

containing business proprietary information, until May 19, 2020, unless extended.<sup>19</sup>

Dated: March 30, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is ceramic flooring tile, wall tile, paving tile, hearth tile, porcelain tile, mosaic tile, flags, finishing tile, and the like (hereinafter ceramic tile). Ceramic tiles are articles containing a mixture of minerals including clay (generally hydrous silicates of alumina or magnesium) that are fired so the raw materials are fused to produce a finished good that is less than 3.2 cm in actual thickness. All ceramic tile is subject to the scope regardless of end use, surface area, and weight, regardless of whether the tile is glazed or unglazed, regardless of the water absorption coefficient by weight, regardless of the extent of vitrification, and regardless of whether or not the tile is on a backing. Subject merchandise includes ceramic tile with decorative features that may in spots exceed 3.2 cm in thickness and includes ceramic tile “slabs” or “panels” (tiles that are larger than 1 meter<sup>2</sup> (11 ft.<sup>2</sup>)).

Subject merchandise includes ceramic tile that undergoes minor processing in a third country prior to importation into the United States. Similarly, subject merchandise includes ceramic tile produced that undergoes minor processing after importation into the United States. Such minor processing includes, but is not limited to, one or more of the following: Beveling, cutting, trimming, staining, painting, polishing, finishing, additional firing, or any other processing that would otherwise not remove the merchandise from the scope of the investigation if performed in the country of manufacture of the in-scope product.

Subject merchandise is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under the following subheadings of heading 6907: 6907.21.1005, 6907.21.1011, 6907.21.1051, 6907.21.2000, 6907.21.3000, 6907.21.4000, 6907.21.9011, 6907.21.9051, 6907.22.1005, 6907.22.1011, 6907.22.1051, 6907.22.2000, 6907.22.3000, 6907.22.4000, 6907.22.9011, 6907.22.9051, 6907.23.1005, 6907.23.1011, 6907.23.1051, 6907.23.2000, 6907.23.3000, 6907.23.4000, 6907.23.9011, 6907.23.9051, 6907.30.1005, 6907.30.1011, 6907.30.1051, 6907.30.2000, 6907.30.3000, 6907.30.4000, 6907.30.9011, 6907.30.9051, 6907.40.1005, 6907.40.1011, 6907.40.1051, 6907.40.2000, 6907.40.3000, 6907.40.4000, 6907.40.9011, and 6907.40.9051. Subject merchandise may also enter under subheadings of headings 6914 and 6905: 6914.10.8000, 6914.90.8000, 6905.10.0000, and 6905.90.0050. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

<sup>19</sup> See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19*, 85 FR 17006 (March 26, 2020).

### Appendix II—Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope Comments
- IV. Scope of the Investigation
- V. China-Wide Rate
- VI. Adjustment to Cash Deposit Rate for Export Subsidies
- VII. Critical Circumstances
- VIII. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Separate Rate Status of Belite
  - Comment 2: Separate Rate Status of Foshan Sanfi
  - Comment 3: Calculation of the Separate Rate
  - Comment 4: Other Issues
- IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020–07188 Filed 4–6–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–533–843]

#### Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2017–2018

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Navneet Education Ltd. (Navneet) made sales of certain lined paper products (CLPP) from India below normal value (NV), and SAB International (SAB) did not, during the period of review (POR) September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018.

**DATES:** Applicable April 7, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Samuel Brummitt (for Navneet) and Cindy Robinson (for SAB), AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7851 or (202) 482–3797, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* on November 14, 2019.<sup>1</sup> On February 28, 2020, Commerce extended the deadline for these final results until

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 61887 (November 14, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

May 12, 2020.<sup>2</sup> For a complete description of events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is certain lined paper products from India. For a complete description of the scope of this order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

#### Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily found that Lodha Offset Limited, Pioneer Stationery Private Limited, and Marisa International had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Following the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, we received no comments from interested parties regarding these companies, nor has any party submitted record evidence which would call our preliminary determination of no shipments into question. Therefore, for the final results, we continue to find that these three companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Accordingly, consistent with Commerce’s practice, we intend to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by these three companies, but exported by other parties, at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.<sup>4</sup>

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by interested parties are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The list of issues that interested parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, are identified in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, “Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: 2017–2018,” dated February 28, 2020.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, “Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain revisions to the preliminary margin calculations for Navneet and SAB.<sup>5</sup> For Navneet, we used Navneet's comparison market sales to calculate NV rather than relying on constructed value.<sup>6</sup> For SAB, we (1) revised SAB's rent payment to an affiliated party; (2) recalculated SAB's reported scrap offset, and (3) reversed the incorrect conversion for credit expenses from positive to negative.<sup>7</sup>

### Final Results of the Review

We have determined the following weighted-average dumping margins for the exporters or producers listed below for the POR:<sup>8</sup>

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Cellpage Ventures Private Limited .....	1.93
Goldenpalm Manufacturers PVT Limited .....	1.93
Kokuyo Riddhi Paper Products Pvt. Ltd .....	1.93
Lotus Global Private Limited .....	1.93
Magic International Pvt. Ltd .....	1.93
Navneet Education Ltd .....	1.93
PP Bafna Ventures Private Limited .....	1.93
SAB International .....	0.00
SGM Paper Products .....	1.93
Super Impex .....	1.93

<sup>5</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain Lined Paper Products from India (2017–2018): Sales and Cost of Production Calculation Memorandum for the Final Results of Navneet Education Limited (Navneet)," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain Lined Paper Products from India (2017–2018): Sales and Cost of Production Calculation Memorandum for the Final Results of SAB International (SAB)," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>8</sup> For the companies that were not selected for individual review, we assigned a rate based on the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review, excluding any rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

### Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results within five days after publication of these final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For any individually-examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), Commerce will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where either a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer- or customer-specific *ad valorem* rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. As indicated above, for the companies that had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by these companies, but exported by other parties, at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative

review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 3.91 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>9</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections

<sup>9</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India and Indonesia*, 71 FR 56949, 56952 (September 28, 2006).

751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: April 1, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. List of Comments
- III. Background
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Changes Made Since the *Preliminary Results*
- VI. Analysis of Comments
  - Comments Concerning Navneet Education Ltd. (Navneet)*
  - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Apply Total or Partial Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Navneet
  - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Adjust Navneet's General and Administrative Expenses
  - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Adjust the SAS Programs to Use Navneet's Comparison Market Sales for Normal Value (NV) Instead of Constructed Value (CV)
  - Comments Concerning SAB International (SAB)*
  - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Apply Total or Partial AFA to SAB's Classification of Certain Sales as Canadian Sales Rather than U.S. Sales
  - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Adjust SAB's Calculations of Rent Paid to an Affiliated Party
  - Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Recalculate SAB's Reported Scrap Offset
  - Comment 7: Whether Commerce Should Adjust SAB's Treatment of Certain Costs
  - Comment 8: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Converted Negative Credit Expenses into Positive Credit Expenses
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-07312 Filed 4-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-122-853]

### Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Jungbunzlauer Canada, Inc. (JBL Canada), a producer/exporter of citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Canada, did not sell subject merchandise at prices below normal value (NV) during the period of review

(POR) May 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019.

**DATES:** Applicable April 7, 2020.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Dowling or George Ayache, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1646 or (202) 482-2623, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On January 22, 2020, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid from Canada.<sup>1</sup> This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, JBL Canada. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>2</sup> No interested party submitted comments.<sup>3</sup> On February 11, 2020, JBL Canada submitted a request to participate in a hearing in the event that Commerce held a hearing.<sup>4</sup> No other party submitted a request for a hearing in the instant review; therefore, Commerce did not hold a hearing. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is citric acid from Canada.<sup>5</sup> The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 2918.14.0000, 2918.15.1000, 2918.15.5000, and 3824.90.9290. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product

<sup>1</sup> See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2019*, 85 FR 3611 (January 22, 2020) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> JBL Canada submitted a case brief stating: "Respondent JBL has no comments on Commerce's *Preliminary Results*. JBL reserves the right to submit a rebuttal brief in response to any issue(s) which may be raised by Petitioners in their case brief." See JBL Canada's Letter, "Tenth Administrative Review of the Antidumping Order on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Sales from Canada—Case Brief on Behalf of JBL Canada," dated February 11, 2020.

<sup>4</sup> See JBL Canada's Letter, "Tenth Administrative Review of the Antidumping Order on Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Sales from Canada—JBL Canada's Comments regarding Hearing," dated February 11, 2020.

<sup>5</sup> See *Citric Acid and Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 74 FR 25703 (May 29, 2009) (*Order*).

description, available in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, remains dispositive.<sup>6</sup>

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

As no parties submitted comments on the margin calculation methodology used in the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce made no adjustments to that methodology in the final results of this review.

#### Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, Commerce determines that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for entries of subject merchandise that were produced and/or exported by JBL Canada during the POR.

#### Assessment Rates

Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). Because we calculated a zero margin for JBL Canada in the final results of this review, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce intends to issue the appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 41 days after the date of publication of these final results of review, in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of these final results for all shipments of citric acid from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for JBL Canada will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a completed prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers

<sup>6</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 3.