

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: December 23, 2019.

John L. Garvin,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

[FR Doc. 2020-00753 Filed 1-16-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-7016-N-06]

60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Application for Distressed Cities Technical Assistance NOFA

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* March 17, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Anna P. Guido, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street

SW, Room 4176, Washington, DC 20410-5000; telephone 202-402-5534 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov for a copy of the proposed forms or other available information. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anna P. Guido, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; email Anna P. Guido at Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov or telephone 202-402-5535. This is not a toll-free number. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Guido.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Surveys of Recipients and Providers of HUD Technical Assistance and Training.

OMB Approval Number: 2528-0325 (Previously 2506 -0212).

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Form Number: N/A.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The surveys in this collection of information

are necessary to systematically gather user feedback and outcomes data to evaluate and improve HUD’s deployment and management of its technical assistance (TA) resources. The data will be used to comprehensively evaluate the Community Compass program, identify areas for improvement in the program, evaluate the effectiveness of HUD TA interventions, identify trends in TA needs, support the measurement of past performance for future TA NOFAs, and help HUD identify risk within its TA Provider pool. Survey results will also be used by TA Providers and HUD staff to improve individual TA and training engagements.

The previously approved Information Collection (OMB Control No: 2506-0212) included the *Community Development Marketplace (CDM) Project Intake Survey* and the *Survey of Community Partners Receiving HUD Staff-Led Technical Assistance*. These surveys are no longer active and thus are not included in this information collection revision.

Members of affected public: Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, and Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 10,780.

Estimated Time per Response: 0.2-0.25 hours.

Frequency of Response: 1.1-1.3.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,837.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$96,919.99.

Legal Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Information collection	Number of respondents ¹	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Provider TA Survey	1,140	² 1.1	1,254	0.25	313.5	³ \$44.65	\$13,997.78
Recipient TA Survey	1,140	⁴ 1.1	1,254	0.25	313.5	⁵ 32.86	10,301.61
In-Person Training Survey	3,500	⁶ 1.3	4,550	0.2	910	⁷ 32.86	29,902.60
Online Training Survey	5,000	⁸ 1.3	6,500	0.2	1,300	⁹ 32.86	42,718.00

¹ Number of respondents is based on the frequency of TA and training engagements and the number of participants in recent years.

² Some TA providers will provide multiple TA engagements and will be asked to complete more than one TA survey in a year.

³ 75 percentile hourly wage for “Business and Financial Operations Occupations” from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (May 2018) <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes130000.htm>.

⁴ Some TA recipients will receive multiple TA engagements and will be asked to complete more than one TA survey in a year.

⁵ Median hourly wage for “Business and Financial Operations Occupations” (May 2018) <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes130000.htm>.

⁶ HUD anticipates that roughly 30% of in person trainees will complete multiple trainings and be asked to complete more than one survey in a year.

⁷ Median hourly wage for “Business and Financial Operations Occupations” from the Bureau

of Labor Statistics (May 2018) <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes130000.htm>.

⁸ HUD anticipates that roughly 30% of online trainees will complete multiple trainings and be asked to complete more than one survey in a year.

⁹ Median hourly wage for “Business and Financial Operations Occupations” from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (May 2018) <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes130000.htm>.

Information collection	Number of respondents ¹	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Totals	10,780.00	13,558.00	2,837.00	96,919.99

Compared to the previously-approved information collection, the “Burden Hours Per Response” increased slightly for the In-Person and Online Training surveys due to additional questions added to those surveys. However, the total “Annual Burden Hours” and total “Annual Cost” decreased compared to the previously-approved information collection because two surveys [*Community Development Marketplace (CDM) Project Intake Survey* and the *Survey of Community Partners Receiving HUD Staff-Led Technical Assistance*] were removed from the information collection.

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: December 23, 2019.

Seth D. Appleton,

Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

[FR Doc. 2020–00754 Filed 1–16–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0118; FXES1113040000EA–123–FF04EF1000]

Receipt of Incidental Take Permit Application and Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan for the Sand Skink, Lake County, FL; Categorical Exclusion

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comment and information.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce receipt of an application from Summergate

Development, LLC (applicant) for an incidental take permit (ITP) under the Endangered Species Act. The applicant requests the ITP to take the federally listed sand skink incidental to construction in Lake County, Florida. We request public comment on the application, which includes the applicant’s proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP) and the Service’s preliminary determination that this HCP qualifies as “low-effect,” categorically excluded, under the National Environmental Policy Act. To make this determination, we used our environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, both of which are also available for public review.

DATES: We must receive your written comments on or before February 18, 2020.

ADDRESSES:

Obtaining Documents: You may obtain copies of the documents online in Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0118 at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Submitting Comments: If you wish to submit comments on any of the documents, you may do so in writing by any of the following methods:

- **Online:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0118.

- **U.S. mail or hand-delivery:** Public Comments Processing, Attn: Docket No. FWS–R4–ES–2019–0118; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: JAO/1N, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin M. Gawera, by telephone at 904–731–3121 or via email at erin_gawera@fws.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service, announce receipt of an application from Summergate Development, LLC (applicant) for an incidental take permit (ITP) under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). The applicant requests the ITP to take the federally listed sand skink (*Neoseps reynoldsi*) incidental to the construction of a residential subdivision (project) in Lake County, Florida. We request public comment on

the application, which includes the applicant’s proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP) and the Service’s preliminary determination that this HCP qualifies as “low-effect,” categorically excluded, under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4231 *et seq.*). To make this determination, we used our environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, which are also available for public review.

Project

Summergate Development, LLC requests a 10-year ITP to take sand skinks by converting approximately 3.1 acres of occupied skink foraging and sheltering habitat incidental to the construction of a residential subdivision located on a 57.57-acre parcel in Section 6, Township 23 South, Range 26 East, Lake County, Florida. The applicant proposes to mitigate for take of the sand skinks by purchasing 6.2 credits from a Service-approved Conservation Bank. The Service would require the applicant to make this purchase prior to engaging in activities associated with the project.

Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made available to the public. While you may request that we withhold your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Our Preliminary Determination

The Service has made a preliminary determination that the applicant’s project, including land clearing, infrastructure building, landscaping, and the proposed mitigation measure, would individually and cumulatively have a minor or negligible effect on sand skinks and the environment. Therefore, we have preliminarily concluded that the ITP for this project would qualify for categorical exclusion and the HCP is low effect under our NEPA regulations at 43 CFR 46.205 and 46.210. A low-effect HCP is one that would result in (1) minor or negligible effects on