

at issue being covered by the orders.¹⁰ Additionally, the domestic industry does not currently produce the particular large diameter welded pipe products subject to this CCR request, nor were these products produced in the United States during the period of investigation. Furthermore, according to the domestic producers, the investment needed for the industry to produce these products far exceeds the potential benefit of such an investment, given that the U.S. market for deep offshore projects, *i.e.*, the primary market for the large diameter welded pipe product groups at issue, is relatively small.¹¹

Furthermore, in the *Greece CCR Preliminary Results*, Commerce stated:

There is no evidence that harm is done to the domestic industry only by imports of Greek welded pipe and not by Indian welded pipe. Accordingly, we find that the domestic producers' statements are equally applicable to the CCRs for both countries, as the lack of domestic production or planned domestic production is true regardless of the foreign country of production.¹²

The AD and CVD orders on large diameter welded pipe from Korea began with the same scope in the petitions¹³ that resulted in the AD orders against India and Greece and the CVD order against India. Accordingly, we find that the domestic producers' statements are also applicable to the CCR requests for Korea. All of the facts that led Commerce to determine that there was "good cause" to initiate CCRs and finally modify the scopes of the India and Greece orders pertaining to large diameter welded pipe are equally applicable to the AD and CVD orders on large diameter welded pipe from Korea.

Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews

In the absence of any objection by any other interested parties, and consistent with the revocation, in part, of the AD and CVD orders on large diameter welded pipe from India and the AD order on large diameter welded pipe from Greece, we preliminarily determine that substantially all of the domestic producers of the like product

¹⁰ See *India CCR Preliminary Results*, 84 FR at 69357 (unchanged in *India CCR Final Results* 85 FR at 26930).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² See *Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Greece: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 85 FR 26924, 26926 (May 6, 2020) (*Greece CCR Preliminary Results*) (unchanged in *Greece CCR Final Results*).

¹³ The scope in each of the large diameter welded pipe petitions was identical except for the exclusion of certain products covered by existing AD and/or CVD orders at the time of the initiation of the investigations. See *Initiation Notice* at Appendix.

have no interest in the continued application of part of the AD and CVD orders on large diameter welded pipe from Korea. Accordingly, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke, in part, the Korea AD and CVD orders as they relate to certain specific large diameter welded pipe products produced in Korea. We intend to amend the scope of the AD and CVD orders on large diameter welded pipe from Korea by adding the exclusion language provided in the Attachment to this notice.

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹⁴ Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed not later than seven days after the due date for case briefs.¹⁵ Note that Commerce has modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until July 17, 2020, unless extended.¹⁶ All submissions must be filed electronically using Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety in ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date set forth in this notice.

An interested party may request a hearing within 14 days of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230 in a room to be determined.¹⁷

Unless extended, consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we intend to issue the final results of these CCRs no later than

¹⁴ Commerce is exercising its discretion under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) to alter the time limit for filing of case briefs.

¹⁵ Commerce is exercising its discretion under 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1) to alter the time limit for filing of rebuttal briefs.

¹⁶ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 17006 (March 26, 2020); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 29615 (May 18, 2020).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

270 days after the date on which these reviews were initiated, or within 45 days of that date if all parties agree to the outcome of the reviews.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: July 2, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Attachment

Proposed Revision to the Scope of the Orders

Excluded from the scope of the AD/CVD orders are large diameter welded pipe products in the following combinations of grades, outside diameters, and wall thicknesses:

- Grade X60, X65, or X70, 18 inches outside diameter, 0.688 inches or greater wall thickness;
- Grade X60, X65, or X70, 20 inches outside diameter, 0.688 inches or greater wall thickness;
- Grade X60, X65, X70, or X80, 22 inches outside diameter, 0.750 inches or greater wall thickness; and
- Grade X60, X65, or X70, 24 inches outside diameter, 0.750 inches or greater wall thickness.

[FR Doc. 2020-14920 Filed 7-9-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-880]

Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018.

DATES: Applicable July 10, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alice Maldonado or Whitley Herndon, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4682 or (202) 482-6274, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers 21 producers and exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected Dong-A Steel Company (DOSCO), HiSteel Co., Ltd (HiSteel), and Kukje Steel Co., Ltd. (Kukje Steel), for individual examination. DOSCO informed Commerce that it did not intend to respond to the questionnaire or participate as a mandatory respondent in this administrative review. The producers and or exporters not selected for individual examination are listed in the “Final Results of the Review” section of this notice.

On November 18, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ In January and February 2020, the petitioner,² Kukje Steel, and HiSteel submitted case and rebuttal briefs. On February 12, 2020, we postponed the final results until May 15, 2020.³ On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days, thereby extending the deadline for these results until July 6, 2020.⁴

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain heavy walled rectangular welded steel pipes and tubes from the Republic of Korea (Korea). Products subject to the order are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item number 7306.61.1000. Subject merchandise may also be classified under 7306.61.3000. Although the HTSUS numbers and ASTM specification are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁵

¹ See *Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 63613 (November 18, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*).

² The petitioner is Nucor Tubular Products Inc., formally known as Independence Tube Corporation and Southland Tube, Incorporated, Nucor companies.

³ See Memorandum, “Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated February 12, 2020.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews in Response to Operational Adjustments Due to COVID–19,” dated April 24, 2020.

⁵ For a full description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2017–2018 Administrative Review of the Antidumping

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶ Interested parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average margin calculations for HiSteel and Kukje Steel and for those companies not selected for individual review.⁷

Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018:

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Dong-A Steel Company ⁸	53.80
HiSteel Co., Ltd	26.20
Kukje Steel Co., Ltd	35.11

Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies:⁹

Ahshin Pipe & Tube Company ...	29.07
Bookook Steel Co., Ltd	29.07
Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd	29.07
Ganungol Industries Co. Ltd	29.07
Hanjin Steel Pipe	29.07
Husteel Co., Ltd	29.07
Hyosung Corporation	29.07
Hyundai Steel Co	29.07
Hyundai Steel Pipe Company	29.07
K Steel Co. Ltd	29.07

Duty Order on Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ See accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Miju Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd	29.07
NEXTEEL Co., Ltd	29.07
POSCO DAEWOO	29.07
Sam Kang Industrial Co., Ltd	29.07
Sam Kang Industries Co., Ltd	29.07
Samson Controls Ltd., Co	29.07
SeAH Steel Corporation	29.07
Yujin Steel Industry Co. Ltd	29.07

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), where HiSteel and Kukje Steel reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the

⁸ As explained in the *Preliminary Results* and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, mandatory respondent DOSCO failed to respond to Commerce’s questionnaire, and we applied facts otherwise available with adverse inferences (AFA), in accordance with section 776 of the Act. No party challenged our *Preliminary Results* with respect to DOSCO or the rate selected. Therefore, we continue to apply AFA to DOSCO, and we have continued to apply the same rate that we are able to corroborate using transaction-specific margins from HiSteel and Kukje Steel.

⁹ This rate is based on the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act. See Memorandum, “Final Results of the Antidumping Administrative Review of Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea: Calculation of the Cash Deposit Rate for Non-Reviewed Companies,” dated July 6, 2020.

average¹⁰ of the cash deposit rates calculated for HiSteel and Kukje Steel. Because DOSCO withdrew its participation from this review and reported no information to Commerce for this POR, we will instruct CBP to apply an assessment rate to all entries it produced and/or exported equal to the dumping margin of 53.80 percent, as indicated above. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹¹

Commerce's "automatic assessment" will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the

merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 3.24 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹² These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 6, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Margin Calculations
- V. Discussion of Issues
 - General Issues*
 - Comment 1: Existence of a Particular Market Situation (PMS)
 - Comment 2: Quantification of PMS Adjustment

- Comment 3: Application of PMS Adjustment
- HiSteel-Specific Issues*
- Comment 4: Credit Expenses
- Comment 5: Differential Pricing
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-14918 Filed 7-9-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders and findings with May anniversary dates. In accordance with Commerce's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

DATES: Applicable July 10, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Brown, AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various AD and CVD orders and findings with May anniversary dates.

All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by Commerce discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

Notice of No Sales

If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports, sales, or entries during the period of review (POR), it must notify Commerce within 30 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. All submissions must be filed electronically at <https://access.trade.gov> in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.¹ Such submissions are subject to verification

¹⁰ This rate was calculated as discussed in footnote 9.

¹¹ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹² See *Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from the Republic of Korea, Mexico, and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 62865, 62866 (September 13, 2016).

¹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).