

written comments, memoranda, or other filing in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during ex parte meeting are deemed to be written ex parte presentations and must be filed consistent with section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules. In proceedings governed by section 1.49(f) of the Commission's rules or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written ex parte presentations and memoranda summarizing oral ex parte presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable.pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission's ex parte rules.

12. *Ordering Clauses.* Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to sections 4(i) and 4(j) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j), and section 13(d) of the Pallone-Thune Telephone Robocall Abuse Criminal Enforcement and Deterrence Act, Public Law 116–105, 133 Stat. 3274, this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, is hereby *adopted*.

13. *It is further ordered* that the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

List of Subjects in Parts 0 and 64

Authority delegations (Government agencies), Telecommunications.
Federal Communications Commission.

Cecilia Sigmund,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.

Proposed Rules

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR parts 0 and 64 as follows:

PART 0—COMMISSION ORGANIZATION

■ 1. The authority citation for part 0 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 155, 225 unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. Amend section 0.111 by revising paragraph (i) and adding paragraph (j) to read as follows:

§ 0.111 Functions of the Bureau.

* * * * *

(i) Conduct the annual registration and selection of a single consortium to conduct private-led efforts to trace back the origin of suspected unlawful robocalls, under section 13(d) of the TRACED Act, 133 Stat. at 3287, and § 64.1203 of this chapter.

(j) Perform such other functions as may be assigned to it or referred to it by the Commission.

PART 64—MISCELLANEOUS RULES RELATING TO COMMON CARRIERS

■ 3. The authority citation for part 64 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 201, 202, 217, 218, 220, 225, 226, 227, 228, 251(e), 254(k), 262, 287, 403(b)(2)(B), (c), 616, 620, 1401–1473, unless otherwise noted.

■ 4. Add § 64.1203 to subpart L to read as follows:

§ 64.1203 Consortium registration process.

(a) The Enforcement Bureau shall issue a public notice no later than April 28th annually seeking registration of a single consortium that conducts private-led efforts to trace back the origin of suspected unlawful robocalls.

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, an entity that seeks to register as the single consortium that conducts private-led efforts to trace back the origin of suspected unlawful robocalls must submit a letter and associated documentation in response to the public notice issued pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section. In the letter, the entity must:

(1) Demonstrate that the consortium is a neutral third party competent to manage the private-led effort to trace back the origin of suspected unlawful robocalls;

(2) Include a copy of the consortium's written best practices regarding the management of its traceback efforts and regarding providers of voice services participation in the consortium's efforts to trace back the origin of suspected unlawful robocalls and an explanation thereof;

(3) Certify that, consistent with section 222(d)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the consortium's efforts will focus on fraudulent, abusive, or unlawful traffic; and

(4) Certify that the consortium has notified the Commission that it intends

to conduct traceback efforts of suspected unlawful robocalls in advance of registration as the single consortium.

(c) The entity selected to be the registered consortium will not be required to file the letter mandated in paragraph (b) of this section in subsequent years after the consortium's initial registration. The registered consortium's initial certifications, required by paragraph (b) of this section, will continue for the duration of each subsequent year unless the registered consortium notifies the Commission otherwise in writing on or before the date for filing letters set forth in the annual public notice issued pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) The current registered consortium shall continue its traceback efforts until the effective date of the selection of any new registered consortium.

[FR Doc. 2020–03065 Filed 2–13–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 54

[WC Docket Nos. 17–287, 11–42 and 09–197; Report No. 3141; FRS 16467]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Petitions for Reconsideration.

SUMMARY: Petitions for Reconsideration (Petitions) have been filed in the Commission's proceeding by Nicholas G. Alexander, on behalf of Telscape Communications, Inc. dba TruConnect and Sage Telecom Communications, LLC, Derrick B. Owens, on behalf of WTA-Advocates for Rural Broadband, and Brita D. Strandberg, on behalf of Sprint Corporation.

DATES: Oppositions to the Petitions must be filed on or before March 2, 2020. Replies to an opposition must be filed on or before March 10, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicholas Page, Attorney Advisor, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, (202) 418–2783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's document, Report No. 3141, released January 30, 2020. The full text of the Petitions is available for viewing and copying at the FCC Reference

Information Center, 445 12th Street SW, Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. Petitions also may be accessed online via the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System at: <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/>. The Commission will not send a Congressional Review Act (CRA) submission to Congress or the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the CRA, 5 U.S.C. 801 because no rules are being adopted by the Commission.

Subject: Bridging the Digital Divide for Low-Income Consumers; Lifeline and Link Up Reform and Modernization; Telecommunications Carriers Eligible for Universal Service Support, WC Docket Nos. 17-287, 11-42, and 09-197, Fifth Report and Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order and Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 19-111, published at 84 FR 71308, December 27, 2019. This document is being published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). See also 47 CFR 1.4(b)(1) and 1.429(f), (g).

Number of Petitions Filed: 3.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020-02926 Filed 2-13-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

RIN 0648-BJ16

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Amendment 21 to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Announcement of the availability of a proposed fishery management plan amendment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces that the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council has submitted Amendment 21 to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan to the Secretary of Commerce for review and approval. We are requesting comments from the public on this amendment. This amendment would

designate essential fish habitat; set catch limits for 2020–2022; and implement an annual catch limit, accountability measures, possession limits, permitting and reporting requirements, and other administrative measures for Atlantic chub mackerel caught from Maine through North Carolina. The purpose of this action is to implement measures required by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act to formally integrate Atlantic chub mackerel as a stock in the fishery under the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 14, 2020.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on this document, identified by NOAA-NMFS-2019-0109, by any of the following methods:

- **Electronic Submission:** Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal. Go to www.regulations.gov/#/docketDetail;D=NOAA-NMFS-2019-0109, click the “Comment Now!” icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

- **Mail:** Submit written comments to Michael Pentony, Regional Administrator, NMFS, Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside of the envelope, “Comments on Chub Mackerel NOA.”

Instructions: Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on www.regulations.gov without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter “N/A” in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous).

The Mid-Atlantic Council prepared an environmental assessment (EA) for Amendment 21 that describes the proposed action and provides a thorough analysis of the impacts of the proposed measures and other alternatives considered. Copies of Amendment 21, including the EA, the Regulatory Impact Review, and the Regulatory Flexibility Act analysis, are available from: Christopher Moore, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Suite 201, 800 State Street, Dover, DE 19901. The EA and associated analysis is accessible

via the internet <http://www.mafmc.org/supporting-documents>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Douglas Christel, Fishery Policy Analyst, 978-281-9141.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council developed temporary measures to regulate Atlantic chub mackerel catch as part of Amendment 18 to the Atlantic Mackerel Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) (August 28, 2017; 82 FR 40721). Those measures were intended to regulate a developing commercial fishery for Atlantic chub mackerel until the Council could formally integrate this species as a stock in the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) through a separate action. Those temporary measures, including an annual landing limit, possession limit, and permitting and reporting requirements, became effective on September 27, 2017, and expire on December 31, 2020. The Council initiated Amendment 21 in December 2016 to implement long-term measures for Atlantic chub mackerel. The purpose of this amendment is to implement measures required by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) to manage Atlantic chub mackerel as a stock in the FMP. Specifically, this action proposes the following measures:

- Atlantic chub mackerel essential fish habitat for all life stages;
- An Atlantic chub mackerel management unit from Maine through North Carolina where management measures would apply;
 - A yearly process to set specifications that considers scientific and management uncertainty, Atlantic chub mackerel catch from South Carolina-Florida, and discards;
 - 2020–2022 specifications, including a 2,300-mt acceptable biological catch and optimum yield, a 2,261.7-mt annual catch limit for both commercial and recreational catch after deducting an estimate of South Carolina-Florida catch (38.2 mt), a 2,171.2-mt annual catch target (ACT) after deducting a 4 percent management uncertainty buffer, and a 2,040.9-mt total allowable landing limit (TAL) after deducting a 6-percent discard estimate;
 - Accountability measures to prevent the ACT from being exceeded, including an 18.1-mt (40,000 lb) possession limit once 90 percent of the TAL is landed, a 4.5-mt (10,000-lb) possession limit once 100 percent of the TAL is landed,