

derivatives clearing organization (“DCO”). Broadly speaking, in cleared swaps transactions customers provide collateral to futures commission merchants (“FCMs”) through whom they clear their transactions. FCMs, in turn, may provide customer collateral to DCOs, through which FCMs clear transactions for their customers. 17 CFR part 22 is intended to implement CEA section 4d(f). Several of the sections of Part 22 require collections of information.

Section 22.2(g) requires each FCM with Cleared Swaps Customer Accounts to compute daily the amount of Cleared Swaps Customer Collateral on deposit in Cleared Swaps Customer Accounts, the amount of such collateral required to be on deposit in such accounts and the amount of the FCM’s residual financial interest in such accounts. The purpose of this collection of information is to help ensure that FCMs’ Cleared Swaps Customer Accounts are in compliance at all times with statutory and regulatory requirements for such accounts.

Section 22.5(a) requires an FCM or DCO to obtain, from each depository with which it deposits cleared swaps customer funds, a letter acknowledging that such funds belong to the Cleared Swaps Customers of the FCM, and not the FCM itself or any other person. The purpose of this collection of information is to confirm that the depository understands its responsibilities with respect to protection of cleared swaps customer funds.

Section 22.11 requires each FCM that intermediates cleared swaps for customers on or subject to the rules of a DCO, whether directly as a clearing member or indirectly through a Collecting FCM, to provide the DCO with information sufficient to identify each customer of the FCM whose swaps are cleared by the FCM. Section 22.11 also requires the FCM, at least once daily, to provide the DCO with information sufficient to identify each customer’s portfolio of rights and obligations arising out of cleared swaps intermediated by the FCM. The purpose of this collection of information is to facilitate risk management by DCOs in the event of default by the FCM, to enable DCOs to perform their duty, pursuant to section 22.15, to treat the collateral attributed to each customer of the FCM on an individual basis.

Section 22.12 requires that each DCO and FCM, on a daily basis, calculate, based on information received pursuant to section 22.11 and on information generated and used in the ordinary course of business by the DCO or FCM, and record certain information about the

amount of collateral required for each Cleared Swaps Customer and the sum of these amounts. As with section 22.11, the purpose of this collection of information is to facilitate risk management by DCOs and in the event of default by the FCM, to enable DCOs to perform their duty, pursuant to section 22.15, to treat the collateral attributed to each customer of the FCM on an individual basis.

Section 22.16 requires that each FCM who has Cleared Swaps Customers disclose to each of such customers the governing provisions, as established by DCO rules or customer agreements between collecting and depositing FCMs, relating to use of customer collateral, transfer, neutralization of the risks, or liquidation of cleared swaps in the event of a default by a Depositing FCM relating to a Cleared Swaps Customer Account. The purpose of this collection of information is to ensure that Cleared Swaps Customers are informed of the procedures to which accounts containing their swaps collateral may be subject in the event of a default by their FCM.

Section 22.17 requires that each FCM produce a written notice of the reasons and the details concerning withdrawals from a Cleared Swaps Customers Account not for the benefit of Cleared Swap Customers if such withdrawal will exceed 25% of the FCMs residual interest in such account.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. On July 17, 2020, the Commission published in the **Federal Register** notice of the proposed extension of this information collection and provided 60 days for public comment on the proposed extension, 85 FR 43547 (“60-Day Notice”) The Commission did not receive any comments on the 60-Day Notice.

Burden Statement: The Commission is revising its estimate of the burden for this collection for 78 respondents (63 FCMs and 15 DCOs). The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

Estimated Number of Respondents: 78.

Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent: 331.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 25,890.

Frequency of Collection: Section 22.2(g)—Daily.

Section 22.5(a)—Once.

Section 22.11—Daily.

Section 22.12—Daily.

Section 22.16—Once.

Section 22.17—On occasion.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

Dated: September 22, 2020.

Robert Sidman,

Deputy Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–21224 Filed 9–24–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT FINANCE CORPORATION

[DFC–016]

Submission for OMB Review

AGENCY: U.S. International Development Finance Corporation (DFC).

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection; Emergency Clearance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. International Development Finance Corporation (DFC) is submitting a request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for emergency review and clearance of a new information collection request under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: DFC intends to begin use of this collection immediately.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the subject information collection may be sent by any of the following methods:

- *Mail:* Joanna Reynolds, Agency Submitting Officer, U.S. International Development Finance Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20527.

- *Email:* fedreg@opic.gov

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and agency form number or OMB form number for this information collection. Electronic submissions must include the agency form number in the subject line to ensure proper routing. Please note that all written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Agency Submitting Officer: Joanna Reynolds, (202) 357–3979.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), the U.S. International Development Finance Corporation (DFC) is seeking emergency clearance from OMB on a new information collection titled DFC–016, DFC-Serbia—Kosovo Screening Tool.

Summary Form Under Review

Title of Collection: DFC-Serbia—Kosovo Screening Tool

Type of Review: New information collection.

Agency Form Number: DFC–016.

OMB Form Number: Not assigned, new information collection.

Frequency: Once per user per project.
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; individuals.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 1.5 hours.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 150 hours.

Abstract: The DFC Serbia-Kosovo Investment Portal will be the principal document used by DFC to screen the viability of potential of projects for DFC financing as part of the implementation of the Serbia-Kosovo Economic Normalization Agreement.

Nichole Skoyles,

Administrative Counsel, Office of the General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2020-21157 Filed 9-24-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Ground Based Strategic Deterrent Deployment and Minuteman III Decommissioning and Disposal

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The United States Air Force (Air Force) is issuing this Notice of Intent (NOI) to advise the public of its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate potential impacts on the human and natural environments of deploying the Ground Based Strategic Deterrent (GBSD) intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) system and decommissioning and disposing of the Minuteman III ICBM system. The Air Force invites public participation in the scoping process to determine the scope and significant issues to be analyzed in depth in the EIS and eliminate issues which are not significant.

DATES: A public scoping period of 45-days will take place starting from the date of this NOI publication in the **Federal Register**. Comments will be accepted at any time during the environmental impact analysis process; however, to ensure the Air Force has sufficient time to consider public scoping comments during preparation of the Draft EIS, please submit comments within the 45-days scoping period. Major milestone dates for the GBSD EIS are as follows:

- Draft EIS and Notice of Availability (NOA) Publication, Spring 2022
- Draft EIS Public Comment Period and Hearing, Spring 2022
- Final EIS and NOA Publication, Spring 2023
- Final ROD signature, Spring 2023

Given the complexity and the scope of this proposal, the Air Force anticipates the environmental analysis to extend past two years for completion and has received senior agency official approval.

ADDRESSES: For GBSD deployment EIS inquiries or requests for printed or digital copies of the scoping materials, please contact Capt Christina Camp, phone: (318) 456-6519, or request materials by email:

AFGSC.GBSD.ImpactStudy@us.af.mil.

The public and interested parties can submit their comments through the project website at *www.gbsdeis.com*; or mail comments to AFCEC/CZN, Attn: GBSD Project EIS, 2261 Hughes Avenue, Suite 155, JBSA Lackland TX 78236-9853; FedEx and UPS deliveries to AFCEC/CZN, Attn: GBSD Project EIS; 3515 S General McMullen, San Antonio, TX 78226-9853.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the proposed action is to replace all ground based Minuteman III weapons systems within the continental United States with the GBSD system. The proposed action is needed to meet national security requirements and to comply with the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Pub. L. 115-232 § 1663, 132 Stat. 2153), which directs the Air Force to develop and implement a strategy “to accelerate the development, procurement, and fielding of the ground-based strategic deterrent program.” The scope of the deployment activities would include replacing all ground based Minuteman III ICBMs in the United States, including motors, interstages, and missile guidance sets, with the GBSD weapon system, a technologically advanced ICBM system. All launch facilities, communication systems, infrastructure, and technologies would be modernized and replaced as necessary to support the GBSD system.

The Secretary of the Air Force announced that the GBSD deployment will take place at three sequenced Operational Locations 1-3 (“Ops 1-3”), with the scope of each Ops location occurring both on-base and in the associated missile fields. The Ops locations are the alternatives being consider in the EIS. Each location is the preferred alternative for its respective sequenced order, and includes Francis E. Warren Air Force Base (AFB) WY

(Ops-1); Malmstrom AFB, MT (Ops-2); and Minot AFB, ND (Ops-3). The additional maintenance, training, storage, testing, support, decommissioning, and disposal actions would occur at Hill AFB, UT; the Utah Test and Training Range (UTTR), UT; Camp Guernsey, WY; and Camp Navajo, AZ.

The EIS may consider alternatives that include deploying the GBSD system in phases. The Proposed Action would not include generating or disposing of nuclear material, and the number of ground based nuclear missiles would remain unchanged. Deployment of the GBSD system would begin in the mid-2020s, extending the capabilities of the ground-based leg of the U.S. nuclear triad through at least 2075.

The EIS will analyze facility construction, modification, and operations at and around Francis E. Warren AFB and Camp Guernsey, Malmstrom AFB, Minot AFB, Hill AFB and UTTR, and Camp Navajo. During the transition from Minuteman III to GBSD, the two weapon systems would be partially operated and maintained concurrently for several years; therefore, the EIS also will analyze the overlapping actions and resulting impacts of conducting aspects of the programs in parallel. The EIS will also analyze the No Action Alternative which will also be fully considered. It serves as the baseline against which to compare the Proposed Action. Under the No Action Alternative, the Air Force would continue to maintain and operate the Minuteman III weapon system in its current configuration and the GBSD system would not be deployed. Expected environmental impacts are assumed to result from ground disturbing activities associated with construction of the GBSD system. It is anticipated that these environmental impacts, will be mitigated to the extent practical or avoided where possible. Further, the Air Force will pursue all required Federal and State permits, licenses, and other authorizations during the course of this EIS process, including but not limited to consultations under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (54 U.S.C. 300101 *et seq.*) and the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), as well as permits under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 *et seq.*).

The scoping process allows and invites early and meaningful participation by the public and is used to define the full range of issues and concerns to be evaluated in the EIS. As such, the Air Force is soliciting scoping comments and/or identification of