however, that despite any potential imbalance it is important that claimants be able to add respondents upon learning that the member or associated person against which she bought the claim is inactive to help ensure that the claimant is able to collect should the claim go to award.67 In addition, notwithstanding any potential imbalance, the Commission notes FINRA's position that the existing FINRA rules would provide respondents procedural protections in the limited circumstances in which such respondents would be added under to the proposal.

Finally, the Commission acknowledges several commenters' concerns that the proposed rule change will not, in their view, effectively resolve the problems related to unpaid arbitration awards and their proposed enhancements to the proposal, such as requiring a national recovery pool 68 or requiring firms to acquire insurance.69 As FINRA noted, this the proposal represents only one step in the ongoing process of addressing these issues and that FINRA continues to evaluate further action.

Accordingly, because the proposed rule change will expand the options available to customers in pending arbitrations with claims against respondents who are unlikely to be able to pay, and promote consistency under FINRA's rules, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is designed to protect investors and the public interest.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Exchange Act ⁷⁰ that the proposal (SR–FINRA–2019–027), be and hereby is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 71

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-03771 Filed 2-25-20: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-88248; File No. SR-LTSE-2020-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Long-Term Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Annual Membership Fee

February 20, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b—4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on February 10, 2020, Long-Term Stock Exchange, Inc. ("LTSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

LTSE proposes a rule change to establish an Annual Membership Fee.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's website at *https://longtermstockexchange.com/*, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to establish an Annual Membership Fee for

Members ³ of the Exchange of \$10,000. The Annual Membership Fee is proposed to be assessed on a calendaryear basis and will be due on or before December 31 of the prior year. For example, the Annual Membership Fee for calendar year 2021 will be due on or before December 31, 2020.

However, if a Member is pending a voluntary termination of rights as a Member pursuant to Rule 2.190 prior to the date any Annual Membership Fee for a given year will be due (i.e., December 31) and the Member does not utilize the facilities of the Exchange while such voluntary termination of rights is pending, then the Member will not be obligated to pay the Annual Membership Fee for the upcoming calendar year. The Exchange believes this to be appropriate because there is ordinarily a 30-day waiting period before such resignation shall take effect.

The Annual Membership Fee for a firm that becomes a Member during a calendar year is proposed to be prorated (starting with the next calendar month) based upon the date the firm becomes a Member. For example, if a firm is approved as a Member on July 15, the prorated Annual Membership Fee assessed on such new Member would cover the months of August through December, *i.e.*, five months at \$833 for a total of \$4,165. Any Annual Membership Fees that are paid are proposed to be non-refundable.

As an inducement for firms to become Members of the Exchange as the Exchange completes the build-out of its trading platform and finalizes compliance with the conditions set forth in the Exchange's approval order,4 the Exchange proposes to waive the 2020 Annual Membership Fee for any firm that submits its completed membership application prior to the commencement of trading operations. Additional information regarding the Exchange's readiness to commence trading operations and the anticipated start of trading will be announced on its website at

www.longtermstockexchange.com.

The Exchange does not presently contemplate proposing any application

⁶⁷ See PIABA Letter (Supporting the aspect of the proposed rule change that would permit an amendment of the statement of claim, without leave of the arbitration panel because it would permit a customer claimant to pursue claims against potentially collectible respondents.

⁶⁸ See PIABA Letter.

⁶⁹ See Edwards Letter.

⁷⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{71 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³The term "Member" means any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange. A Member has the status of a Member of the Exchange as that term is defined in Section 3(a)(3) of the Act. Membership may be granted to a sole proprietor, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, or other organization that is a registered broker or dealer pursuant to Section 15 of the Act, and which has been approved by the Exchange. See LTSE Rule 1.160(w).

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–85828 (May 10, 2019), 84 FR 21841 (May 15, 2019) (File No. 10–234).

fees, trading fees, trading rights or trading permit fees, or so-called "headcount" fees.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act 5 in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act 6 in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act ⁷ because the proposed rule change is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customer, issuers, brokers, and dealers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed Annual Membership Fee is reasonable because it is a de minimis expense in relation to the costs of operating a broker-dealer that routes and executes orders across the trading venues that comprise the national market system. The Exchange is offering a novel trading model—the Very Simply Market ("VSM") 8—in which all orders would be fully displayed and all trades would occur at displayed prices, thus dispensing with both the need for midpoint executions (e.g., traders accessing non-displayed prices) and complex order types. The Exchange believes that the VSM also would appeal to market makers and other firms who, by virtue of the simple nature of the market, would be able to easily and effectively manage their quoting behavior. In view of these offerings, the Exchange believes that there is value in becoming a Member of the Exchange and that the proposed Annual Membership Fee is reasonable. Moreover, insofar as the Annual Membership Fee is an "all-in" fee (i.e., LTSE does not charge—nor does LTSE presently contemplate chargingapplication fees, trading fees, trading

rights fees, or trading permit fees), the Annual Membership Fee is lower than other national securities exchanges that charge such fees.⁹ The Exchange also does not charge—nor does it presently contemplate charging—so-called "headcount fees," e.g., fees charged for each Form U-4 filed for registration of a representative or a principal or the transfer or re-licensing of such personnel,10 further highlighting the reasonableness of the proposed Annual Membership Fee. The proposed Annual Membership Fee might be seen as relatively more reasonable for a Member that conducts more trading on LTSE, but the Exchange believes that the clarity and convenience of a fixed fee-in contrast to fees based on trading volume or the number or type of connections to the exchange—as well the amount of the fee, makes the proposed rule change reasonable.

The Exchange believes that the proposed Annual Membership Fee is not unfairly discriminatory because it would be assessed equally across all Members or firms that seek to become Members. The Exchange believes that the proposed Annual Membership Fee is not unfairly discriminatory because no broker-dealer is required to become a member of the Exchange.¹¹ The vigorous competition among national securities exchanges provides many alternatives for firms to voluntarily decide whether membership in LTSE is appropriate and worthwhile, and no broker-dealer is required to become a member of the Exchange.¹²

The Exchange further believes that the proposed fees would be an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities, and are not unfairly discriminatory. As the Commission noted in its Concept Release Concerning Self-Regulation:

The Commission to date has not issued detailed rules specifying proper funding levels of [self-regulatory organization ("SRO")] regulatory programs, or how costs should be allocated among the various SRO constituencies. Rather, the Commission has examined the SROs to determine whether they are complying with their statutory responsibilities. This approach was developed in response to the diverse characteristics and roles of the various SROs and the markets they operate. The mechanics of SRO funding, including the amount of revenue that is spent on regulation and how that amount is allocated among various regulatory operations, is related to the type of market that an SRO is operating. . . . Thus, each SRO and its financial structure is, to a certain extent, unique. While this uniqueness can result in different levels of SRO funding across markets, it also is a reflection of one of the primary underpinnings of the National Market System. Specifically, by fostering an environment in which diverse markets with diverse business models compete within a unified National Market System, investors and market participants benefit.13

The Exchange's proposed funding model relies primarily on issuers, who would pay listing fees, 14 and Members, who would pay annual membership fees. Thus, the proposed rule change has broker-dealers sharing in the costs of operating the Exchange. Over time, the Exchange can assess whether the apportionment of fees among its various constituencies, but the approach outlined in the proposed rule change aligns with a new exchange that is seeking to attract members amidst a highly competitive landscape. Indeed, for this reason, the Exchange proposes to waive the Annual Membership Fee for calendar year 2020 for any firm submitting a completed membership application before the Exchange

^{5 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{6 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–87221 (October 3, 2019), 84 FR 54195 (October 9, 2019) (SR-LTSE-2019-02).

⁹ For example, NYSE's annual trading license fee for member organizations ranges from \$25,000 to \$50,000 based on the number of trading licenses. See "Price List 2020," New York Stock Exchange at 39 (last updated January 2, 2020), https:// www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse/ NYSE_Price_List.pdf. Nasdaq's annual membership fee is \$3,000 plus a monthly \$1,250 trading rights fee (totaling \$18,000 per year). See "NASDAQ Membership Fees," Nasdaq, http:// nasdaqtrader.com/Trader.aspx?id=Price ListTrading2#membership. See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-81133 (July 12, 2017), 82 FR 32904 (July 18, 2017) (SR-NASDAQ-2017-065) (discussing the reasonableness of NASDAQ's fees).

¹⁰ See, e.g., "NASDAQ Membership Fees," supra note 9 (\$55 for each Form U-4 filed for the registration of a Representative or Principal, and \$55 for each Form U-4 filed for the transfer or relicensing of a Representative or Principal).

¹¹ For example, NYSE National lists only 52 firms in its membership directory, as compared to 148 firms listed as members of NYSE. *Compare* "NYSE National Membership," *https://www.nyse.com/markets/nyse-national/membership* (last visited January 23, 2020), *with* "NYSE Membership," *https://www.nyse.com/markets/nyse/membership* (last visited January 23, 2020).

¹² Neither the trade-through requirements under Regulation NMS nor broker-dealers' best execution obligations require a broker-dealer to become a member of every exchange.

¹³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–50700 (November 22, 2004), 69 FR 71255, 71267–68 (December 8, 2004) (File No. S7–40–04).

¹⁴ See SR-LTSE-2020-03 (filed January 30, 2020) (on file with Commission). The Commission notes that, since the Exchange's filing of the instant proposed rule change, notice of the listing fees proposal has been published in the Federal Register. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88133 (February 6, 2020), 85 FR 8048 (February 12, 2019) (SR-LTSE-2020-03).

commences trading operations. While this incentive to attract members will reduce revenue from broker-dealer memberships in the short run, the Exchange believes that these incentives will encourage firms to consider becoming members and better position the Exchange for the long term.

Effective regulation is central to the proper functioning of the securities markets. Recognizing the importance of such efforts, Congress decided to require national securities exchanges to register with the Commission as self-regulatory organizations to carry out the purposes of the Act. The Exchange therefore believes that it is critical to ensure that regulation is appropriately funded. The Annual Membership Fee is expected to provide a source of funding towards the Exchange's total regulatory costs.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, 15 the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on intermarket or intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would promote and enhance intermarket competition by supporting the funding and operation of a national securities exchange that is focused principally on uniting bold ideas with patient capital and for companies and investors who measure success over years and decades, not financial quarters.¹⁶ In this regard, the Exchange believes that there is broad acknowledgment that the number of new companies accessing the U.S. public capital markets is decreasing and has been for some time.¹⁷ For example, the Commission's recent proposal on Amending the "Accredited Investor" Definition acknowledges this problem, but focuses instead on bringing more

investors into the *private* markets. ¹⁸ The Exchange believes that its entry as a national securities exchange will help reinvigorate the public capital markets, ¹⁹ and in turn, promote intermarket competition given the wide number of venues in which a listed company's stock can trade.

The Exchange also believes that the proposed costs of membership will not impose an unnecessary or inappropriate burden on intermarket competition given the highly competitive market for execution venues, which includes not only the 13 other equities exchanges, but also off-exchange venues, including over 30 alternative trading systems trading NMS stocks.²⁰ The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change also will not burden intermarket competition given the many choices firms have regarding the national securities exchanges in which they choose to become members.²¹ As noted above, neither the trade-through requirements under Regulation NMS nor broker-dealers' best execution obligations require a broker-dealer to become a member of every exchange.

Additionally, the Exchange believes that the Annual Membership Fee would not be an inappropriate burden on intramarket competition in particular, as it would be applied equally to all Members.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposal has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)

of the Act,²² and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) ²³ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@* sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–LTSE–2020–04 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-LTSE-2020-04. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal

^{15 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

¹⁶ See Lananh Nguyen, "Silicon Valley Exchange Says Wall Street Needs to Slow Down," Bloomberg (December 19, 2019). https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-12-19/long-term-stock-exchange-says-wall-street-needs-to-slow-down?sref=CDdNJ6yd; Laurence Dodds, "One Man's Quest to Challenge Wall Street with a New Silicon Valley Stock Exchange," The Telegraph (November 7, 2019), https://www.telegraph.co.uk/technology/2019/11/07/one-mans-quest-challenge-wall-street-new-silicon-valley-stock/

wall-street-new-silicon-valley-stock/.

17 See Richard Henderson, "The Incredible Shrinking Stock Market," Financial Times (June 26, 2019), https://www.ft.com/content/0c9c0b64-9760-11e9-9573-ee5cbb98ed36; Speech, Rick A. Fleming, "Enhancing the Demand for IPOs", NASAA 2017 Public Policy Conference (May 9, 2017), available at https://www.sec.gov/news/speech/fleming-enhancing-demand-ipos-050917.

¹⁸ Amending the "Accredited Investor" Definition, Proposed Rule, Release Nos. 33–10734, 34–87784, 85 FR 2574, 2605 (January 15, 2020) (File No. S7–25–19) ("[T]he high-growth stage of the lifecycle of many issuers occurs while they remain private. Thus, investors that do not qualify for accredited investor status may not be able to participate in the high-growth stage of these issuers because it often occurs before they engage in registered offerings. Allowing more investors to invest in unregistered offerings of private firms thus may allow them to participate in the high-growth stages of these firms.") (footnote omitted).

¹⁹ See Order Approving Proposed Rule Change To Adopt Rule 14.425, Which Would Require Companies Listed on the Exchange To Develop and Publish Certain Long-Term Policies, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–86722 (August 21, 2019), 84 FR 44952 (August 27, 2019) (SR–LTSE– 2019–01).

²⁰ See "NMS Stock ATSs," U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, https://www.sec.gov/ divisions/marketreg/form-ats-n-filings.htm#ats-n.

²¹ See supra note 11.

²² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

^{23 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–LTSE–2020–04, and should be submitted on or before March 18, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 24

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-03769 Filed 2-25-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-88251; File No. SR-FINRA-2020-005]

Self-Regulatory Organizations;
Financial Industry Regulatory
Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a
Proposed Rule Change To Amend the
FINRA Code of Arbitration Procedure
for Customer Disputes and the FINRA
Code of Arbitration Procedure for
Industry Disputes To Apply Minimum
Fees to Requests for Expungement of
Customer Dispute Information

February 20, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on February 7, 2020, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by FINRA. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing to amend the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Customer Disputes ("Customer Code") and the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Industry Disputes ("Industry Code") (together, "Codes") to apply minimum fees to requests for expungement of customer dispute information. The proposed rule change would amend Part

IX (Fees and Awards) of the Codes to apply minimum filing fees to requests for expungement of customer dispute information, whether the request is made as part of the customer arbitration or the associated person files an expungement request in a separate arbitration ("straight-in request").³ The proposed rule change would also apply a minimum process fee and member surcharge to straight-in requests, as well as a minimum hearing session fee to expungement-only hearings.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on FINRA's website at http://www.finra.org, at the principal office of FINRA and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

- 1. Purpose
- (a) Background and Discussion
- I. Customer Dispute Information in the Central Registration Depository

Information regarding customer disputes involving associated persons is contained in the Central Registration Depository ("CRD®") system, the central licensing and registration system used by the U.S. securities industry and its regulators. FINRA operates the CRD system pursuant to policies developed jointly with NASAA. FINRA works with the SEC, NASAA, and other members of

the regulatory community to ensure that information submitted and maintained in the CRD system is accurate and complete.

In general, the information in the CRD system is submitted by registered securities firms, brokers and regulatory authorities in response to questions on the uniform registration forms.⁵ Among other things, these forms collect administrative, regulatory, criminal history, and disciplinary information about brokers, including customer complaints, arbitration claims and court filings made by customers (i.e., "customer dispute information"). FINRA, state and other regulators use this information in connection with their licensing and regulatory activities, and member firms use this information to help them make informed employment decisions.

Pursuant to rules approved by the SEC, FINRA makes specified current CRD information publicly available through BrokerCheck®.6 BrokerCheck is part of FINRA's ongoing effort to help investors make informed choices about the brokers and broker-dealer firms with which they may conduct business. BrokerCheck maintains information on the approximately 3,600 registered broker-dealer firms and 628,000 registered brokers. BrokerCheck also provides the public with access to information about formerly registered broker-dealer firms and brokers.7 In 2019 alone, BrokerCheck helped users conduct more than 40 million searches of firms and brokers.

The regulatory framework governing the CRD system and BrokerCheck has long contemplated the possibility of expunging certain customer dispute

^{24 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ FINRA is separately developing other changes to the current expungement framework, including codifying as rules the Notice to Arbitrators and Parties on Expanded Expungement Guidance ("Guidance"), see https://www.finra.org/arbitration-mediation/notice-arbitrators-and-parties-expanded-expungement-guidance, and establishing a roster of arbitrators with additional training and experience from which a panel would be selected to decide straight-in requests and expungement requests in settled customer arbitrations. See Regulatory Notice 17–42 (December 2017).

⁴The concept for CRD was developed by FINRA jointly with the North American Securities Administrators Association ("NASAA"), and NASAA and state regulators play a critical role in its ongoing development and implementation.

⁵ The uniform registration forms are Form BD (Uniform Application for Broker-Dealer Registration), Form BDW (Uniform Request for Broker-Dealer Withdrawal), Form BR (Uniform Branch Office Registration Form), Form U4 (Uniform Application for Securities Industry Registration or Transfer), Form U5 (Uniform Termination Notice for Securities Industry Registration), and Form U6 (Uniform Disciplinary Action Reporting Form).

⁶ There is a limited amount of information in the CRD system that FINRA does not display in BrokerCheck, including personal or confidential information. A detailed description of the information made available through BrokerCheck is available at http://www.finra.org/investors/about-brokercheck.

⁷Formerly registered brokers, although no longer in the securities industry in a registered capacity, may work in other investment-related industries or may seek to attain other positions of trust with potential investors. BrokerCheck provides information on more than 16,800 formerly registered broker-dealer firms and 567,000 formerly registered brokers. Broker records are available in BrokerCheck for 10 years after a broker leaves the industry, and brokers who are the subject of disciplinary actions and certain other events remain on BrokerCheck permanently.