

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–89341; File No. SR–Phlx–2020–36]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule at Equity 7, Section 3

July 20, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on July 9, 2020, Nasdaq PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange's pricing schedule at Equity 7, Section 3.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/phlx/rules>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to provide additional credits to the Qualified Market Maker (“QMM”)

Program. More specifically, the Exchange proposes to provide a credit of \$0.0003 per share executed in Tape A securities and a credit of \$0.0002 per share executed in Tape B and Tape C securities with respect to all displayed orders of a QMM in securities priced at \$1 or more per share that provide liquidity, provided that the QMM provides 0.12% or more of total Consolidated Volume during the month and quotes the NBBO at least 10% of the time during Market Hours in an average of at least 850 securities per day during a month. Such credit will be in addition to any credit provided under Equity 7, Section 3. The Exchange believes these new credits will help improve market quality on its platform, as discussed below.

###### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The proposal is also consistent with Section 11A of the Act relating to the establishment of the national market system for securities.

###### The Proposal Is Reasonable

The Exchange's proposed additional credits provided to its QMMs are reasonable in several respects. As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for equity securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The fact that this market is competitive has long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ . . . As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in

the execution of order flow from broker dealers’ . . . .”<sup>5</sup>

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”<sup>6</sup>

Numerous indicia demonstrate the competitive nature of this market. For example, clear substitutes to the Exchange exist in the market for equity security transaction services. The Exchange is only one of several equity venues to which market participants may direct their order flow. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures to that of the Exchange, including schedules of rebates and fees that apply based upon members achieving certain volume thresholds.

Within this environment, market participants can freely and often do shift their order flow among the Exchange and competing venues in response to changes in their respective pricing schedules. As such, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to increase its liquidity and market share relative to its competitors.

In particular, the Exchange proposes to add an additional QMM rebate that would provide a credit of \$0.0003 per share executed in Tape A and a credit of \$0.0002 per share executed in Tape B and Tape C with the goal of increasing the overall incentive to QMMs to further increase their liquidity addition activity on the Exchange. The proposal will also provide an incentive for QMMs to add liquidity at the NBBO in more securities, which is intended to improve market quality. To the extent that this proposed change leads to an increase in overall liquidity activity on the Exchange and more competitive pricing, this will improve the quality of the Exchange's market and increase its attractiveness to existing and prospective participants.

<sup>5</sup> *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782–83 (December 9, 2008) (SR–NYSEArca–2006–21)).

<sup>6</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) (“Regulation NMS Adopting Release”).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

### The Proposal Is an Equitable Allocation of Credits

The Exchange believes its proposal will allocate its proposed credits fairly among its market participants. The proposal will provide a QMM with an additional opportunity to receive credits for adding liquidity to the Exchange. It is equitable for the Exchange to provide an additional means for QMMs to receive credits whose orders add liquidity to the Exchange as a means of incentivizing increased liquidity addition activity. An increase in overall liquidity addition activity on the Exchange will improve the quality of the Exchange's equity market and increase its attractiveness to existing and prospective participants. Furthermore, it is equitable for the Exchange to propose credit for participants with orders in securities in Tapes A due to the Exchange's goal to specifically promote increased liquidity in securities in Tape A. An increase in overall liquidity adding activity on the Exchange will improve the quality of the PSX market and increase its attractiveness to existing and prospective participants.

Any participant that is dissatisfied with the proposed new credits is free to shift their order flow to competing venues that provide more favorable pricing or less stringent qualifying criteria.

### The Proposal Is Not Unfairly Discriminatory

The Exchange believes that the proposal is not unfairly discriminatory. As an initial matter, the Exchange believes that nothing about its pricing model is inherently unfair; instead, it is a rational pricing model that is well-established and ubiquitous in today's economy among firms in various industries—from co-branded credit cards to grocery stores to cellular telephone data plans—that use it to reward the loyalty of their best customers that provide high levels of business activity and incent other customers to increase the extent of their business activity. It is also a pricing model that the Exchange and its competitors have long employed with the assent of the Commission. It is fair because it incentivizes customer activity that increases liquidity, enhances price discovery, and improves the overall quality of the equity markets.

The Exchange's proposal to add additional credits to the QMM Program is not unfairly discriminatory because any member organization may quote at the NBBO at the levels required by the proposed additional credit criteria and

may provide the level of liquidity required by the proposed additional credit criteria, and in fact, the proposed additional credits will not only enable a member organization to meet the qualifications for a QMM, it would also enable the member organization to obtain a credit in addition to any credit provided for under Equity 7, Section 3.

### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### Intramarket Competition

The Exchange does not believe that its proposal will place any category of Exchange participants at a competitive disadvantage. The Exchange's proposed credit for quoting at the NBBO and providing liquidity will not place any burden on intramarket competition because all members will have the opportunity to obtain the additional proposed credits if the member increases liquidity and quotes at the NBBO, which will further improve overall market quality.

Moreover, the Exchange's proposal to modify its QMM Program will not burden intramarket competition because the proposed additional credits within the QMM Program will provide members with an added incentive to continue to provide all member organizations with an opportunity to obtain supplemental credits for transactions if they improve the market by providing significant quoting at the NBBO in a large number of securities which the Exchange believes will improve market quality.

#### Intermarket Competition

Addressing whether the proposed credits could impose a burden on competition on other SROs that is not necessary or appropriate, the Exchange believes that its proposed modification to its QMM Program will not impose a burden on competition because the Exchange's execution services are completely voluntary and subject to extensive competition both from the other 12 live exchanges and from off-exchange venues, which include 34 alternative trading systems that trade national market system stock. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more

favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its credits to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which new credits in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

The proposed credits for adding liquidity are reflective of this competition because, as a threshold issue, the Exchange is a relatively small market so its ability to burden intermarket competition is limited. In this regard, even the largest U.S. equities exchange by volume only has 17–18% market share, which in most markets could hardly be categorized as having enough market power to burden competition. Moreover, as noted above, price competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely between exchanges in reaction to fee and credit changes. This is in addition to free flow of order flow to and among off-exchange venues which comprised more than 41% of industry volume for the month of June 2020.

In sum, the Exchange intends for the proposed credits to increase member incentives to add liquidity to the Exchange and to contribute to market quality, which is reflective of fierce competition for order flow noted above; however, if the proposed credit and QMM Program incentives are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will either fail to increase its market share or even lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed new credits will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.<sup>7</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2020-36 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2020-36. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE,

Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2020-36, and should be submitted on or before August 14, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>8</sup>

**J. Matthew DeLesDernier,**  
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-16021 Filed 7-23-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release Nos. 33-10804; 34-89353; File No. 265-32]

#### SEC Small Business Capital Formation Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Securities and Exchange Commission Small Business Capital Formation Advisory Committee, established pursuant to Section 40 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as added by the SEC Small Business Advocate Act of 2016, is providing notice that it will hold a public meeting by videoconference. The public is invited to submit written statements to the Committee.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Tuesday, August 4, 2020, from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. (ET) and will be open to the public. Written statements should be received on or before August 4, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be conducted by remote means (videoconference). Members of the public may attend the meeting by viewing the webcast on the Commission's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Written statements may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Statements

- Use the Commission's internet submission form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/submitcomments.htm>); or

- Send an email message to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number 265-32 on the subject line; or

#### Paper Statements

- Send paper statements to Vanessa A. Countryman, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. 265-32. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help us process and review your statement more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all statements on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

Statements also will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. (ET). All statements received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julie Z. Davis, Senior Special Counsel, Office of the Advocate for Small Business Capital Formation, at (202) 551-5407, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-3628.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public. Persons needing special accommodations because of a disability should notify the contact person listed in the section above entitled **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. The agenda for the meeting includes matters relating to rules and regulations affecting small and emerging companies under the federal securities laws.

Dated: July 21, 2020.

**Vanessa A. Countryman,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-16063 Filed 7-23-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### Sunshine Act Meetings

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, July 29, 2020.

**PLACE:** The meeting will be held via remote means and/or at the Commission's headquarters, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

**STATUS:** This meeting will be closed to the public.

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>8</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).