Company	Net countervailable subsidy rate (percent ad valorem)
Han Young Industries	0.50
Han Young Industries	0.50
Jinmyung Frictech Co. Ltd	0.50
Korean Iron and Steel Co., Ltd	0.50
Kyoungil Precision Co., Ltd	0.50
Samsun C&T Corp	0.50
SK Netwoks Co., Ltd	0.50
Steel N People Ltd	0.50
Summit Industry	0.50
Sungjin Co., Ltd	0.50
Young Sun Steel	0.50

## Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### **Assessment Rate**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review. We will instruct CBP to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by the companies listed above, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, from April 4, 2017 through December 31, 2017, at the *ad valorem* rates listed.

## Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies listed in these final results will be equal to the subsidy rates established in the final results of this review; (2) for all nonreviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most recent company-specific or allothers rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 10, 2020.

#### Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

#### **Appendix**

## List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Partial Rescission of Administrative

IV. Scope of the Order

V. Rate for Non-Examined Companies VI. Subsidies Valuation Information VII. Use of Facts Otherwise Available

VIII. Analysis of Programs

IX. Discussion of Comments
Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should
Apply Adverse Facts Available for
Industrial Technology Innovation
Promotion Act Grants Received During
the Average Useful Life Period

Comment 2: Whether Tax Deductions Under Restriction of Special Taxation Act Article 10–2 Are Countervailable

Comment 3: Whether Tax Credits Under Article 57 of the Corporate Tax Act Are Countervailable

X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020–00644 Filed 1–15–20; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

## **Notice of Scope Rulings**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Applicable January 16, 2020. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) hereby publishes a list of scope rulings and anti-circumvention determinations made during the period April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019. We intend to publish future lists after the close of the next calendar quarter.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marcia E. Short, AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–1560.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

Commerce regulations provide that it will publish in the **Federal Register** a list of scope rulings on a quarterly basis.¹ Our most recent notification of scope rulings was published on December 17, 2019.² This current notice covers all scope rulings and anticircumvention determinations made by Enforcement and Compliance between April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019.

## Scope Rulings Made April 1, 2019 Through June 30, 2019

Brazil

A–351–849; A–580–890; A–201–848 and A–455–805: Emulsion Styrene-Butadiene Rubber From Brazil, Korea, Mexico and Poland

Requester: Hankook Tire America Corp. SSBR–F3626A is not covered by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Commerce has determined the following companies to be cross-owned with POSCO: POSCO Chemtech, POSCO Nippon RHF Joint Venture Co., Ltd., POSCO Processing & Service, Pohang Scrap Recycling Distribution Center, and POSCO M-Tech. See Preliminary Results, 84 FR at 34124; and PDM at 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 19 CFR 351.225(o).

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>See$  Notice of Scope Rulings, 84 FR 68877 (Dec. 17, 2019).

the scope of the antidumping duty orders on Emulsion Styrene-Butadiene Rubber from Brazil, Korea, Mexico and Poland because SSBR–F3626A is not an emulsion-based rubber; it is a solutionbased rubber; April 17, 2019.

Italy

A–475–834: Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate From Italy

Requestor: Provident, LLC. Coldrolled steel strip in coils used to produce "doctor blades" are not covered by the scope of the antidumping duty order on certain carbon and alloy steel cut-to-length plate from Italy because they are neither hot-rolled nor forged, and they are in coil form; June 27, 2019.

People's Republic of China

A–570–875: Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation (Webtec); Webtec's branch pipe tee is outside the scope of the order because its branch pipe tee does not meet the ITC definition of pipe fittings. It is a functioning manifold in an assembly that regulates air flow to operate a railway car emergency braking system; thus, its branch pipe tee does not have the same physical characteristics as the products subject to the scope of the order; April 1, 2019.

A–570–064; C–570–065; A–533–877; C–533–878: Stainless Steel Flanges From the People's Republic of China and India

Requestor: EN Corporation (ENC). ENC's stainless steel flanges that are forged in China and India but finished in the Philippines are within the scope of the order, based on the plain language of the scope; April 1, 2019.

A–570–910 and C–570–911: Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: NEXTracker, Inc. NT Torque Tubes are not covered by the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on circular welded carbon quality steel pipe from China because they are mechanical tubing which is excluded from the scope of these orders; April 5, 2019.

A–570–900: Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Stanley Black and Decker Inc. (Stanley); finished polycrystalline diamond (PCD) tipped sawblades (part numbers: DWA3193PCD, DWA412PCD, 4935473, 4935624, 4935625) imported

from China are outside the scope of the antidumping duty order; April 18, 2019.

A–570–924: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: ACCO Brands USA LLC. Overhead transparencies with a paper strip that have been made from PET film and that have a roughened surface layer created by corona treatment, are covered by the scope of the order because the additional manufacturing process required to affix a strip of paper to the overhead transparency does not result in an article of commerce entirely different from the ACCO overhead transparency Commerce previously found to be within the scope of the order, nor does it mean that the product is no longer a sheet of PET film; April 26, 2019.

A–570–910 and C–570–911: Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Kichler Lighting LLC. Fan and light downrods used to suspend lights and/or fans from a ceiling imported by Kichler are covered by the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe from China; May 16, 2019.

A–570–601: Tapered Roller Bearings From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Bourgault Industries; Based on our analysis of the scope language of the order, the sources described in 19 CFR 351.225(k)(1), and the comments received, we determine that Bourgault's coulter disc hubs are covered by the scope of the order; June 3, 3019.

A–570–922 and C–570–923: Raw Flexible Magnets From the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Magnetic Building Solutions' (MBS); Printed magnetic underlays imported by MBS, identified by product codes 867102000402 (SKU MBU100R100), 867102000426 (SKU MBU100S004PS), and 867102000457 (SKU MBU050S004PS) are excluded from the scope of the orders, because they meet the printed magnet exclusion. Specifically, MBS's underlays are permanently bonded to paper that consists of text and an image. The printed material constitutes a decorative motif and does not fall under any of the exceptions to the exclusion; June 19, 2019.

Republic of Korea

A-580-874; A-557-816; A-523-808; A-583-854; A-552-818; C-552-819: Certain Steel Nails From the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Orders)

Requestor: Magnum Tool Corporation; Steel to Wood roofing nails are covered by the scope of the Orders because the physical description and information provided by Magnum demonstrate the steel to wood roofing nails fall within the plain language of the scope of the Orders; April 1, 2019.

## Changed Circumstances Reviews Made April 1, 2019 Through June 30, 2019

Republic of Korea

A–580–891: Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod From the Republic of Korea

Requestor: Six members of the domestic industry, including the petitioners from the underlying investigation (Nucor Corporation, Optimus Steel LLC (formerly, Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc), Keystone Consolidates Industries, Inc., and Charter Steel). Grade 1078 and higher tire cord wire rod are not covered by the scope of the antidumping duty order on carbon and alloy steel wire rod from the Republic of Korea because producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have no further interest in the order with respect to grade 1078 and higher tire cord wire rod; April 3, 2019.

United Kingdom

A–412–826: Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod From the United Kingdom

Requestor: Six members of the domestic industry, including the petitioners from the underlying investigation (Nucor Corporation, Optimus Steel LLC (formerly, Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc), Keystone Consolidates Industries, Inc., and Charter Steel). Grade 1078 and higher tire cord wire rod are not covered by the scope of the antidumping duty order on carbon and alloy steel wire rod from the United Kingdom because producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have no further interest in the order with respect to grade 1078 and higher tire cord wire rod; April 3, 2019.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

Interested parties are invited to comment on the completeness of this list of completed scope inquiries and anti-circumvention determinations made during the period April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019. Any comments should be submitted to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, APO/Dockets Unit, Room 18022, Washington, DC 20230.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(o).

Dated: January 9, 2020.

#### James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2020-00637 Filed 1-15-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-475-818]

## Certain Pasta From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: 2017-2018

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 12, 2019, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of certain pasta (pasta) from Italy. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. As a result of our analysis of the comments and information received, these final results differ from the Preliminary Results with respect to Ghigi 1870 S.p.A. and Pasta Zara S.p.A. (collectively, Ghigi/Zara) and Industria Alimentare Colavita S.p.A. (Indalco). For the final weightedaverage dumping margins, see the "Final Results of Review" section.

DATES: Applicable January 16, 2020. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joy Zhang (Ghigi/Zara) or George McMahon (Indalco), AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1168 or (202) 482-1167, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On September 12, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results.*<sup>1</sup> In accordance with 19 CFR

351.309(c)(1)(ii), we invited parties to comment on our Preliminary Results. On October 23 and October 24, 2019, we received case briefs from the Domestic Producers,<sup>2</sup> Ghigi/Zara, Agritalia S.r.L. and Tesa S.r.L.<sup>3</sup> On October 31, 2019, we received rebuttal briefs from the Domestic Producers and Indalco.4 On December 3, 2019, Commerce held a public hearing at the joint request of Ghigi/Zara, Agritalia, and Tesa.<sup>5</sup>

### Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta.6 The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under items 1901.90.90.95 and 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on-file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and

Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

## **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary* Results, we have recalculated the weighted-average dumping margin for both Ghigi/Zara and Indalco.7 As a result of the recalculation of the rates for Ghigi/Zara and Indalco, the weightedaverage dumping margin for each of the non-selected companies has changed.

## Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, Commerce calculated weighted-average dumping margins that are above de minimis for Ghigi/Zara and Indalco for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. Therefore, in accordance with section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce assigned an average of the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Ghigi/ Zara and Indalco to the two nonselected companies 8 in these final results, as referenced below.

Producer or exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Ghigi 1870 S.p.A. and Pasta Zara S.p.A	91.76 0.50 44.56 44.56

## **Duty Assessment**

Commerce shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For an individuallyexamined respondent whose weightedaverage dumping margin is not zero or a de minimis margin (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), we calculated importer-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Pasta From Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018, 84 FR 48114 (September 12, 2019) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The domestic producers are: Dakota Growers Pasta Company, Riviana Foods and Treehouse Foods (collectively, Domestic Producers).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Domestic Producers' Letters, "Petitioners' Case Brief for Ghigi 1870 S.p.A/Pasta Zara S.p.A," dated October 24, 2019, and "Petitioners' Case Brief for Industria Alimentare Colavita S.p.A.," dated October 24, 2019; see also Ghigi/Zara's Letter, "Pasta from Italy; Ghigi/Zara case brief," dated October 23, 2019, Agritalia S.r.L's Letter from, "Pasta from Italy; Agritalia case brief," dated October 23, 2019, and Tesa SrL's letter, "Pasta from Italy; Tesa case brief," dated October 23, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Domestic Producers' Letters, "Petitioners' Rebuttal Brief for Ghigi 1870 S.p.A/Pasta Zara S.p.A," dated October 31, 2019 and "Petitioners" Rebuttal Brief for Agritalia S.r.L," dated October 31, 2019; see also Indalco's Letter, "Certain Pasta From Italy: Rebuttal Brief of Indalco S.p.A," dated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Public Hearing Transcript, dated December 10. 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, see the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Certain Pasta from Italy; 2017-2018," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum; see also Memorandum, "Certain Pasta from Italy: Calculation Memorandum—Ghigi/Zara," dated concurrently with this notice; and Memorandum, "Certain Pasta from Italy: Calculation Memorandum-Indalco," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The rate applied to the two non-selected companies is a weighted average based on the publicly-ranged U.S. volumes of the two examined companies with weighted-average dumping margins that are not zero or de minimis, for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. See Memorandum, "Certain Pasta from Italy: Weighted-Average Dumping Margin for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination," dated concurrently with this notice.