

its major tenant at the facility, the Department of Homeland Security's U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP).

DATES: Due to the COVID-19 outbreak, the public comment period will be extended to 90 days (Friday, April 3, 2020 through Thursday, July 2, 2020). The date and location of the public meeting will be determined at a later date and an additional notice will be published with the meeting details.

ADDRESSES: An electronic copy of the revised DEIS and the 2019 DEIS may be found online on the following website: <https://www.gsa.gov/about-us/regions/welcome-to-the-pacific-rim-region-9/land-ports-of-entry/san-luis-i-land-port-of-entry>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions or comments concerning this project should be directed to: Osmahn Kadri, Regional Environmental Quality Advisor/NEPA Project Manager, GSA, at 415-522-3617, or via email to osmahn.kadri@gsa.gov. Written comments can be mailed to: GSA San Luis EIS, c/o LMI, 7940 Jones Branch Drive, Tysons, VA 22102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The San Luis I LPOE is located on the U.S.-Mexico international border in the City of San Luis, Arizona. It is the westernmost LPOE in Arizona and is approximately four miles from the California border. The San Luis I LPOE was built in 1982 to accommodate noncommercial traffic to and from Mexico. The facilities at the LPOE are in deteriorated condition and are inadequate for the present volume of pedestrian and vehicle traffic. There has been a 58 percent increase in the number of personal vehicles processed since 2010. The higher volume and outdated facilities creates long wait times, leading to traffic backups in downtown San Luis.

GSA is proposing to expand and modernize the San Luis I LPOE to correct operational deficiencies imposed by deteriorating building conditions and improve the LPOE's functionality, capacity, and security. Four alternatives, the Proposed Action Alternative, Alternative 1, Alternative 2, and the No Action Alternative, are evaluated in the revised DEIS. Alternative 2 was added to the revised DEIS as a result of a comment received on the 2019 DEIS.

*Proposed Action Alternative—
Demolition and Redevelopment*

GSA would acquire the land adjacent to the western end of the LPOE, the former Friendship Park, and the LPOE would be reconfigured to streamline

CBP operations and inspection processes. GSA would demolish the old, deteriorated buildings and construct new buildings and infrastructure on the expanded site to accommodate the increasing volume of pedestrian and vehicle traffic. The Proposed Action would be implemented in a phased approach to alleviate potential disruptions to operations at the LPOE.

Alternative 1—Renovate and Modernize

GSA would not acquire former Friendship Park, but would renovate and modernize all existing facilities and infrastructure at the LPOE. The LPOE layout would remain as currently configured, and current traffic patterns entering and leaving the LPOE would remain the same.

Alternative 2—Relocate Southbound Exit

GSA would acquire Friendship Park and construct new facilities as described under the Proposed Action, however the outgoing traffic would be routed directly south from Archibald Street to Avenida Morelos in Mexico. The rerouting of southbound traveling vehicles directly south from Archibald Street would alleviate the need for vehicles to turn left onto Urtuzuastegui Street.

No Action Alternative

GSA would not renovate or modernize any portion of the LPOE. The LPOE would remain as-is and continue its operations in facilities as they are currently configured.

Public Meeting

The date and location of the public meeting will be determined at a later date and an additional notice will be published with that information. Comments must be received by July 2, 2020, via email to osmahn.kadri@gsa.gov or sent to the address listed above.

Jared Bradley,

*Director, Portfolio Management Division,
Pacific Rim Region, Public Buildings Service.*

[FR Doc. 2020-06669 Filed 3-30-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-YF-P

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES**

**Health Resources and Services
Administration**

**National Vaccine Injury Compensation
Program; List of Petitions Received**

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HRSA is publishing this notice of petitions received under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (the Program), as required by Section 2112(b)(2) of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, as amended. While the Secretary of HHS is named as the respondent in all proceedings brought by the filing of petitions for compensation under the Program, the United States Court of Federal Claims is charged by statute with responsibility for considering and acting upon the petitions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about requirements for filing petitions, and the Program in general, contact Lisa L. Reyes, Clerk of Court, United States Court of Federal Claims, 717 Madison Place NW, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 357-6400. For information on HRSA's role in the Program, contact the Director, National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 08N146B, Rockville, Maryland 20857; (301) 443-6593, or visit our website at: <http://www.hrsa.gov/vaccinecompensation/index.html>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Program provides a system of no-fault compensation for certain individuals who have been injured by specified childhood vaccines. Subtitle 2 of Title XXI of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-10 *et seq.*, provides that those seeking compensation are to file a petition with the United States Court of Federal Claims and to serve a copy of the petition to the Secretary of HHS, who is named as the respondent in each proceeding. The Secretary has delegated this responsibility under the Program to HRSA. The Court is directed by statute to appoint special masters who take evidence, conduct hearings as appropriate, and make initial decisions as to eligibility for, and amount of, compensation.

A petition may be filed with respect to injuries, disabilities, illnesses, conditions, and deaths resulting from vaccines described in the Vaccine Injury Table (the Table) set forth at 42 CFR 100.3. This Table lists for each covered childhood vaccine the conditions that may lead to compensation and, for each condition, the time period for occurrence of the first symptom or manifestation of onset or of significant aggravation after vaccine administration. Compensation may also be awarded for conditions not listed in the Table and for conditions that are manifested outside the time periods specified in the Table, but only if the

petitioner shows that the condition was caused by one of the listed vaccines.

Section 2112(b)(2) of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-12(b)(2), requires that “[w]ithin 30 days after the Secretary receives service of any petition filed under section 2111 the Secretary shall publish notice of such petition in the **Federal Register**.” Due to an administrative error, set forth below is a list of petitions received by HRSA on December 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019. This list provides the name of petitioner, city and state of vaccination (if unknown then city and state of person or attorney filing claim), and case number. In cases where the Court has redacted the name of a petitioner and/or the case number, the list reflects such redaction.

Section 2112(b)(2) also provides that the special master “shall afford all interested persons an opportunity to submit relevant, written information” relating to the following:

1. The existence of evidence “that there is not a preponderance of the evidence that the illness, disability, injury, condition, or death described in the petition is due to factors unrelated to the administration of the vaccine described in the petition,” and

2. Any allegation in a petition that the petitioner either:

a. “[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition not set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table but which was caused by” one of the vaccines referred to in the Table, or

b. “[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table the first symptom or manifestation of the onset or significant aggravation of which did not occur within the time period set forth in the Table but which was caused by a vaccine” referred to in the Table.

In accordance with Section 2112(b)(2), all interested persons may submit written information relevant to the issues described above in the case of the petitions listed below. Any person choosing to do so should file an original and three (3) copies of the information with the Clerk of the United States Court of Federal Claims at the address listed above (under the heading “For Further Information Contact”), with a copy to HRSA addressed to Director, Division of Injury Compensation Programs, Healthcare Systems Bureau, 5600 Fishers Lane, 08N146B, Rockville, Maryland 20857. The Court’s caption (Petitioner’s Name v. Secretary of HHS) and the docket number assigned to the petition should be used as the caption for the written submission. Chapter 35

of title 44, United States Code, related to paperwork reduction, does not apply to information required for purposes of carrying out the Program.

Thomas J. Engels,
Administrator.

List of Petitions Filed

1. Marla Henry on behalf of K. H., Odenton, Maryland, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1826V
2. Raymond P. Brady, Wilmington, Delaware, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1832V
3. Klaudia Aubuchon, Claremont, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1833V
4. Jean Robey, Honesdale, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1839V
5. Misty Rastetter and Matthew Rastetter, on behalf of G. R., Rockwell City, Iowa, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1840V
6. Robert Bachant, Las Vegas, Nevada, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1841V
7. Karam Zakharia, Metairie, Louisiana, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1842V
8. Quanda Luna, St. Louis, Missouri, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1843V
9. Paul Ware, Elberta, Alabama, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1844V
10. Gene Bostwick, Jr., Champaign, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1845V
11. Margaret Hill, Charleston, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1847V
12. Mark Thoma, Phoenix, Arizona, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1848V
13. Shadrack Agyekum, Richmond, Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1849V
14. Debra J. Moore, Rock Island, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1850V
15. Donna Hyatt, Spartanburg, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1851V
16. Robert P. Dipietro, Wellesley Hills, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1853V
17. Dennis Mantia, Wellesley Hills, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1854V
18. Janet Schreiber, Union, Kentucky, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1855V
19. Barry Gabriel Broaddus, Greensburg, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1856V
20. Jermaine A. Hampton, Waupun, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1857V
21. Kara Hinkley, Asheville, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1858V
22. Maria Cristina Nargi, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1859V
23. Kenneth J. Wojewocki, Lockport, Illinois, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1860V
24. Kevin T. Gaines, Akron, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1861V
25. Joshua Schulz, San Antonio, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1863V
26. Andrea Ellington, Beavercreek, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1866V
27. Jacquelyn Dunaway Ferguson, Roseville, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1867V
28. Sherri McCoy, Marion, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1870V
29. Holly C. Freed, St. Cloud, Minnesota, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1871V
30. Meridith Vaughan, Oshkosh, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1872V
31. Kathleen Almodova on behalf of A. A., Elizabeth City, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1873V
32. Kerry Ann Neff on behalf of A. N., Chattanooga, Tennessee, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1875V
33. Lisa Meirndorf, Pinckney, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1876V
34. Gary Zegarelli, Utica, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1877V
35. Catherine Grace Boss, Los Angeles, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1879V
36. Catherine Grace Boss, Los Angeles, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1880V
37. Catherine Grace Boss, Los Angeles, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1881V
38. Toni Jefferson, East Norriton, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1882V
39. Frances A. Vaccaro, Summerville, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1883V
40. Juanita Chaplin, Camp Springs, Maryland, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1884V
41. Issam Jubil on behalf of R. J., Norwood, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1885V
42. Julia White, Rock Hill, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1886V
43. Mary Sutton, Liberty, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1887V

44. Chandler K. Nitzke, Ripon, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1889V
45. Elaine Gosnell, Spartanburg, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1890V
46. Lisa Helfrich, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1895V
47. Diane Roeder on behalf of M. M. R., Glendale, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1897V
48. Victor Waggoner, Spokane, Washington, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1900V
49. Ernestina Hernandez, Gardena, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1901V
50. Kenneth P. Starace, Brooklyn, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1902V
51. Tony Harris, Dallas, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1903V
52. George Moore, Wilson, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1904V
53. Micah Parten and Jillian Parten on behalf of P. P., Mobile, Alabama, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1906V
54. Maggie Vollenweider, Hammond, Louisiana, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1909V
55. Betty Knight, Decatur, Texas, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1910V
56. Sarah Tan, St. Louis, Missouri, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1911V
57. Stephanie Scotto, Edison, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1912V
58. Audrey Clapp, Harriman, Tennessee, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1913V
59. Sarah Griffore, Alpena, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1914V
60. Garland Carter, Camden, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1915V
61. Robert McCabe, Northfield, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1916V
62. Debra Cain, Colorado Springs, Colorado, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1917V
63. Maria L. Ison, Batesville, Indiana, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1918V
64. Colleen Sexton, Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1919V
65. Mary McNear, Newark, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1920V
66. Laura Putman on behalf of B. P., Martinsburg, West Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1921V
67. Leslie Woolard, Atlantic, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1922V
68. Mariah Wallace, Watertown, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1923V
69. Janice Berkow, Hickory, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1925V
70. Lisa Adams, Columbia, South Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1926V
71. Ana Bruno Garcia, Milford, Connecticut, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1927V
72. Haley Tylkowski, Cayman Tylkowski and the Estate of O. W. T. on behalf of O. W. T., Deceased, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1929V
73. Eric Robertson, Cameron, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1931V
74. Brenda Hundley, Richmond, Virginia, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1934V
75. Sorah Kline and Nathan M. Kline on behalf of R. K., New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1936V
76. Holly F. Kahler, Marysville, Washington, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1938V
77. Carl E. Dean, Cleveland, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1940V
78. Jessica J. Hein, Waterloo, Iowa, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1943V
79. Gabriel Mejias, Atlantic City, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1944V
80. Liana Asbury, Concord, New Hampshire, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1945V
81. Glenda Kellett, Flowery Branch, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1946V
82. Sherri Staveski, Hudson, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1949V
83. Elisa Garcia, Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1952V
84. Randy Woodrow Nolen, Shreveport, Louisiana, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1953V
85. Mackenzie Cramer, Richfield, Minnesota, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1954V
86. Christopher Logan Silva, New Hyde Park, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1955V
87. Willa Lau, Sioux City, Iowa, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1956V
88. Angela Quinn Cross, Alturas, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1958V
89. Marva Beck, Knoxville, Tennessee, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1959V
90. Virginia Bennett, Colorado Spring, Colorado, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1963V
91. Heather Berman, Boca Raton, Florida, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1965V
92. Brian Stromer, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1969V
93. Megan Swanzer, Elyria, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1970V
94. Sharoll Critten, Dothan, Alabama, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1971V
95. Timothy Elenteny, Boulder, Colorado, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1972V
96. Percy Glanville, Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1973V
97. Christina Lepre, East Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1974V
98. Brenda Burciago, Manteca, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1975V
99. Eugene Murray, Jonesboro, Georgia, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1976V
100. Terry Klausen, Rochester, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1977V
101. Terry Klausen, Rochester, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1978V
102. Christina K. Fee, Ellwood City, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1979V
103. Joseph Rubino, Nesconset, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1980V
104. Karen Godwin, Ames, Iowa, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1981V
105. Amanda Kirby on behalf of C. K., Voorhees, New Jersey, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1983V
106. Bobby Hulon, Fayetteville, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1985V
107. Charlee Mitchell, Trussville, Alabama, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1987V
108. Angelica Davila, Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1988V
109. Robin O'Brien, Hudson, New Hampshire, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1989V
110. Amy McCallum, Wyoming, Michigan, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1991V
111. Thomas Ahartz, Las Vegas, Nevada, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1992V
112. Alma Nelson, Spokane, Washington, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1993V
113. Patrick Shediak, Kettering, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1994V
114. Barry Fuller, Mukilteo, Washington, Court of Federal Claims No: 19-1995V

115. Bruce Isenor, New Prague, Minnesota, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–1996V
116. Tracy Renee Ruddy, Auburn, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–1998V
117. William Gadd, Fullerton, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2001V
118. Diane D’Amico, Boardman, Ohio, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2003V
119. Matthew An, Los Angeles, California, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2004V
120. Wesley Dumas, New York, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2007V
121. Deborah Wood, White Plains, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2008V
122. Richard Robinson, Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2011V
123. Thomas Jeffrey Mickles, Greensboro, North Carolina, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2012V
124. Rosita Smith, Dresher, Pennsylvania, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2013V
125. Trevor Howell, Washington, District of Columbia, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2015V
126. Kimberly Draeger, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2016V
127. Howard C. Wilinsky, M.D., Buffalo, New York, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2017V
128. Troy J. Bodak, Sioux City, Iowa, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2019V
129. Alice Rivas, Cheyenne, Wyoming, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2020V
130. Donald Doerksen on behalf of Fredda Doerksen, Deceased, Cheyenne, Wyoming, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2021V
131. Eric Kurtz, M.D., Boston, Massachusetts, Court of Federal Claims No: 19–2022V

[FR Doc. 2020–06629 Filed 3–30–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Reimbursement of Travel and Subsistence Expenses Toward Living Organ Donation Program Eligibility Guidelines

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: HRSA published the final eligibility guidelines for the Reimbursement of Travel and Subsistence Expenses toward Living Organ Donation Program (herein referred to as Program) in the **Federal Register** on October 5, 2007. HRSA is requesting public comment concerning proposed changes to the guidelines to: increase the household income eligibility threshold to 350% (currently, the threshold is 300%) for living organ donors and organ recipients, clarify the use of the existing preference categories in relation to the proposed household income eligibility threshold, and clarify that travel and subsistence expenses incurred by non-directed living organ donors qualify as reimbursable expenses under the Program. HRSA is also proposing to revise the Program eligibility guideline’s background section to ensure that the information aligns with the Program’s legislative authority. These proposed guidelines would apply to the Program regardless of the awardee of the cooperative agreement that administers the Program.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office in the address section below by mail or email on or before April 30, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Please send all written comments to Frank Holloman, Director, Division of Transplantation, Healthcare Systems Bureau, HRSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 08W53A, Rockville, Maryland 20857; telephone (301) 443–7577; or email: donation@hrsa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Holloman, Director, Division of Transplantation, Healthcare Systems Bureau, HRSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 08W53A, Rockville, Maryland 20857; telephone (301) 443–7577; or email donation@hrsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Program is to reimburse travel and subsistence expenses and other incidental non-medical expenses that the Secretary of HHS may authorize by regulation to living organ donors and up to two relatives or other individuals accompanying the living donor in the United States. Under the statutory authority for the Program, if an organ recipient can reasonably be expected to pay the living organ donor’s travel and subsistence expenses related to the organ donation, reimbursement of such expenses through the Program is prohibited. The current eligibility guidelines further clarify that to be eligible for donor reimbursement, the organ recipient’s household income

must not exceed 300 percent of the HHS Poverty Guidelines in effect at the time of the eligibility determination. Alternatively, if the organ recipient’s household income exceeds the threshold, he/she can be eligible to participate in the Program if the individual can demonstrate financial hardship. In addition, the Program uses a household income threshold of 300 percent of the HHS Poverty Guidelines in effect at the time of the eligibility determination to prioritize reimbursement for prospective living organ donors based on an assessment that donors whose income is below that threshold are less likely to be able to cover qualified expenses under the Program.

At the inception of the Program in 2007, HRSA proposed a household income eligibility threshold of 200 percent of the HHS Poverty Guidelines; however, after reviewing and considering the public comments received, HRSA set the Program’s initial threshold at 300 percent of household income. HRSA further determined, based on public comment, that organ recipients whose income exceeded this level were reasonably able to reimburse living organ donors for travel and subsistence expenses as well as for other qualifying expenses authorized by the Secretary of HHS, unless the recipients demonstrated financial hardship. HRSA also established that donors whose incomes fell below this threshold should receive preference over donors whose incomes exceeded this threshold.

The Program’s eligibility guidelines have not been amended since 2009. With the annual number of waiting list deaths hovering between 6,000 and 7,000 since 2001, the transplant community continues to look to living organ donation as a life-saving option for patients in need of organ transplants, particularly kidney and liver transplants. As of December 31, 2019, approximately 84 percent of the nearly 113,000 individuals on the national transplant waiting list were waiting for a kidney transplant. Even with a record number of close to 40,000 organ transplants performed in the United States in 2019, including almost 7,400 living donor transplants, the gap between demand and availability of organs persists.

In May 2019, the Advisory Committee on Organ Transplantation (ACOT) made several recommendations regarding support to living organ donors. ACOT advises and provides recommendations to the Secretary through the HRSA Administrator on all aspects of organ donation, procurement, allocation, and transplantation. ACOT offered three