

If an AO is recognized by the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) as having standards for accreditation that meet or exceed Medicare requirements, any provider entity accredited by the national accrediting body's approved program may be deemed to meet the Medicare conditions. An AO applying for approval of its accreditation program under part 488, subpart A, must provide CMS with reasonable assurance that the AO requires the accredited provider entities to meet requirements that are at least as stringent as the Medicare conditions. Our regulations concerning the approval of AOs are set forth at § 488.5.

II. Approval of Accreditation Organizations

Section 1865(a)(2) of the Act and our regulations at § 488.5 require that findings concerning review and approval of an AO's requirements consider, among other factors, the applying AO's requirements for accreditation; survey procedures; resources for conducting required surveys; capacity to furnish information for use in enforcement activities; monitoring procedures for provider entities found not in compliance with the conditions or requirements; and ability to provide CMS with the necessary data for validation.

Section 1865(a)(3)(A) of the Act further requires that we publish, within 60 days of receipt of an organization's complete application, a notice identifying the national accrediting body making the request, describing the nature of the request, and providing at least a 30-day public comment period. We have 210 days from the receipt of a complete application to publish notice of approval or denial of the application.

The purpose of this proposed notice is to inform the public of the DNV-GL Healthcare USA Inc. (DNV-GL) request for initial approval of its psychiatric hospital accreditation program. This notice also solicits public comment on whether the DNV-GL's requirements meet or exceed the Medicare conditions of participation (CoPs) for psychiatric hospitals.

III. Evaluation of Deeming Authority Request

DNV-GL submitted all the necessary materials to enable us to make a determination concerning its request for initial approval of its psychiatric hospital accreditation program. This application was determined to be complete on January 2, 2020. Under section 1865(a)(2) of the Act and our regulations at § 488.5 (Application and

re-application procedures for national accrediting organizations), our review and evaluation of the DNV-GL will be conducted in accordance with, but not necessarily limited to, the following factors:

- The equivalency of the DNV-GL standards for psychiatric hospitals as compared with CMS' psychiatric hospital CoPs.
- The DNV-GL survey process to determine the following:
 - ++ The composition of the survey team, surveyor qualifications, and the ability of the organization to provide continuing surveyor training.
 - ++ The comparability of the DNV-GL's processes to those of state agencies, including survey frequency, and the ability to investigate and respond appropriately to complaints against accredited facilities.
 - ++ The DNV-GL's processes and procedures for monitoring a psychiatric hospital found out of compliance with the DNV-GL's program requirements. These monitoring procedures are used only when the DNV-GL identifies noncompliance. If noncompliance is identified through validation reviews or complaint surveys, the state survey agency monitors corrections as specified at § 488.9(c).
 - ++ The DNV-GL's capacity to report deficiencies to the surveyed facilities and respond to the facility's plan of correction in a timely manner.
 - ++ The DNV-GL's capacity to provide CMS with electronic data and reports necessary for effective validation and assessment of the organization's survey process.
 - ++ The adequacy of the DNV-GL's staff and other resources, and its financial viability.
 - ++ The DNV-GL's capacity to adequately fund required surveys.
 - ++ The DNV-GL's policies with respect to whether surveys are announced or unannounced, to assure that surveys are unannounced.
 - ++ The DNV-GL's policies and procedures to avoid conflicts of interest, including the appearance of conflicts of interest, involving individuals who conduct surveys or participate in accreditation decisions.
 - ++ The DNV-GL's agreement to provide CMS with a copy of the most current accreditation survey together with any other information related to the survey as CMS may require (including corrective action plans).

Upon completion of our evaluation, including evaluation of public comments received as a result of this notice, we will publish a final notice in the **Federal Register** announcing the result of our evaluation.

IV. Collection of Information Requirements

This document does not impose information collection requirements, that is, reporting, recordkeeping or third-party disclosure requirements. Consequently, there is no need for review by the Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

V. Response to Comments

Because of the large number of public comments we normally receive on **Federal Register** documents, we are not able to acknowledge or respond to them individually. We will consider all comments we receive by the date and time specified in the **DATES** section of this preamble, and, when we proceed with a subsequent document, we will respond to the comments in the preamble to that document.

Dated: February 13, 2020.

Seema Verma,

Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

[FR Doc. 2020-04137 Filed 2-28-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection: Public Comment Request; Questionnaire and Data Collection Testing, Evaluation, and Research for the Health Resources and Services Administration, OMB No. 0915-0379— Extension

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirement for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, HRSA announces plans to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR), described below, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Prior to submitting the ICR to OMB, HRSA seeks comments from the public regarding the burden estimate, below, or any other aspect of the ICR.

DATES: Comments on this ICR must be received no later than May 1, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments to paperwork@hrsa.gov or mail the HRSA Information Collection Clearance

Officer, Room 14N136B, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and draft instruments, email paperwork@hrsa.gov or call Lisa Wright-Solomon, the HRSA Information Collection Clearance Officer at (301) 443-1984.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: When submitting comments or requesting information, please include the ICR title for reference.

Information Collection Request Title: Questionnaire and Data Collection Testing, Evaluation, and Research for HRSA OMB No. 0915-0379—Extension.

Abstract: The purpose of collections under this generic clearance is to obtain formative information from respondents to develop new questions, questionnaires and tools and to identify problems in instruments currently in use. This clearance request is limited to formative research activities emphasizing data collection, toolkit development, and estimation procedures and reports for internal decision-making and development purposes. This clearance request does not extend to the collection of data for public release or policy formation. It is anticipated that these studies will rely heavily on qualitative techniques to meet their objectives. In general, these activities are not designed to yield results that meet generally accepted standards of statistical rigor but are designed to obtain valuable formative information to develop more effective and efficient data collection tools that will yield more accurate results and decrease non-response.

Need and Proposed Use of the Information: HRSA conducts cognitive interviews, focus groups, usability tests, field tests/pilot interviews, and experimental research in laboratory and field settings, both for applied questionnaire development and evaluation as well as more basic research on response errors in surveys.

HRSA staff use various techniques to evaluate interviewer administered, self-administered, telephone, Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing, Computer Assisted Self-Interviewing, Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interviewing, and web-based questionnaires.

Professionally recognized procedures are followed in each information collection activity to ensure high quality data. Examples of these procedures could include the following:

- Monitoring by supervisory staff of a certain percent of telephone interviews;
- Conducting cognitive interviewing techniques, including think-aloud techniques and debriefings;
- Data-entry from mail or paper-and-pencil surveys will be computerized through scannable forms or checked through double-key entry;
- Observers will monitor focus groups, and focus group proceedings will be recorded; and
- Data submitted through on-line surveys will be subjected to statistical validation techniques to ensure accuracy (such as disallowing out-of-range values).

Each request under this generic clearance will specify the procedures to be used. Participation will be fully voluntary, and non-participation will have not affect eligibility for, or receipt of, future HRSA health services research activities or grant awards, recruitment or participation. Specific testing and evaluation procedures will be described when we notify OMB about each new request. Appropriate consent procedures will be customized and used for each information collection activity and any collection of personal, privacy-protected information will be handled in accordance with all applicable requirements. If the encounter is to be recorded, the respondent's permission to record will be obtained before beginning the interview.

Screening—When screening is required (e.g., quota sampling), the screening will be as brief as possible and the screening questionnaire will be provided as part of the submission to OMB.

Collection methods—The particular information collection methods used will vary, but may include the following:

- Individual in-depth interviews—In-depth interviews will commonly be used to ensure that the meaning of a questionnaire or strategy is understood by the respondent. When in-depth interviewing is used, the interview guide will be provided to OMB for review.
- Focus groups—Focus groups will be used to obtain insights into beliefs and

understandings of the target audience early in the development of a questionnaire or tool. When focus groups are used, the focus group discussion guide will be provided to OMB for review.

- Expert/Gatekeeper review of tools—In some instances, tools designed for patients may be reviewed in-depth by medical providers or other gatekeepers to provide feedback on the acceptability and usability of a particular tool. This would usually be in addition to pretesting of the tool by the actual patient or other user.

- Record abstractions—On occasion, the development of a tool or other information collection requires review and interaction with records rather than individuals.

- “Dress rehearsal” of a specific protocol—In some instances, the proposed pretesting will constitute a walkthrough of the intended data collection procedure. In these instances, the request will mirror what is expected to occur for the larger scale data collection.

Likely Respondents: Respondents will be recruited by means of advertisements in public venues or through techniques that replicate prospective data collection activities that are the focus of the project. For instance, a survey on physician communication, designed to be administered following an office visit, might be pretested using the same procedure. Each submission to OMB will specify the specific recruitment procedure to be used.

Burden Statement: Burden in this context means the time expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide the information requested. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information; to search data sources; to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information. The total annual burden hours estimated for this ICR are summarized in the table below.

TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of information collection	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total responses	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden hours
Mail/email ¹	1,000	1	1,000	0.26	260
Telephone	1,000	1	1,000	0.26	260
Web-based	1,000	1	1,000	0.25	250
Focus Groups	725	1	725	1.0	725
In-person	500	1	500	1.0	500
Automated ²	500	1	500	1.0	500
Cognitive Testing	500	1	500	1.41	705
Total	5,225	5,225	3,200

¹ May include telephone non-response follow-up in which case the burden will not change.

² May include testing of database software, Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing software, or other automated technologies.

HRSA specifically requests comments on (1) the necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions, (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden, (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Maria G. Button,

Director, Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2020-04166 Filed 2-28-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Meetings of the National Advisory Council on Migrant Health

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, this notice announces that the National Advisory Council on Migrant Health (NACMH) will hold two public meetings for the 2020 calendar year (CY). Information about NACMH, and agendas for these meetings can be found on the NACMH website at: <https://bphc.hrsa.gov/qualityimprovement/strategicpartnerships/nacmh/index.html>.

DATES:

• May 5–6, 2020; 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Mountain Time (MT).

• November 4–5, 2020; 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET).

ADDRESSES: The May meeting will be held in-person at Courtyard Boulder Longmont, 1410 Dry Creek Drive, Longmont, Colorado 80503. The November meeting will be held in-person at 5600 Fishers Lane, 5W07, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Instructions for joining the meetings in-person will be posted on the NACMH website 30 business days before the date of the meeting. For meeting information updates, go to the NACMH website at: <https://bphc.hrsa.gov/qualityimprovement/strategicpartnerships/nacmh/index.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Esther Paul, NACMH Designated Federal Officer (DFO), Strategic Initiatives and Planning Division, Office of Policy and Program Development, Bureau of Primary Health Care, HRSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, 16N38B, Rockville, Maryland 20857; 301-594-4300; or epaul@hrsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NACMH provides advice and recommendations to the Secretary of HHS on policy, program development, and other matters of significance concerning the activities under section 217 of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 218). Specifically, NACMH provides recommendations concerning policy related to the organization, operation, selection, and funding of migrant health centers, and other entities under grants and contracts under section 330 of the PHS Act (42 U.S.C. 254b). NACMH meets twice each calendar year, or at the discretion of the DFO in consultation with the NACMH Chair.

Since priorities dictate meeting times, be advised that times and agenda items are subject to change. For CY 2020 meetings, agenda items may include,

but are not limited to, topics and issues related to migratory and seasonal agricultural worker health.

Refer to the NACMH website listed above for all current and updated information concerning the CY 2020 NACMH meetings, including draft agendas and meeting materials, which will be posted 30 calendar days before the meeting.

Members of the public will have the opportunity to provide comments. Public participants may submit written statements in advance of the scheduled meetings. Oral comments will be honored in the order they are requested and may be limited as time allows. Requests to submit a written statement or make oral comments to the NACMH should be sent to Esther Paul using the contact information above at least 5 business days before the meeting date.

Individuals who need special assistance or another reasonable accommodation should notify Esther Paul using the contact information listed above at least 10 business days before the meeting(s) they wish to attend. Since the November 2020 meeting will occur in a federal government building, attendees must go through a security check to enter the building. Non-U.S. citizen attendees must notify HRSA of their planned attendance at least 20 business days prior to the meeting in order to facilitate their entry into the building. All attendees are required to present government-issued identification prior to entry.

Maria G. Button,

Director, Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2020-04169 Filed 2-28-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165-15-P