

contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Alaska Gasline Development Corporation (AGDC) seeks permits to construct and operate the Alaska LNG project, which includes a proposed 807-mile pipeline to transmit natural gas from a gas treatment plant in Prudhoe Bay, Alaska, to a liquefaction facility near Nikiski, Alaska. The pipeline route would cross approximately 228 miles of BLM-administered lands, almost all of which are within the BLM's Utility Corridor planning area. The AGDC has applied to the BLM for a right-of-way across the BLM-administered lands and its plan of development includes BLM mineral material sales along the pipeline route.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) was the lead agency in the development of the EIS with the BLM as a cooperating agency. The FERC's Notice of Availability (NOA) for the Final EIS was published on March 12, 2020. The BLM has adopted the FERC's Final EIS No. 20200066 (FERC EIS-0296F), filed March 13, 2020, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Since the BLM was a cooperating agency, recirculating the document is not necessary under 40 CFR 1506.3(c).

The ROD adopts the EIS and approves the development of the Alaska LNG project on BLM-administered lands as proposed and described in the Final EIS. The ROD also adopts mitigation measures developed through the EIS process and the reasonable and prudent measures from the biological opinion released by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service on June 17, 2020, pursuant to the Endangered Species Act.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6(b))

Chad B. Padgett,

State Director, Alaska.

[FR Doc. 2020-16316 Filed 7-27-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JA-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

**[NPS-WASO-NRNL-DTS#-30613;
PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]**

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service is soliciting electronic comments on the significance of properties nominated before July 11, 2020, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

DATES: Comments should be submitted electronically by August 12, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Comments are encouraged to be submitted electronically to *National_Register_Submissions@nps.gov* with the subject line "Public Comment on <property or proposed district name, (County) State>." If you have no access to email you may send them via U.S. Postal Service and all other carriers to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, MS 7228, Washington, DC 20240.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the National Park Service before July 11, 2020. Pursuant to Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State or Tribal Historic Preservation Officers:

MASSACHUSETTS

Suffolk County

Malcolm X-Ella Little Collins House, 72 Dale St., Boston, SG100005455

NEW YORK

Dutchess County

Hopewell Junction Depot, 36 Railroad Ave., Hopewell Junction, SG100005449

Erie County

Barcalo Manufacturing Company Factory, 225 Louisiana St., Buffalo, SG100005457

University Heights-Summit Park-Berkshire Terrace Historic District, Portions of East Amherst St., Northrup Pl., Berkshire, Comstock, Cordova, Dartmouth, Dunlop, Hewett, Highgate, LaSalle, Lisbon, Minnesota, Parkridge, Shirley, Stockbridge and Winspear Aves., Buffalo, SG100005458

South Side Bank of Buffalo, 2221 Seneca St., Buffalo, SG100005463

Herkimer County

Cedar Lake Methodist Episcopal Church, 548 Goodier Rd., Cedar Lake, SG100005464

Kings County

Bay Ridge Reformed Church, 7915 Ridge Blvd., Brooklyn, SG100005438

Rugby Congregational Church, 4901 Snyder Ave., Brooklyn, SG100005439

Oneida County

Uptown Theatre, 2014 Genesee St., Utica, SG100005466

Suffolk County

Cerny's Bakery, 1165 Smithtown Ave., Bohemia, SG100005450

Ulster County

Held, Al, House and Studio, 26 Beechford Dr., Boiceville, SG100005440

Deyo-Dubois House, 161 Vineyard Ave., Highland, SG100005451

De Meyer-Burhans-Felten Farm, 81-101 Bogert Ln., Ulster, SG100005452

Hardenbergh-Jenkins Farm, 128 Crispell Ln., Gardiner, SG100005453

OHIO

Franklin County

Knights of Columbus Building, 80 South 6th St./306 East State St., Columbus, SG100005448

Market-Mohawk Center, 250 East Town St., Columbus, SG100005454

Hamilton County

Warsaw Avenue Historic District, 3104-3220 Warsaw Ave., Cincinnati, SG100005462

TEXAS

Denton County

John B. Denton College Neighborhood Historic District, Roughly bounded by West Hickory St., Panhandle St., Carroll Blvd., and Ponder Ave., Denton, SG100005459

Travis County

McFarland House, 3805 Red River St., Austin, SG100005460

Wilson County

Floresville Chronicle-Journal Building, 1000 C St., Floresville, SG100005461

VIRGINIA

Lynchburg Independent City

Carnegie Hall, 1501 Lakeside Dr., Lynchburg, SG100005441

Madison County

Coates Barn, 934 Champe Plain Rd., Etlan vicinity, SG100005442

Newport News Independent City

Walker-Wilkins-Bloxom Warehouse Historic District, 208-218 23rd St., Newport News, SG100005443

Page County

Almond, 2620 US 340 North, Luray, SG100005444

WYOMING**Teton County**

Darwin Ranch, (Ranches, Farms, and Homesteads in Wyoming, 1860–1960 MPS), 1 Kinky Creek Rd., Cora vicinity, MP100005445

Nominations submitted by Federal Preservation Officers:

The State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the following nominations and responded to the Federal Preservation Officer within 45 days of receipt of the nominations and supports listing the properties in the National Register of Historic Places.

CALIFORNIA**Los Angeles County**

Federal Building, 11000 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles vicinity, SG100005446

MONTANA**Carbon County**

Sage Creek Ranger Station, Custer Gallatin NF, Sage Creek Guard Station Rd. 2223, Pryor Mts., Bridger vicinity, SG100005456

WYOMING**Lincoln County**

Gateway, Address Restricted, La Barge vicinity, SG100005447

(Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60)

Dated: July 14, 2020.

Sherry A. Frear,

Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.

[FR Doc. 2020–16294 Filed 7–27–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Drug Enforcement Administration****Frederick M. Silvers, M.D.; Decision and Order**

On January 12, 2018, the Acting Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, Government), issued an Order to Show Cause to Frederick M. Silvers, M.D., (hereinafter, Registrant), of Los Angeles, California. Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC), at 1. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. AS6936201. It alleged that Registrant is without "authority to handle controlled substances in the state of California, the state in which [Registrant is] registered with the DEA." *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3)).¹

¹ The OSC also alleged that Registrant's continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest because Registrant "issued prescriptions for controlled substances in violation of federal and

Specifically, the OSC alleged that the Medical Board of California (hereinafter, Board) issued a Default Decision and Order (hereinafter, Order) on May 15, 2017, revoking Registrant's license to practice medicine effective June 14, 2017. *Id.* at 2. The OSC further alleged that, because the Board revoked Registrant's medical license, Registrant lacks the authority to handle controlled substances in the state of California and is no longer a practitioner within the meaning of the Controlled Substances Act. *Id.*

The OSC notified Registrant of the right to either request a hearing on the allegations or submit a written statement in lieu of exercising the right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 4 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The OSC also notified Registrant of the opportunity to submit a corrective action plan. *Id.* at 4–5 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

Adequacy of Service

In its Request for Final Agency Action (hereinafter, RFAA), the Government detailed its multiple attempts to serve Registrant with the OSC. In a Declaration dated December 5, 2019, a DEA Diversion Investigator (hereinafter, DI) assigned to the Los Angeles Field Division detailed her attempts to personally serve the OSC on Registrant. RFAA Exhibit (hereinafter, RFAAX) 3. On January 18, 2018, DI attempted to serve Registrant at his residence located at 14 Oakmont Dr., Los Angeles, California, 90049 and at his registered address at 10921 Wilshire Blvd., Suite #514, Los Angeles, CA 90049. *Id.* at 1. DI found the home address and registered address to be vacant. *Id.* at 1–2, App. A (photo of "Space Available" sign at registered address). DI further related that she called the phone number associated with Registrant's registered address and "learned that the telephone number was inactive." *Id.* at 2.

The Government also submitted a Declaration from another DI (hereinafter DI2), assigned to the Los Angeles Field Division, who stated that on February 27, 2018, she mailed copies of the OSC, via US Postal Service first class mail, to what she declared was the Registrant's "last known residence, located at 10075 Ojai Santa Paula Road, Ojai, California 93023" and to the address of his "last

state law." OSC, at 2. The Government, however, has only requested Final Agency Action on the ground that Registrant is not presently authorized to handle controlled substances in the state of California. RFAA, at 2.

known attorney." RFAAX 4, at 1–2.² DI2 stated that neither mailings were returned as undeliverable. *Id.* at 2. DI2 also emailed a copy of the OSC to Registrant's email address and did not receive an email response indicating an error or that it was undeliverable. *Id.* at 2, App. B (copy of February 28, 2018 email sent to Registrant). DI2 stated that the Agency has not received any correspondence from either Registrant or the attorney to whom she mailed the OSC. *Id.* at 2.

The Government forwarded its RFAA, along with the evidentiary record, to this office on January 8, 2020. In its RFAA, the Government contends that although it was unable to personally serve Registrant with the OSC, its mailings and emails were reasonably calculated to give Registrant actual notice of the OSC and satisfied due process. RFAA, at 3–4. The Government requests a final order revoking Registrant's Certificate of Registration on the basis of his lack of state authority to dispense controlled substances. *Id.* at 6.

Based on the DI's Declarations, the Government's written representations, and my review of the record, I find that the Government's attempts to serve Registrant were legally sufficient. Due process does not require actual notice. *Jones v. Flowers*, 547 U.S. 220, 226 (2006). "[I]t requires only that the Government's effort be reasonably calculated to apprise a party of the pendency of the action." *Dusenbery v. United States*, 534 U.S. 161, 170 (2002) (internal quotations omitted). In this case, the Government attempted to personally serve Registrant at both his registered address and his residence, both of which were locations where the Government reasonably believed Registrant would be located. The Government further served him by first class mail at his last known residence, and by the email address Respondent provided to the Agency. Neither the first class mailings nor the emailed OSC were returned as undeliverable. "[T]he Due Process Clause does not require . . . heroic efforts by the Government" to find Registrant. *Id.* I find, therefore, that under the circumstances, the Government's efforts to notify Registrant of the OSC were reasonable and satisfied due process. *See Mikhayl*

² The "last known attorney" was an individual who represented Registrant in a matter before the Medical Board of California on June 14, 2017. *See* RFAAX 4, App. A, at 1. The Government has offered no evidence that service to this attorney was adequate to provide notice to Registrant in this matter; however, the Government also did not rely on this service alone, but made attempts to serve Registrant through a variety of available means as described herein.