternative D: Recreational Cleanup; and the No Action Alternative

These alternatives are described in detail in the *Alternatives Selection* section of the ROD (see section B.4) and Chapters 2 and 3 of the Final SEIS. The following specifics apply to the cleanup alternatives considered in this SEIS:

- All risk-based alternatives are protective of public health and the environment and follow nationwide U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines and the DTSC-approved standardized risk-based methodology specific to SSFL.
- The implementation of the “AOC” cleanup alternative would have the most significant adverse impacts to the surrounding community and the protected cultural, natural, and biological resources.
- The beneficial impacts for biology, water resources, and health and safety are the same for all the cleanup alternatives.

#### Comments Received on the Final SEIS

The Notice of Availability for the SSFL Final SEIS published in the *Federal Register* on July 24, 2020 commencing a 30-day pre-decisional waiting period that concluded on August 24, 2020. During this pre-decisional period, NASA received comments from the United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, the City of Los Angeles, and a joint letter from the Committee to Bridge the Gap (CBG), Physicians for Social Responsibility—Los Angeles (PSR—LA), and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC). The CBG also submitted supplemental comments in support of the joint comments described above. Prior to making its final decision, NASA considered the matters raised by each commenter, evaluated the analysis, scientific basis, and methodology used to validate the conclusions set forth in the Final SEIS, and determined the received comments do not affect the findings of the Final SEIS. Specific responses to the received comments are found in Section C.2 of the ROD.

#### Decision

NASA has decided to adopt Alternative C—Suburban Residential Cleanup as its Preferred Alternative for implementation of soil cleanup activities at the NASA administered areas of SSFL. Selection of Alternative C applies an accepted risk assessment methodology to soil cleanup activities which accounts for the reasonably

foreseeable future use of the land, is fully protective of the health and safety of surrounding communities, preserves significant existing cultural and natural resources, reduces the time horizon for project completion, reduces the environmental impact of the overall project, provides greater flexibility to the U.S. government in the event the property is transferred at a later date, and considers the long term implementability of each alternative.

The Associate Administrator for Mission Support Directorate signed the Soil Cleanup ROD, which constitutes the final decision by NASA for soil cleanup at SSFL. A copy of this document can be found at <https://www.nasa.gov/feature/environmental-impact-statement-eis-for-demolition-and-environmental-cleanup-activities>.

**Cheryl Parker,**

*Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2020-21787 Filed 10-1-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-13-P**

---

### THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

#### Institute of Museum and Library Services

#### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests: Maker/STEM Education Support for 21st Century Community Learning Centers Program Evaluation

**AGENCY:** Institute of Museum and Library Services, National Foundation for the Arts and the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice, request for comments, collection of information.

**SUMMARY:** The Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This pre-clearance consultation program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The purpose of this notice is to solicit comments concerning a proposed survey and interviews to document the implementation of the Maker/STEM Education Support for 21st Century

Community Learning Centers (21st CCLC) program and investigate outcomes for museum staff, 21st CCLC staff, and youth participants. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before November 30, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Connie Bodner, Ph.D., Director of Grants Policy and Management, Office of Grants Policy and Management, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 955 L'Enfant Plaza North SW, Suite 4000, Washington, DC 20024-2135. Dr. Bodner can be reached by Telephone: 202-653-4636 or by email at [cbodner@imls.gov](mailto:cbodner@imls.gov), or by teletype (TTY/TDD) for persons with hearing difficulty at 202-653-4614. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Reagan Moore, Senior Program Officer, Office of Museum Services, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 955 L'Enfant Plaza North SW, Suite 4000, Washington, DC 20024-2135. Ms. Moore can be reached by Telephone: 202-653-4637, or by email at [rmoore@imls.gov](mailto:rmoore@imls.gov), or by teletype (TTY/TDD) for persons with hearing difficulty at 202-653-4614.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** IMLS is particularly interested in public comment that help the agency to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

#### I. Background

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is the primary source of Federal support for the Nation's libraries and museums. We advance,