

conducting the environmental review, the NRC considered information in the license renewal application and from communications with the California State Historic Preservation Office; the State of California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC); nine Native American Tribes; the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW); and the California State Department of Health Services.

Approval of PG&E's proposed license renewal request would allow the five (5) HI-STAR 100 HB casks containing spent fuel and one storage cask containing greater than Class C (GTCC) activated metal waste to continue to remain on the Humboldt Bay ISFSI for an additional 40 years. The estimated annual dose to the nearest resident from ISFSI activities is 0.0448 mSv/yr (4.48 mrem/yr), which is below the 0.25 mSv/yr (25 mrem/yr) limit specified in 10 CFR 72.104(a). Furthermore, PG&E maintains a radiation protection program for the ISFSI in accordance with 10 CFR part 20 to ensure that radiation doses are as low as is reasonably achievable (ALARA). Accordingly, no significant radiological or non-radiological impacts are expected to result from approval of the license renewal request, and the proposed action would not significantly contribute to cumulative impacts at the Humboldt Bay site. Additionally, the proposed action would not cause a significant impact on any population, nor did the staff identify significant percentages of minority or low-income populations within a 6 km (4 mi) radius of the ISFSI. The Commission has stated that absent "significant impacts, an environmental justice review should not be considered for an EA where a FONSI is issued unless special circumstances warrant the review."

In its license renewal request, PG&E is proposing no changes in how it handles or stores spent fuel at the Humboldt Bay ISFSI. Approval of the proposed action would not result in any new construction or expansion of the existing ISFSI footprint beyond that previously approved. The ISFSI is a largely passive facility that produces no liquid or gaseous effluents. No significant radiological or nonradiological impacts are expected from continued normal operations. Occupational dose estimates associated with the proposed action and continued normal operation and maintenance of the ISFSI are expected to be at ALARA levels and within the limits of 10 CFR 20.1201. Therefore, the NRC staff has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.31, preparation of an EIS is not required for the proposed action, and

pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, a FONSI is appropriate.

Furthermore, the NRC staff determined that, because approval of this license renewal request would maintain the status quo at the site, it does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties; therefore, in accordance with 36 CFR 800.3(a)(1), no consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. The NRC staff informed the California State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) of its determination via letter dated June 19, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19169A154) and informed nine Native American Tribes via letters dated November 15, 2018 (ADAMS Package Accession No. ML18303A365). The California SHPO responded via letter dated July 11, 2019, indicating that the SHPO does not object to a finding that no historic properties would be affected by this undertaking (ADAMS Accession No. ML19198A078). The NRC staff, with the assistance of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) project planning tool, determined that the listed species and/or critical habitat would not be adversely affected by the proposed action.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

Based on its review of the proposed action in the EA, in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR part 51, the NRC has concluded that the proposed action, renewal of NRC Special Nuclear Materials License No. SNM-2514 for the Humboldt Bay ISFSI located in Humboldt County, California, will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the NRC has determined, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.31, that preparation of an EIS is not required for the proposed action and a finding of no significant impact is appropriate.

Dated: April 30, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Cynthia I. Roman-Cuevas,

Chief, Environmental Review Materials Branch, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2020-09598 Filed 5-4-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2020-123 and CP2020-131; MC2020-124 and CP2020-132]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* May 7, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s)

¹ See Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).

in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–123 and CP2020–131; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 611 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: April 29, 2020; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: May 7, 2020.

2. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–124 and CP2020–132; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 612 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: April 29, 2020; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: May 7, 2020.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–09557 Filed 5–4–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

POSTAL SERVICE

Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: May 1, 2020, at 1:15 p.m.

PLACE: Washington, DC.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Administrative Issues.
2. Strategic Issues.

On May 1, 2020, a majority of the members of the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service voted unanimously to hold and to close to public observation a special meeting in Washington, DC, via teleconference. The Board determined that no earlier public notice was practicable.

General Counsel Certification: The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that the

meeting may be closed under the Government in the Sunshine Act.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Michael J. Elston, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Washington, DC 20260–1000. Telephone: (202) 268–4800.

Michael J. Elston,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–09714 Filed 5–1–20; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–88768; File No. SR–CBOE–2020–015]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 1 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, To Increase Position Limits for Options on Certain Exchange-Traded Funds and Indexes

April 29, 2020.

I. Introduction

On February 26, 2020, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange” or “Cboe”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend Interpretation and Policy .07 of Exchange Rule 8.30, Position Limits, and Rule 8.31, Position Limits for Broad-Based Index Options, to increase the position limits for options on the following exchange-traded funds (“ETFs”) and indexes: The Standard and Poor’s Depository Receipts Trust (“SPY”), iShares China Large-Cap ETF (“FXI”), iShares MSCI EAFE ETF (“EFA”), iShares iBoxx High Yield Corporate Bond Fund (“HYG”), Financial Select Sector SPDR Fund (“XLF”), Market Vectors Oil Services ETF (“OIH”),³ MSCI Emerging Markets Index (“MXEF”), and MSCI EAFE Index (“MXEA”). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on March 16, 2020.⁴ On April 16, 2020, the Exchange

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ As noted below, the Exchange subsequently amended its proposal to remove the proposed increase in position limits for options on OIH. See *infra* note 5.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88350 (March 10, 2020), 85 FR 15003 (“Notice”). Comments on the proposed rule change can be found at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-cboe-2020-015/srcboe2020015.htm>.

submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.⁵ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comment on Amendment No. 1, and is approving the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, on an accelerated basis.

II. Description of the Proposal, as Modified by Amendment No. 1

Currently, position limits for options on ETFs such as those subject to the proposal, as amended,⁶ are determined pursuant to Rule 8.30, and, with certain exceptions, vary by tier according to the number of outstanding shares and past six-month trading volume of the underlying security.⁷ Options in the highest tier—*i.e.*, options that overlie securities with the largest numbers of outstanding shares and trading volume—have a standard option position limit of 250,000 contracts (with adjustments for splits, re-capitalizations, etc.) on the same side of the market.⁸ In addition, Interpretation and Policy .07 of Rule 8.30 currently sets forth separate position limits for options on certain ETFs, including 1,800,000 contracts for options on SPY, and 500,000 contracts for options on FXI and EFA. Similarly, position limits for options on broad-based indexes such as those subject to the proposal, as amended,⁹ are determined pursuant to Rule 8.31, which provides a position limit of 25,000 contracts for options, restricted to no more than 15,000 near-term, on all broad-based indexes except those specifically listed under Rule 8.31 for

⁵ In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange: (1) Provided additional justification and analysis in support of the proposal, which is summarized below; (2) revised its proposal to eliminate the proposed increase to position limits for options on OIH; and (3) made technical, corrective, and clarifying changes. The full text of Amendment No. 1 is available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-cboe-2020-015/srcboe2020015-7081714-215592.pdf>.

⁶ See Notice, *supra* note 4, at 15005–06, for descriptions provided by the Exchange regarding the composition and design of the underlying ETFs of each of the ETF options subject to this proposal.

⁷ Pursuant to Rule 8.42, Interpretation and Policy .02, which provides that the exercise limits for ETF options are equivalent to their position limits, the exercise limits for each of these options would be increased to the level of the new position limits.

⁸ To be eligible for this tier, either the recent six-month trading volume of the underlying security must have totaled at least 100,000,000 shares; or the most recent six-month trading volume of the underlying security must have totaled at least 75,000,000 shares and the underlying security must have at least 300,000,000 shares currently outstanding. See Rule 8.30, Interpretation and Policy .02(e). Options on XLF and HYG currently fall into this tier.

⁹ See Notice, *supra* note 4, at 15006–07, for descriptions provided by the Exchange regarding the composition and design of the underlying indexes of each of the index options subject to this proposal.