

U.S.–101 interchange), which serve as the northern and southern project termini. Major components of the build alternatives are described below.

- **Throat and Elevated Rail Yard**—The build alternatives would include subgrade, signal, and structural improvements in the throat segment to increase the elevation of the tracks leading to the rail yard in the concourse segment at LAUS. The throat would be reconstructed with up to seven lead tracks north of LAUS. The build alternatives would also include new passenger platforms with canopies above the elevated rail yard.

- **Passenger Concourse**—The build alternatives would include: (1) An expanded passageway; or (2) an at-grade passenger concourse. The expanded passageway or at-grade passenger concourse would be constructed below the elevated rail yard.

- **Run-Through Tracks**—The build alternatives would include up to 10 new run-through tracks (including the possibility of a loop track) south of LAUS to facilitate connections for regional/intercity rail trains and future HSR trains to the main line tracks on the west bank of the Los Angeles River.

- **BNSF Malabar Yard Off-Site Improvements**—The build alternatives also require off-site improvements to BNSF's Malabar Yard in the City of Vernon, California, primarily on 46th Street and 49th Street. The off-site improvements are proposed to restore and offset the permanent loss of storage track capacity at the BNSF West Bank Yard.

The proposed action would also require modifications to US–101 and local streets (including potential street closures and geometric modifications); railroad signal, positive train control, and communications-related improvements; modifications to the Gold Line light rail platform and tracks; modifications to the main line tracks on the west bank of the Los Angeles River; modifications to Keller Yard and the Amtrak lead track; permanent removal of storage tracks and partial relocation of the BNSF West Bank Yard; new access roadways to the railroad right-of-way; additional right-of-way; new utilities; utility relocations, replacements, and abandonments; and new drainage facilities/water quality improvements.

Probable Effects

The EIS will include an evaluation of all environmental, social, and economic impacts of the construction and operation of the proposed action in detail. Impact areas to be addressed in the EIS include: Transportation; air

quality and greenhouse gases; noise and vibration; public utilities and energy; biological and wetland resources; floodplains, hydrology and water quality; geology, soils, seismicity; hazardous waste and materials; safety and security; socioeconomic and communities; land use and planning; visual quality and aesthetics; historic, cultural and paleontological resources; regional growth; and environmental justice. Measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate adverse effects will be identified and evaluated.

Scoping and Comments

FRA and Metro have previously carried out scoping for the Link US Project EIS. Since publication of the 2016 NOI, the Authority and Metro have identified the BNSF Malabar Yard off-site improvements as a necessary component of the Link US Project. FRA, on behalf of the Authority, is issuing this Revised NOI to solicit additional public and agency input into the development of the scope of the EIS for the Link US Project with respect to the BNSF Malabar Yard off-site improvements and to advise the public that outreach activities conducted by the Authority and Metro and its representatives will be considered in the preparation of the EIS. Comments and suggestions on the additional project elements described in this Revised NOI are invited from all interested agencies, Native American Tribes, and the public to ensure the full range of issues related to the proposed action and all reasonable build alternatives are addressed and all significant issues are identified. In particular, the Authority and Metro are interested in determining whether there are areas of environmental concern where there might be a potential for adverse effects.

In response to this Revised NOI, public agencies with jurisdiction are requested to advise the Authority and Metro of the applicable permit and environmental review requirements of each agency, and the scope and content of the environmental information that is germane to the agency's statutory responsibilities in connection with the proposed action. Public agencies are requested to advise the Authority and Metro if they anticipate taking a major action in connection with the proposed project and if they wish to cooperate in the preparation of the EIS. To date, Caltrans, District 7 has expressed its intention to participate as a cooperating agency in the preparation of the EIS. The virtual public scoping meeting has been scheduled as an important component of the scoping process for the Federal environmental review. The

virtual public scoping meeting described above in this Revised NOI will also be advertised locally and included in additional public notification.

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being or have been carried out by the State of California pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated July 23, 2019, and executed by the FRA and the State of California.

Issued in Washington, DC.

Jamie P. Rennert,

Director, Office of Infrastructure Investment.

[FR Doc. 2020–20520 Filed 9–16–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Form 5330

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Internal Revenue Service (IRS), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The IRS is soliciting comments concerning Return of Excise Taxes Related to Employee Benefit Plans.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before November 16, 2020 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Kinna Brewington, Internal Revenue Service, Room 6526, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form and instructions should be directed to Martha R. Brinson, at (202)317–5753, or at Internal Revenue Service, Room 6526, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20224, or through the internet at Martha.R.Brinson@irs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Return of Excise Taxes Related to Employee Benefit Plans.

OMB Number: 1545–0575.

Form Number: 5330.

Abstract: Internal Revenue Code sections 4971, 4972, 4973(a)(3), 4975,

4976, 4977, 4978, 4978A, 4978B, 4979, 4979A and 4980 impose various excise taxes in connection with employee benefit plans. Form 5330 is used to compute and collect these taxes.

Current Actions: There are no changes being made to this form at this time.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Business or other-for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 8,403.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 64.28 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 540,145.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.

Request for Comments: Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. Comments will be of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper

performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Approved: September 10, 2020.

Martha R. Brinson

Tax Analyst.

[FR Doc. 2020-20410 Filed 9-16-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P