

Due to time constraints during the meeting, the Council is not able to read written public comments submitted into the record. Individuals requesting to make oral comments at the public Council meeting should be made to the Superintendent prior to the meeting. Depending on the number of people who wish to speak and the time available, the time for individual comments may be limited.

**Public Disclosure of Comments:** Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2.

**Alma Ripps,**  
Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2020–18665 Filed 8–24–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–52–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–627–629 and 731–TA–1458–1461 (Final)]

### Utility Scale Wind Towers From Canada, Indonesia, Korea, and Vietnam

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of utility scale wind towers from Canada, Indonesia, Korea, and Vietnam, provided for in subheadings 7308.20.00 and 8502.31.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), and to be subsidized by the governments of Canada, Indonesia, and Vietnam.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> The Commission also finds that imports subject to Commerce’s affirmative critical circumstances determination are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of the countervailing duty order on utility scale wind towers from Indonesia and the

#### Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective July 9, 2019, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and Commerce by Arcosa Wind Towers Inc., Dallas, Texas; and Broadwind Towers Inc., Manitowoc, Wisconsin. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of utility scale wind towers from Canada, Indonesia, and Vietnam were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports of utility scale wind towers from Canada, Indonesia, Korea, and Vietnam were sold at LTFV within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on March 20, 2020 (85 FR 16127). In light of the restrictions on access to the Commission building due to the COVID–19 pandemic, and in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1677c(a)(1), the Commission conducted its hearing on June 25, 2020 by video conference as set forth in procedures provided to the parties. All persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to participate.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to §§ 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on August 19, 2020. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5101 (August 2020), entitled *Utility Scale Wind Towers from Canada, Indonesia, Korea, and Vietnam: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–627–629 and 731–TA–1458–1461 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 19, 2020.

**Lisa Barton,**

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020–18579 Filed 8–24–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

antidumping duty orders on utility scale wind towers from Korea and Vietnam.

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121–0360]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Generic Clearance for Cognitive, Pilot, and Field Studies for Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Data Collection Activities

**AGENCY:** Office of Justice Programs.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice of information collection under review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until October 26, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact: Brecht Donoghue, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 Seventh Street NW, Washington, DC 20531 or [brecht.donoghue@usdoj.gov](mailto:brecht.donoghue@usdoj.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and