

Applicant: BASF Corporation, 26 Davis Drive, P.O. Box 13528, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709–3528. *Active ingredients:* Mefenflufenazolo, Pyraclostrobin, and Fluxapyroxad. *Product type:* Fungicide. *Proposed uses:* Cotton, Fruiting vegetables, Grasses, Grass grown for seed, Non-grass forages, Oilseeds, and Sugarcane. *Contact:* RD.

10. *File symbol:* 66222–EIT. *Docket ID number:* EPA–HQ–OPP–2020–0118. *Applicant:* Makhteshim Agan of North America, Inc., 3120 Highwoods Blvd., Suite 100, Raleigh, NC 27604. *Active ingredient:* Fluensulfone. *Product type:* Nematicide. *Proposed use:* Soybeans. *Contact:* RD

11. *EPA registration numbers:* 71711–30, 71711–31, 71711–36. *Docket ID number:* EPA–HQ–OPP–2020–0067. *Applicant:* Nichino America, Inc., 4550 Linden Hill Road, Suite 501, Wilmington, DE 19808. *Active ingredient:* Tolfenpyrad. *Product type:* Insecticide, Fungicide. *Proposed use:* Artichoke, globe. *Contact:* RD.

12. *EPA registration numbers:* 71711–37; 71711–38. *Docket ID number:* EPA–HQ–OPP–2020–0100. *Applicant:* Nichino America, Inc., 4550 Linden Hill Road, Suite 501, Wilmington, DE 19808. *Active ingredient:* Pyrifluquinazon. *Product type:* Insecticide. *Proposed uses:* Ornamental outdoor uses including residential areas. *Contact:* RD.

13. *EPA registration numbers:* 71711–4, 71711–18, 71711–19, 71711–40. *Docket ID number:* EPA–HQ–OPP–2019–0386. *Applicant:* Nichino America, Inc., 4550 Linden Hill Rd, Suite 501, Wilmington, DE 19808. *Active ingredient:* Fenpyroximate. *Product type:* Insecticide. *Proposed uses:* Peanut; Peanut, hay; and Tropical and subtropical, medium to large fruit, smooth, inedible peel subgroup 24B, except banana. *Contact:* RD.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: March 24, 2020.

Delores Barber,

Director, Information Technology and Resources Management Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2020–07042 Filed 4–2–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPPT–2019–0501; FRL–10006–93]

Asbestos; Draft Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Risk Evaluation and TSCA Science Advisory Committee on Chemicals (SACC) Meetings; Notice of Availability, Public Meetings, and Request for Comment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing the availability of and soliciting public comment on the draft Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) risk evaluation of asbestos. EPA is also submitting the same document to the TSCA Science Advisory Committee on Chemicals (SACC) for peer review and is announcing that there will be two virtual public meetings of the TSCA SACC, with participation by phone and webcast only, and no in-person gathering. The first virtual public meeting will be a preparatory meeting for the SACC to consider the scope and clarity of the draft charge questions for the peer review. This will be followed by the peer review virtual public meeting for the SACC to consider and review the draft risk evaluation. The purpose of conducting risk evaluations under TSCA is to determine whether a chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment under the conditions of use, including an unreasonable risk to a relevant potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation.

DATES:

Preparatory Virtual Meeting: Will be held on April 7, 2020, from 1:00 p.m. to approximately 4:00 p.m. (EDT). You must register online on or before April 7, 2020, to receive the webcast meeting link and audio teleconference information. Submit your comments for the preparatory virtual meeting, or request time to present oral comments, on or before noon, April 3, 2020.

Peer Review and Public Virtual Meeting: Will be held on April 27–30, 2020, from 10:00 a.m. to approximately 5:00 p.m. (EDT) (as needed, updated times for each day may be provided in the meeting agenda that will be posted in the docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and the TSCA SACC website at <http://www.epa.gov/tsc-peer-review>). You must register online to receive the webcast meeting link and audio teleconference information. To make oral comments during the peer review virtual public

meeting, please register by noon on April 22, 2020, to be included on the meeting agenda. Any written comments submitted on the draft risk evaluation on or before April 22, 2020, will be provided to the TSCA SACC committee for their consideration before the meeting. Comments received after April 22, 2020, and prior to the oral public comment period during the meeting will be available to the SACC for their consideration during the meeting. All comments received by the end of the comment period will be considered by EPA.

Comments: All comments on the draft risk evaluation must be received on or before June 2, 2020. For additional instructions, see Unit III. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.**

ADDRESSES: Virtual Meetings: Please visit <http://www.epa.gov/tsc-peer-review> to register. You must register online to receive the webcast meeting link and audio teleconference information for participation.

Comments: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPPT–2019–0501, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** OPPT Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Requests to present oral comments and requests for special accommodations: Submit requests for special accommodations, or requests to present oral comments during the virtual meetings to the Designated Federal Official (DFO) listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by the deadline identified in the **DATES** section. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: TSCA SACC:** Diana Wong, DFO, Office of Science Coordination and Policy (7201M), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (202) 564–2049; email address: wong.diana-m@epa.gov.

Draft Risk Evaluation: Dr. Stan Barone, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (7403M), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 564-1169; email address: barone.stan@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may be of interest to persons who are or may be required to conduct testing and those interested in risk evaluations of chemical substances under TSCA, 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.* Since other entities may also be interested in this draft risk evaluation, the EPA has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action.

B. What is EPA's authority for taking this action?

TSCA section 6, 15 U.S.C. 2605, requires EPA to conduct risk evaluations to "determine whether a chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other nonrisk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant to the risk evaluation by the Administrator, under the conditions of use." 15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(A). TSCA sections 6(b)(4)(A) through (H) enumerate the deadlines and minimum requirements applicable to this process, including provisions that provide instruction on chemical substances that must undergo evaluation, the minimum components of a TSCA risk evaluation, and the timelines for public comment and completion of the risk evaluation. TSCA also requires that EPA operate in a manner that is consistent with the best available science, make decisions based on the weight of the scientific evidence and consider reasonably available information. 15 U.S.C. 2625(h), (i), and (k).

The statute identifies the minimum components for all chemical substance risk evaluations. For each risk evaluation, EPA must publish a document that outlines the scope of the risk evaluation to be conducted, which includes the hazards, exposures, conditions of use, and the potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations that EPA expects to consider. 15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(D). The statute further provides that each risk evaluation must also: (1) Integrate and assess available information on hazards and exposures

for the conditions of use of the chemical substance, including information that is relevant to specific risks of injury to health or the environment and information on relevant potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations; (2) describe whether aggregate or sentinel exposures were considered and the basis for that consideration; (3) take into account, where relevant, the likely duration, intensity, frequency, and number of exposures under the conditions of use; and (4) describe the weight of the scientific evidence for the identified hazards and exposures. 15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(F)(i)-(ii) and (iv)-(v). Each risk evaluation must not consider costs or other nonrisk factors. 15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(F)(iii).

The statute requires that the risk evaluation process last no longer than three years, with a possible additional six-month extension. 15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(G). The statute also requires that the EPA allow for no less than a 30-day public comment period on the draft risk evaluation, prior to publishing a final risk evaluation. 15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(H).

C. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is announcing the availability of and seeking public comment on the draft risk evaluation of the chemical substance identified in Unit II. EPA is seeking public comment on all aspects of the draft risk evaluation, including any preliminary conclusions, findings, and determinations, and the submission of any additional information that might be relevant to the draft risk evaluation, including the science underlying the risk evaluation and the outcome of the systematic review associated with the chemical substance. This 60-day comment period on the draft risk evaluation satisfies TSCA section 6(b)(4)(H), which requires EPA to "provide no less than 30 days public notice and an opportunity for comment on a draft risk evaluation prior to publishing a final risk evaluation" and 40 CFR 702.49(a), which states that "EPA will publish a draft risk evaluation in the **Federal Register**, open a docket to facilitate receipt of public comment, and provide no less than a 60-day comment period, during which time the public may submit comment on EPA's draft risk evaluation." In addition to any new comments on the draft risk evaluation, the public should resubmit or clearly identify any previously filed comments, modified as appropriate, that are relevant to the draft risk evaluation and that the submitter feels have not been addressed. EPA does not intend to respond to comments submitted prior to the release of the draft risk evaluation

unless they are clearly identified in comments on the draft risk evaluation.

EPA is also submitting the draft risk evaluation and associated supported documents to the TSCA SACC for peer review and announcing the meeting for the peer review panel. All comments submitted to the docket on the draft risk evaluation by the deadline identified in the **DATES** section will be provided for consideration to the TSCA SACC peer review panel, which will have the opportunity to consider the comments during its discussions.

D. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](https://www.epa.gov/regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

II. Draft TSCA Risk Evaluation

A. What is EPA's risk evaluation process for existing chemicals under TSCA?

The risk evaluation process is the second step in EPA's existing chemical process under TSCA, following prioritization and before risk management. As this chemical is part of the first ten chemical substances undergoing risk evaluation, the chemical substance was not required to go through prioritization (81 FR 91927, December 19, 2016) (FRL-9956-47). The purpose of conducting risk evaluations is to determine whether a chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, under the conditions of use, including an unreasonable risk to a relevant potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation. As part of this process, EPA must evaluate both hazard and exposure, not consider costs or other nonrisk factors, use reasonably available information and approaches in a

manner that is consistent with the requirements in TSCA for the use of the best available science, and ensure decisions are based on the weight-of-scientific-evidence.

The specific risk evaluation process that EPA has established by rule to implement the statutory process is set out in 40 CFR part 702 and summarized on EPA's website at <http://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/risk-evaluations-existing-chemicals-under-tsca>. As explained in the preamble to EPA's final rule on procedures for risk evaluation (82 FR 33726, July 20, 2017) (FRL-9964-38), the specific regulatory process set out in 40 CFR part 702, subpart B will be followed for the first ten chemical substances undergoing risk evaluation to the maximum extent practicable.

B. What is asbestos?

Although there are several known types of asbestos, the only form of asbestos known to be imported, processed, or distributed for use in the United States at the posting of this draft risk evaluation is chrysotile. Raw chrysotile asbestos currently imported into the U.S. is used exclusively by the chlor-alkali industry. Based on 2019 data, the total amount of raw asbestos imported into the U.S. was 750 metric tons. EPA has also identified the importation of asbestos-containing products; however, the import volumes of those products are not fully known. The asbestos-containing products that EPA has identified as being imported and used are sheet gaskets, brake blocks, aftermarket automotive brakes/linings, other vehicle friction products, and other gaskets.

EPA evaluated the following categories of conditions of use of chrysotile asbestos in this draft risk evaluation: Manufacturing; processing; distribution in commerce; occupational and consumer uses; and disposal. EPA continues to review the recent court decision in *Safer Chemicals Healthy Families v. EPA*, Nos. 17-72260 *et al.* (9th Cir. 2019), and this draft risk evaluation does not reflect consideration of any legacy uses and associated disposal for chrysotile asbestos or other asbestos fiber types as a result of that decision. EPA is still seeking public comment on and peer review of this version, however. EPA intends to consider legacy uses and associated disposal in a supplemental scope document and supplemental risk evaluation.

Information about the problem formulation and scope phases of the TSCA risk evaluation for this chemical

is available at <https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/risk-evaluation-asbestos-0>.

III. TSCA SACC

A. What is the purpose of the TSCA SACC?

The TSCA SACC was established by EPA in 2016 and operates in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2 *et seq.* The TSCA SACC provides expert independent scientific advice and consultation to the EPA on the scientific and technical aspects of risk assessments, methodologies, and pollution prevention measures and approaches for chemicals regulated under TSCA.

The TSCA SACC is comprised of experts in: Toxicology; human health and environmental risk assessment; exposure assessment; and related sciences (*e.g.*, synthetic biology, pharmacology, biotechnology, nanotechnology, biochemistry, biostatistics, physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling (PBPK) modeling, computational toxicology, epidemiology, environmental fate, and environmental engineering and sustainability). When needed, the committee will be assisted in their reviews by ad hoc participants with specific expertise in the topics under consideration.

B. How can I access the TSCA SACC documents?

EPA's background documents, related supporting materials, and draft charge questions to the TSCA SACC are available on the TSCA SACC website and in the docket established for the specific chemical substance. In addition, EPA will provide additional background documents (*e.g.*, TSCA SACC members participating in this meeting and the meeting agenda) as the materials become available. You may obtain electronic copies of these documents, and certain other related documents that might be available, in the docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and the TSCA SACC website at <http://www.epa.gov/tsca-peer-review>.

After the public meeting, the TSCA SACC will prepare meeting minutes summarizing its recommendations to the EPA. The meeting minutes will be posted on the TSCA SACC website and in the relevant docket.

C. What do I need to know about the TSCA SACC public meetings?

The focus of the public meetings is to peer review EPA's draft risk evaluation. After the peer review process, EPA will

consider peer reviewer comments and recommendations and public comments, in finalizing the risk evaluation. The draft risk evaluation contains: Discussion of chemistry and physical-chemical properties; characterization of conditions of use; environmental fate and transport assessment; human health exposures; environmental hazard assessment; risk characterization; risk determination; and a detailed description of the systematic review process developed by the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics to search, screen, and evaluate scientific literature for use in the risk evaluation process.

D. How do I participate in the public meetings?

You may participate in the public meetings by following the instructions in this unit. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify the corresponding docket ID number in the subject line on the first page of your request.

1. *Preparatory virtual meeting.* The preparatory virtual meeting will be conducted via webcast and telephone. You may participate in the preparatory virtual meeting by registering to join the webcast. You may also submit written or oral comments.

i. *Registration.* You must register to participate in the preparatory virtual meeting. To participate by listening or making a comment during this meeting, please go to the EPA website to register: <http://www.epa.gov/tsca-peer-review>. Registration online will be confirmed by an email that will include the webcast meeting link and audio teleconference information.

ii. *Written comments.* Written comments for consideration during the preparatory virtual meeting should be submitted, using the instructions in **ADDRESSES** and this unit, on or before the date set in the **DATES** section.

iii. *Oral comments.* Requests to make brief oral comments to the TSCA SACC during the preparatory virtual meeting should be submitted when registering online or with the DFO listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** on or before noon on the date set in the **DATES** section. Oral comments before the TSCA SACC during the preparatory virtual meeting are limited to approximately 5 minutes due to the time constraints of this virtual meeting.

2. *Peer review virtual meeting.* The peer review virtual meeting will be conducted via webcast and telephone. You may participate in the peer review virtual meeting by registering to join the webcast. You may also submit written or oral comments.

i. Registration. You must register to participate in the peer review virtual meeting. To participate by listening or making a comment during this meeting, please go to the EPA website to register: <http://www.epa.gov/tsca-peer-review>. Registration online will be confirmed by an email that will include the webcast meeting link and audio teleconference information.

ii. Written comments. To provide the TSCA SACC the time necessary to consider and review your comments, written comments for consideration during the peer review virtual meeting should be submitted, using the instructions in **ADDRESSES** and this unit, on or before the date set in the **DATES** section. Comments received after the date set in the **DATES** section and prior to the end of the oral public comment period during the meeting will still be provided to the TSCA SACC for their consideration.

iii. Oral comments. To be included on the meeting agenda, requests to make brief oral comments to the TSCA SACC during the peer review virtual meeting should be submitted when registering online or with the DFO listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** on or before noon on the date set in the **DATES** section. The request should identify the name of the individual making the presentation, and the organization (if any) the individual will represent. Oral comments before the TSCA SACC during the peer review virtual meeting are limited to approximately 5 minutes. In addition, each speaker should email their comments and presentation to the DFO listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**, preferably, at least 24 hours prior to the oral public comment period.

(Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*)

Andrew Wheeler,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2020-06973 Filed 4-2-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-9050-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information 202-564-5632 or <https://www.epa.gov/nepa>. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS)
Filed March 23, 2020, 10 a.m. EST
Through March 30, 2020, 10 a.m. EST
Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA's comment letters on EISs are available at: <https://cdxnodengn.epa.gov/cdx-enepa-public/action/eis/search>.

EIS No. 20200075, Final, Caltrans, CA, North County Corridor New State Route 108 Project and Route Adoption, Review Period Ends: 05/04/2020, Contact: Jennifer Lugo 559-445-6172.

EIS No. 20200076, Draft, BLM, PRO, Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Fuels Reduction and Rangeland Restoration in the Great Basin, Comment Period Ends: 06/02/2020, Contact: Ammon Wilhelm 208-373-3824.

EIS No. 20200077, Draft, NNSA, SC, Plutonium Pit Production at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina, Comment Period Ends: 05/18/2020, Contact: Jennifer Nelson 803-208-1426.

EIS No. 20200078, Final, BLM, ID, Tri-State Fuel Breaks Project, Review Period Ends: 05/04/2020, Contact: Lance Okeson 208-384-3300.

Dated: March 30, 2020.

Cindy S. Barger,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2020-06995 Filed 4-2-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-10007-37-OA]

Notification of a Public Meeting of the Science Advisory Board All-Ages Lead Model Review Panel

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office announces a public teleconference of the SAB's All-Ages Lead Model (AALM) Panel to discuss its review of the EPA's All-Ages Lead Model (AALM) External Review Draft Version 2.0, comprising the model's software, technical documentation, and user manual (hereafter referred to collectively as AALM 2.0). The AALM 2.0 was developed by EPA's Office of Research and Development.

DATES: The public teleconference will be held on April 23, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time).

ADDRESSES: The public teleconference will be conducted by telephone only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any member of the public who wants further information concerning this notice may contact Iris Goodman, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), EPA Science Advisory Board (1400R), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460; via telephone/voice mail (202) 564-2164 or email at goodman.iris@epa.gov. General information about the SAB, as well as any updates concerning the meetings announced in this notice can be found on the SAB website at <http://www.epa.gov/sab>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The SAB was established pursuant to the Environmental Research, Development, and Demonstration Authorization Act (ERDDAA), codified at 42 U.S.C. 4365, to provide independent scientific and technical advice to the EPA Administrator on the scientific and technical basis for agency positions and regulations. The SAB is a Federal Advisory Committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C., App. 2. The SAB will comply with the provisions of FACA and all appropriate SAB Staff Office procedural policies. Pursuant to FACA and EPA policy, notice is hereby given that the SAB AALM Model Review Panel's will hold a public teleconference to discuss their draft AALM advisory report. This draft report presents the findings and recommendations from the Panel's review of the EPA's All-Ages Lead Model (AALM) External Review Draft Version 2.0, comprising the model's software, technical documentation, and user manual (hereafter referred to collectively as AALM 2.0). The AALM 2.0 was developed by EPA's Office of Research and Development. The Panel will provide its advice to the Administrator through the chartered SAB. All draft reports developed by SAB panels, committees or workgroups are reviewed and approved by the Chartered SAB through a quality review process before being finalized and transmitted to the EPA Administrator. Information on the SAB AALM Review Panel can be found at <http://epa.gov/sab>.

Availability of Meeting Materials: All meeting materials, including the agenda will be available on the SAB web page at <http://epa.gov/sab>.

Procedures for Providing Public Input: Public comment for consideration by EPA's federal advisory committees and panels has a different purpose from public comment provided to EPA