

DC 20230 (or via the internet at [PRAComments@doc.gov](mailto:PRAComments@doc.gov)). All comments received are part of the public record. Comments will generally be posted without change. All Personally Identifiable Information (for example, name and address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to the DOC PRA Clearance Officer, Office of Policy and Governance, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Room 6616, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-3306 or at [PRAComments@doc.gov](mailto:PRAComments@doc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

This request is a renewal of a generic clearance for the collection of routine information requested of respondents in challenges and competitions that the Department of Commerce posts on the General Service Administration (GSA)'s *Challenge.gov* website since passage of the America COMPETES Act of 2011. In order for DOC to quickly and effectively launch competitions on a continual basis, DOC seeks generic clearance to collect information for these challenges and competitions, which will generally include first name, last name, email, city, state and when applicable other demographic information. It can also include other information necessary to evaluate submissions and understand their impact related to the general goals of the competition. Upon entry or during the judging process, applicants under the age of 18 may be asked to confirm parental consent, requiring students under 18 to have a parent's signature on a parental consent form provided by the DOC in order to qualify for the contest. For certain challenges we may also need to collect data such as types of data sets used in the solution, types of software tools used in the solution, and information regarding uses of proprietary software (*i.e.*, licenses or use agreements). Information obtained from participants will be used by the program managers (challenge manager), technical reviewers, and other agency officials (such as agency counsels).

In 2011, Federal agencies including DOC were given prize authority for administering challenges and competitions. Section 105(a) of the America Competes Act, adds Section 24 to the Stevenson-Wylder Technology

Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3701 *et seq.*) that addresses provisions for challenges and competitions with prizes conducted by Federal agencies. Challenges and competitions enable DOC to tap into the expertise and creativity of the public in new ways. DOC evaluates submissions and typically awarded monetary or non-monetary prizes to winning entries. DOC may sponsor challenges and competitions in a wide variety of areas to increase public participation and solicit new ideas on a wide array of topics important to the agencies mission. DOC's goal is to engage a broader number of stakeholders who are inspired to work on some of our most pressing issues.

The information collected will be used to understand whether the participant has met the technical requirements for the challenge, assist in the technical review and judging of the solutions that are provided, and assess how the competition was administered. Information may be collected during the competition or after its completion.

This clearance applies to challenges posted on *Challenge.gov*, which uses a common platform for the solicitation of challenges from the public. Each agency designs the criteria for its solicitations based on the goals of the challenge and the specific needs of the agency. There is no standard submission format for solution providers to follow, and there is no set schedule for the issuance of challenges; they are developed and issued on an "as needed" basis in response to issues the federal agency wishes to solve.

**II. Method of Collection**

The primary method of collection will be the internet (electronically). Some supporting documents may be emailed, mailed, or collected in person.

**III. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* 0690-0031.

*Form Number(s):* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission [Extension of a current information collection].

*Affected Public:* Individuals or Households, Businesses or for-profit organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government, etc.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1560.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 10-30 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 260.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$900.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Frequency of Requests:* One-time.

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 3701 *et seq.*

**IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 19, 2020.

**Sheleen Dumas,**

*Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.*

[FR Doc. 2020-06169 Filed 3-23-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-583-856]

**Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018.

**DATES:** Applicable March 24, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Shanah Lee, Stephanie Berger, or Charles Doss, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6386, (202) 482-2483, or (202) 482-4474, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On September 12, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* for this administrative review.<sup>1</sup> We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. This review covers three respondents: Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Prosperity), Sheng Yu Steel Co., Ltd. (SYSCO), Synn Industrial Co., Ltd., and Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. (collectively, Yieh Phui/Synn).<sup>2</sup> We received case briefs from California Steel Industries (California Steel) and Steel Dynamics, Inc. (Steel Dynamics), Prosperity, SYSCO, Toyota Tsusho America, Inc. (TAI), and Yieh Phui/Synn. We received rebuttal briefs from AK Steel Corporation (AK Steel) and SYSCO. We refer to California Steel, Steel Dynamics, and AK Steel collectively as the petitioners. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

## Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0091, 7210.49.0095, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, and 7212.60.0000. The products subject to the orders may also enter under the following HTSUS item numbers:

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 48120 (September 12, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 64527 (December 17, 2018), amended by *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 5991 (February 25, 2019) (*Final Results 2016–2017*). In the *Final Results 2016–2017*, we collapsed Yieh Phui and Synn and treated them as a single entity.

7210.90.1000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030, 7217.90.5060, 7217.90.5090, 7225.91.0000, 7225.92.0000, 7225.99.0090, 7226.99.0110, 7226.99.0130, 7226.99.0180, 7228.60.6000, 7228.60.8000, and 7229.90.1000. The HTSUS subheadings above are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

## Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup> A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached at the appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

## Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average margin calculations for Prosperity, SYSCO, and Yieh Phui/Synn. For detailed information, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

## Final Results of the Administrative Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the respondents for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018:

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan, 2017–2018," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd .....	3.48
Sheng Yu Steel Co. Ltd .....	6.84
Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. and Synn Industrial Co., Ltd ...	0.51

## Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

For Prosperity, SYSCO, and Yieh Phui/Synn, we calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>4</sup> For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Prosperity, SYSCO, or Yieh Phui/Synn for which the producer did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>5</sup>

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

## Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this

<sup>4</sup> In these final results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>5</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer has been covered in a prior complete segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 3.66 percent,<sup>6</sup> the all-others rate from the *Amended Final Determination*. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

<sup>6</sup> See *Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Determination of Investigation*, 84 FR 6129 (February 26, 2019) (*Amended Final Determination*).

Dated: March 10, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Treatment of Section 232 Duties Paid by Prosperity
  - Comment 2: Application of Differential Pricing Methodology to Prosperity's U.S. Sales
  - Comment 3: Universe of Constructed Export Price (CEP) Sales for SYSCO
  - Comment 4: SYSCO's Categorization of Sales as U.S. or Home Market
  - Comment 5: SYSCO's Costs on Arm's-Length Basis
  - Comment 6: SYSCO's Prime and Non-Prime Sales
  - Comment 7: Interest Revenue Cap—SYSCO
  - Comment 8: Yieh Phui's U.S. Date of Sale and Shipment Dates
  - Comment 9: Ministerial Error and Other Issues
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-05487 Filed 3-23-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-853]

#### Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018-2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that producers or exporters of certain crystalline silicon photovoltaic products (solar products) from Taiwan sold subject merchandise at less than normal value in the United States during the period of review (POR), February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2019.

**DATES:** Applicable March 24, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

This review covers 36 producers or exporters. Commerce selected two companies, Motech Industries Inc. (Motech), and the collapsed entity that combined Sino-American Silicon Products Inc., Solartech Energy Corp, and Sunshine PV Corporation (collectively SAS-SEC), for individual examination. The producers or exporters not selected for individual examination are listed in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice.

On December 26, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>1</sup> On January 21, 2020 and January 27, 2020, we received case briefs from Win Precision Technology Co., Ltd. (Win Win)<sup>2</sup> and Peimar Industries Srl., (Peimar)<sup>3</sup> respectively. We received no rebuttal briefs.

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, and modules, laminates and/or panels consisting of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not partially or fully assembled into other products, including building integrated materials. Merchandise covered by this order is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 8501.61.0000, 8507.20.8030, 8507.20.8040, 8507.20.8060, 8507.20.8090, 8541.40.6020, 8541.40.6030 and 8501.31.8000. These HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see Appendix I of this notice.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in Appendix II to this notice and addressed in the IDM.<sup>4</sup> Interested parties can find a

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018-2019*, 84 FR 70943 (December 26, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See Win Win's Letter, "Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products from Taiwan: Case Brief," dated January 21, 2020.

<sup>3</sup> See Peimar's Letter, "Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products from Taiwan: Case Brief," dated January 27, 2020.

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2018-2019 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products from Taiwan" (IDM), dated

Continued