

Federation (Russia)), AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 16, 2020, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) initiated countervailing duty (CVD) investigations of imports of phosphate fertilizers from Morocco and Russia.<sup>1</sup> Currently, the preliminary determinations are due no later than September 21, 2020.<sup>2</sup>

##### Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires Commerce to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation within 65 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation. However, section 703(c)(1) of the Act permits Commerce to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which Commerce initiated the investigation if: (A) The petitioner<sup>3</sup> makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) Commerce concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. Commerce will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request.

On August 20, 2020, the petitioner submitted a timely request that Commerce postpone the preliminary CVD determinations.<sup>4</sup> The petitioner

<sup>1</sup> See *Phosphate Fertilizers from the Kingdom of Morocco and the Russian Federation: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigations*, 85 FR 44505 (July 23, 2020) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>2</sup> The current deadline for the preliminary determination falls on September 19, 2020, which is a Saturday. Commerce's practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend or federal holiday, the appropriate deadline is the next business day, which is Monday, September 21, 2020. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

<sup>3</sup> The petitioner in these proceedings is the Mosaic Company.

<sup>4</sup> See Petitioner's Letters, "Phosphate Fertilizers from Morocco: Petitioner's Request for Postponement of the Preliminary Determination," dated August 20, 2020; and "Phosphate Fertilizers

stated that it requests postponement as "additional time is needed for {Commerce} to analyze fully the questionnaire responses, issue supplemental questionnaires as appropriate, and prepare an accurate preliminary determination."<sup>5</sup>

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner has stated the reasons for requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination, and Commerce finds no compelling reason to deny the request. Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, Commerce is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations to no later than 130 days after the date on which these investigations were initiated, *i.e.*, November 23, 2020. Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations of these investigations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: August 28, 2020.

**Joseph A. Laroski Jr.,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.*

[FR Doc. 2020-19410 Filed 9-1-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-938]

#### Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Second Five-Year Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies, at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable September 2, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ian Hamilton, AD/CVD Operations, Office

from Russia: Petitioner's Request for Postponement of the Preliminary Determination," dated August 20, 2020.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4798.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On May 29, 2009, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of the CVD order on citric acid from China.<sup>1</sup> On May 1, 2020, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>2</sup> On May 18, 2020, Commerce received a complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review of the *Order* from domestic interested parties<sup>3</sup> within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>4</sup> The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act as manufacturers in the United States of the domestic like product.<sup>5</sup>

On June 1, 2020, the domestic interested parties filed an adequate substantive response within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>6</sup> Commerce did not receive substantive responses from any other interested parties with respect to the *Order* covered by this sunset review. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

##### Scope of the Order

The scope of the *Order* includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid,

<sup>1</sup> See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Countervailing Duty Order*, 74 FR 25705 (May 29, 2009) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review*, 85 FR 25386 (May 1, 2020).

<sup>3</sup> The domestic interested parties are Archer Daniels Midland Company; Cargill, Incorporated; and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC (collectively, domestic interested parties).

<sup>4</sup> See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Second Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of Antidumping And Countervailing Duty Orders On Citric Acid And Certain Citrate Salts from the People's Republic of China: Domestic Industry's Notice Of Intent To Participate," dated May 18, 2020.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 2.

<sup>6</sup> See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Second Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of The Countervailing Duty Order On Citric Acid And Certain Citrate Salts from the People's Republic of China: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response," dated June 1, 2020.

sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend. The scope of the *Order* also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, including dicalcium citrate monohydrate, and tricalcium citrate tetrahydrate, which are intermediate products in the production of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate. The scope of the *Order* does not include calcium citrate that satisfies the standards set forth in the United States Pharmacopeia and has been mixed with a functional excipient, such as dextrose or starch, where the excipient constitutes at least 2 percent, by weight, of the product. The scope of the *Order* includes the hydrous and anhydrous forms of citric acid, the dihydrate and anhydrous forms of sodium citrate, otherwise known as citric acid sodium salt, and the monohydrate and monopotassium forms of potassium citrate. Sodium citrate also includes both trisodium citrate and monosodium citrate, which are also known as citric acid trisodium salt and citric acid monosodium salt, respectively.

Citric acid and sodium citrate are classifiable under 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), respectively. Potassium citrate and crude calcium citrate are classifiable under 2918.15.5000 and 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS, respectively. Blends that include citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate are classifiable under 3824.90.9290 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of subsidization in the event of revocation of the *Order* and the countervailable subsidy rates likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, is provided in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete

version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *Order* on citric acid from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

Manufacturers/producers/exporters	Net countervailable subsidy (percent)
TTCA Co., Ltd .....	60.07
Yixing Union Biochemical Co., Ltd. and Yixing Union Cogeneration Co., Ltd .....	52.22
Anhui BBKA Biochemical Co., Ltd ..	166.34
All Others .....	55.53

#### Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective orders, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing the final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(3).

Dated: August 27, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. History of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
  2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Rates Likely to Prevail
  3. Nature of the Subsidies
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review

#### VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020–19394 Filed 9–1–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Meeting of the U.S. Naval Academy Board of Visitors

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice of partially closed meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The DoD is publishing this notice to announce that the following Federal Advisory Committee meeting of the U.S. Naval Academy Board of Visitors, hereafter “Board,” will take place.

**DATES:** Open to the public, September 14, 2020, from 10 a.m. to 12 p.m. Closed to the public, September 14, 2020, from 12 p.m. to 1 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** This a virtual meeting that will be broadcasted live from the United States Naval Academy in Annapolis, MD. Escort is not required.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Major Raphael Thalakkottur, USMC, Executive Secretary to the Board of Visitors, Office of the Superintendent, U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD 21402–5000, 410–293–1503, [thalakot@usna.edu](mailto:thalakot@usna.edu), or visit <https://www.usna.edu/PAO/Superintendent/bov.php>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), the General Services Administration's (GSA) Federal Advisory Committee Management Final Rule (41 CFR part 102–3).

*Purpose of Meeting:* The U.S. Naval Academy Board of Visitors will meet to make such inquiry, as the Board deems necessary, into the state of morale and discipline, the curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, and academic methods of the Naval Academy.

#### Agenda

Proposed meeting agenda for September 14, 2020.  
0930–1000 Assemble/Members log on (Broadcasted to Public)  
1000 Call to Order (Broadcasted to Public)  
1000–1155 Business Session (Broadcasted to Public)