

Senco Brands Inc.¹ (“Kyocera” or “Requester”) of Cincinnati, Ohio. 82 *FR* 55118–19 (Nov. 20, 2017). The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleged violations of section 337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain gas spring nailer products and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,387,718 (“the ’718 patent”); 8,011,547 (“the ’547 patent”); 8,267,296 (“the ’296 patent”); 8,27,297 (“the ’297 patent”); 8,286,722 (“the ’722 patent”); and 8,602,282 (“the ’282 patent”).² The complaint further alleged the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as a respondent Hitachi Koki U.S.A., Ltd.³ (“Koki”) of Braselton, Georgia. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations did not participate in the investigation. The ’547 patent was terminated from the investigation and, prior to the evidentiary hearing, the parties stipulated that the ’718 patent is the only patent at issue since no violation could be shown as to the ’296, ’297, ’722, and ’282 patents. *See* Order No. 28 (Oct. 24, 2018); *see also* Joint Stipulation Regarding Order No. 28 (Oct. 26, 2018).

On March 5, 2020, the Commission issued its final determination finding a violation of section 337 based on infringement, satisfaction of the domestic industry requirement, and non-invalidity with respect to the ’718 patent. 85 *FR* 14244–46 (Mar. 11, 2020). The Commission issued a limited exclusion order (“LEO”) directed against Koki’s infringing products, and a cease and desist order (“CDO”) directed against Koki. *Id.* On July 1, 2020, Koki filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit which is currently pending (Appeal No. 20–2050).

On June 30, 2020, U.S. Customs and Border Protection issued a ruling, pursuant to 19 CFR part 177, that a redesign of Koki’s is outside of the scope of the LEO issued in the investigation. In response, on August 17, 2020, Kyocera petitioned for institution of a modification proceeding, requesting the Commission to determine

if the redesign is outside of the scope of the LEO and CDO and to modify the orders to specify the status of the redesigns. On August 27, 2020, Koki filed an opposition to the petition.

The Commission has determined that Requester’s petition complies with the requirements for institution of a modification proceeding under Commission Rule 210.76(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.76(a)(1)) to determine whether Koki’s redesigned, accused products infringe claims 1, 10, or 16 of the ’718 patent and whether the order should be modified to specify that. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute a modification proceeding and refer Requester’s petition to the Chief Administrative Law Judge as detailed in the accompanying Order. The assigned ALJ will make findings, may request briefing, and will issue a recommended determination (“RD”) to the Commission at the earliest practicable time after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Commission will issue a modification opinion within 60 days of receipt of the ALJ’s RD unless the Commission otherwise orders. The following entities are named as parties to the proceeding: (1) Kyocera and (2) Koki.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 16, 2020.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in sections 335 and 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1335, 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 16, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–20842 Filed 9–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Notice of Request for Extension of Previously Approved Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: 60-Day notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the intention of the U.S. International Trade Commission (Commission) to request a three-year extension, under the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the Act), of the current generic clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) previously approved. This collection was developed as part of a Federal Government-wide effort to streamline the process for seeking feedback from the public on service delivery. The current generic survey clearance is assigned OMB Control No. 3117–0222; it will expire on November 30, 2020. The Commission requests comments concerning the proposed information collections under section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Act; this notice describes such comments in greater detail in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

DATES: To assure that the Commission will consider your comments, it must receive them no later than 60 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: All Commission offices, including the Commission’s hearing rooms, are located in the United States International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC. All written comments should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436 and filed electronically on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You may obtain copies of supporting documents from Zachary Coughlin (zachary.coughlin@usitc.gov or 202–205–3435). Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. You may also obtain general information concerning the Commission by accessing its website (<https://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Written Comments

You may submit comments, identified by docket number MISC–034. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary and must conform to the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.8). Section 201.8 and the Commission’s Handbook on Filing Procedures require that interested parties file documents electronically on or before the filing deadline. Please note the Secretary’s Office will accept only electronic filings during this time. Filings must be made through the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS, <https://>

¹ During the investigation, Kyocera Senco Brands Inc. changed its name to Kyocera Senco Industrial Tools, Inc. *See* Final Initial Determination at 3 n.3 (citing Kyocera’s Initial Post-Hearing Br. at 4 n.3).

² The Commission terminated the ’547 patent from the investigation in June 2018. *See* Order No. 13 (June 4, 2018), unreviewed by Comm’n Notice (June 22, 2018).

³ During the investigation, Hitachi Koki U.S.A., Ltd. changed its name to Koki Holdings America Ltd. *See* Hitachi’s Initial Post-Hearing Br. at 3.

edis.usitc.gov). No in-person paper-based filings or paper copies of any electronic filings will be accepted until further notice. Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary at *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov*.

Request for Comments

The Commission solicits comments as to: (1) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) the quality, utility, clarity, and design of the information to be collected; and (4) minimization of the burden of the proposed information collection on those who are to respond (including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses)). To the extent appropriate, please cite to specific experiences that your firm has had with other governmental surveys and data collections.

Summary of the Proposed Information Collections

(1) Need for the Proposed Information Collections

The proposed information collection activity provides a means to garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner. This qualitative feedback provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions of customers and stakeholders. The feedback helps the Commission gain understanding into customer or stakeholder experiences and expectations and provides an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Commission and its customers and stakeholders and contribute directly to the improvement of program management.

(2) Description of the Information To Be Collected

The solicitation of feedback will target areas such as: Timeliness, appropriateness, accuracy of information, courtesy, efficiency of service delivery, and resolution of

issues with service delivery. Responses will be assessed to plan and inform efforts to improve or maintain the quality of service offered to the public. If this information is not collected, vital feedback from customers and stakeholders on the Agency's services will be unavailable. Feedback collected under this generic clearance provides useful information, but it does not yield data that can be generalized to the overall population. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address: The target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential nonresponse bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior to fielding the study. As a general matter, information collections will not result in any new system of records containing privacy information and will not ask questions of a sensitive nature.

The Agency will only submit a collection for approval under this generic clearance if it meets the following conditions:

- The collections are voluntary;
- The collections are low-burden for respondents (based on considerations of total burden hours, total number of respondents, or burden-hours per respondent) and are low-cost for both the respondents and the Federal Government;
- The collections are noncontroversial and do not raise issues of concern to other Federal agencies;
- Any collection is targeted to the solicitation of opinions from respondents who have experience with the program or may have experience with the program in the near future;
- Personally identifiable information (PII) is collected only to the extent necessary and is not retained;
- Information gathered will be used only internally for general service improvement and program management purposes and is not intended for release outside of the agency;
- Information gathered will yield qualitative information; the collections will not be designed or expected to yield statistically reliable results or used

as though the results are generalizable to the population of study.

(3) Estimated Burden of the Proposed Information Collection

The Commission estimates that information collections issued under the requested generic clearance will impose an average annual burden of 300 hours on 600 respondents.

No record keeping burden is known to result from the proposed collection of information.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 17, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020-20873 Filed 9-21-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1014 and 1016 (Third Review)]

Polyvinyl Alcohol From China and Japan; Scheduling of Full Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of full reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on polyvinyl alcohol from China and Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: September 17, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alejandro Orozco (202-205-3177), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 6, 2020, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject