this proposed regulatory action would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) Size Standards define "small entities" as for-profit or nonprofit institutions with total annual revenue below \$7,000,000 or, if they are institutions controlled by small governmental jurisdictions (that are comprised of cities, counties, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts), with a population of less than 50,000.

The proposed requirements and definition would not affect any small entities, as only States, as defined in the IDEA, are eligible to apply. No States qualify as small entities for purposes of the RFA.

Intergovernmental Review: This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. You may access the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at *www.federalregister.gov*. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit

your search to documents published by the Department.

Mark Schultz,

Commissioner, Rehabilitation Services Administration. Delegated the authority to perform the functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. 2020–11416 Filed 6–4–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R08-OAR-2020-0110; FRL-10010-34-Region 8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Colorado; Revisions to Air Pollution Emission Notice Rules

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions and renumbering submitted by the State of Colorado on May 8, 2019. Specifically, the EPA is proposing to approve amendments to Colorado's Stationary Source Permitting and Air Pollution Emission Notice Requirements in 5 CCR 1001–5, Regulation Number 3. The EPA is taking this action pursuant to sections 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: Comments: Written comments must be received on or before July 6, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2020-0110, to the Federal Rulemaking Portal: https:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from www.regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web,

cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets/

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov. To reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission, for this action we do not plan to offer hard copy review of the docket. Please email or call the person listed in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section if you need to make alternative arrangements for access to the docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kevin Leone, Air and Radiation Division, EPA, Region 8, Mailcode 8ARD–IO, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, (303) 312–6227, leone.kevin@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean the EPA.

I. Background

On May 8, 2019, the State of Colorado submitted a SIP revision containing amendments to 5 CCR 1001-5, Regulation Number 3 (Stationary Source Permitting and Air Pollution Emission Notice Requirements). Specifically, these amendments revised Part A, VI.C. (Annual Emissions Fees) and VI.D. (Fee Schedule). These revisions are anticipated to cover revenue shortfalls and ensure continued program viability by increasing stationary source fees. The State of Colorado adopted these revisions on October 18, 2018, and they became State effective on November 30, 2018. We are proposing approval of all revisions submitted on May 8, 2019.

II. Analysis of State Submittal

We evaluated the State's May 8, 2019, submittal regarding revisions Regulation Number 3, Part A, Section VI.

1. VI.C.2

A reference to Section VI.D.1 is being revised to VI.D.3 to coincide with revisions to VI.D.

2. VI.D.1

For air pollution emission notice filing fees, the phrase ". . . shall be charged in accordance with and in the amounts and limits specified in the provisions of Colorado Revised Statutes Section 25–7–114.1" is being deleted and new phrase "shall be \$191.13" is being added.

We note that Colorado Revised Statutes Section 25–7–114.1 states:

"The maximum fee for filing an air pollution emission notice or amendment thereto under this section is one hundred ninety-one dollars and thirteen cents; except that, on each January 1 from 2019 to 2028, the maximum fee is automatically adjusted based on the annual percentage change in the United States department of labor, bureau of labor statistics, consumer price index for Denver-Aurora-Lakewood for all items and all urban consumers, or its successor index. The commissioner shall set the actual fee by rule. Beginning on July 1, 2018, the commission, by rule, may

periodically adjust the fee up to the maximum fee."

The revision to VI.D.1 would make the maximum fee (\$191.13) the only filing fee for air pollution emission notices.

3. VI.D.2

The new sentence "Permit processing fees shall be \$95.56 per hour" is added.

4 VIDS

The phrase "Annual emission fees and permit processing fees shall be charged in accordance with and in the amounts and limits specified in the provisions of Colorado Revised Statutes Section 25–7–114.7." is being deleted.

In addition, the phrase "Annual emission fees for regulated pollutants shall be \$22.90 per ton" is being revised to state: "Annual emission fees for regulated pollutants shall be \$28.63 per ton"; and the phrase "Annual emission fees for hazardous air pollutants shall be \$152.90 per ton" is being revised to state: "Annual emission fees for

hazardous air pollutants shall be \$191.13 per ton."

The new annual emission fees for regulated pollutants and hazardous air pollutants are the same as the maximum emission fees as stated in Colorado Revised Statutes Section 25–7–114.7.

III. The EPA's Proposed Action

CAA Section 110(a)(2)(E) requires that a state implementation plan provide assurances that the state will have, among other items, adequate funding to carry out the implementation plan. Increasing the air pollution notice filing fee, permit processing fee and annual emission fees reflect both inflation and the increased complexity of permit to construct applications, thereby ensuring the State has adequate funding to carry out the implementation plan.

In this action, the EPA is proposing to approve SIP amendments to Colorado's Regulation Number 3, shown in Table 1, submitted by the State of Colorado on May 8, 2019.

TABLE 1—LIST OF COLORADO AMENDMENTS THAT THE EPA IS PROPOSING TO APPROVE

Amended sections in the May 8, 2019 submittal proposed for approval

Regulation Number 3, Part A, Section VI.C: VI.C.2; Section VI.D: VI.D.1, VI.D.2, VI.D.3.

IV. Consideration of Section 110(l) of the CAA

Under section 110(l) of the CAA, the EPA cannot approve a SIP revision if the revision would interfere with any applicable requirements concerning attainment and reasonable further progress (RFP) toward attainment of the NAAQS, or any other applicable requirement of the Act. In addition, section 110(l) requires that each revision to an implementation plan submitted by a state shall be adopted by the state after reasonable notice and public hearing.

The Colorado SIP revisions that the EPA proposes to approve do not interfere with any applicable requirements of the Act. Therefore, CAA section 110(l) requirements are satisfied.

V. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference the amendments described in sections II and III. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 8 Office (please contact the

person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this preamble for more information).

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011):
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001):
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the proposed rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Sulfur oxides.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: May 29, 2020.

Gregory Sopkin,

Regional Administrator, Region 8. [FR Doc. 2020–12060 Filed 6–4–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Parts 2, 9, 15, 19, and 52

[FAR Case 2017–019; Docket No. FAR–2017–0019, Sequence No. 1]

RIN 9000-AN59

Federal Acquisition Regulation: Policy on Joint Ventures

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement statutory and regulatory changes regarding joint ventures made by the Small Business Administration (SBA) in its final rule published in the Federal Register on July 25, 2016, and to clarify that 8(a) joint ventures are not certified into the 8(a) program and that 8(a) joint venture agreements need only be approved by the SBA prior to contract award.

DATES: Interested parties should submit written comments at the address shown below on or before August 4, 2020 to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in response to FAR Case 2017–019 to

Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for "FAR Case 2017–019." Select the link "Comment Now" that corresponds with FAR Case 2017–019. Follow the instructions provided at the "Comment Now" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "FAR Case 2017–019" on your attached document. If your comment cannot be submitted using https://www.regulations.gov, call or email the points of contact in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document for alternate instructions.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite FAR Case 2017–019, in all correspondence related to this case. Comments received generally will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Malissa Jones, Procurement Analyst, at 703–605–2815 or by email at *Malissa.Jones@gsa.gov* for clarification of content. For information pertaining to status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202–501–4755 or *GSARegSec@gsa.gov*. Please cite FAR Case 2017–019.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to revise the FAR to implement statutory and regulatory changes made by the Small Business Administration (SBA) regarding joint ventures. These changes allow a joint venture comprised of a protégé and its mentor to qualify as a small business or under a socioeconomic program (e.g., 8(a)) for which the protégé qualifies. These changes also provide updated requirements for other joint ventures to qualify as a small business or under a socioeconomic program.

Section 1347 of the Small Business
Jobs Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–240) and
section 1641 of the National Defense
Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal
Year (FY) 2013 (Pub. L. 112–239; 15
U.S.C. 657r) authorized the SBA
Administrator to establish mentorprotégé programs for small business
concerns, service-disabled veteranowned small business (SDVOSB)
concerns, women-owned small business
concerns in the Women-Owned Small
Business (WOSB) Program, and
HUBZone small business concerns

modeled on the mentor-protégé program under section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)). On July 25, 2016, SBA issued a final rule (81 FR 48558) that implemented the mentor-protégé programs at 13 CFR 125.9. SBA's final rule allows a joint venture comprised of a protégé and its mentor to seek any type of small business contract, including under a socioeconomic program, for which the protégé qualifies.

SBA's final rule updated requirements for a joint venture to qualify as a small business concern or under a socioeconomic program. A joint venture qualifies as a small business concern when each of the parties to the joint venture qualifies as small for the size standard associated with the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code in the solicitation. A joint venture may qualify under a socioeconomic program when at least one party to the joint venture qualifies under a socioeconomic program, and the joint venture meets the applicable joint venture requirements specified in the SBA regulations.

SBA's final rule also revised the joint venture regulations at 13 CFR 124.513 for 8(a) participants, 125.18(b) for SDVOSBs; 126.616 for HUBZone small business concerns; and 127.506 for WOSB and economically disadvantaged WOSB concerns. SBA required agencies to consider past performance of each party to a small business joint venture in addition to any work performed by the joint venture itself.

DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend the FAR to require contracting officers to consider the past performance of the joint venture, and to consider the past performance of each party to the joint venture if the joint venture does not demonstrate past performance. For consistency and fairness, DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend the FAR to apply this requirement to joint ventures regardless of size status.

Additionally, DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend the FAR to clarify that 8(a) joint ventures are not certified into the 8(a) program and that 8(a) joint venture agreements need only be approved by the SBA prior to contract award. This clarification is necessary because Government Accountability Office (GAO) sustained a protest (BGI-Fiore JV, LLC, B-409520, May 29, 2014) in which an agency rejected an 8(a) joint venture's proposal on the basis that the 8(a) joint venture had not been certified by the SBA prior to submission of proposals. Currently, paragraph (a) of the clause at FAR 52.219-18, Notification of Competition