initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, Commerce will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity). Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) on Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS website at http://access.trade.gov.⁶ Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(l)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

Commerce will publish in the Federal **Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of January 2020. If Commerce does not receive, by the last day of January 2020, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: December 18, 2019.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2019–28342 Filed 12–31–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-900]

Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Results of Review and Amended Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 16, 2019, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the final remand redetermination pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China covering the period November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015. The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the final results of the administrative review and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to certain respondents eligible for separate rates.

Applicable date: December 26, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5760 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 12, 2017, Commerce published the *Final Results*, in which we accepted an alternative sales identification methodology for Bosun Tools Co., Ltd. (Bosun), calculated a margin for Bosun, and assigned the margin for Bosun to the non-selected separate rate respondents. On October

23, 2018, the CIT remanded the *Final Results* to Commerce to: (1) Further clarify or reconsider Commerce's conclusion that Bosun acted to the best of its ability in responding to Commerce's requests for information; and (2) further explain Commerce's selection of surrogate values for copper powder and copper iron clab.²

In the final remand redetermination, we found that Bosun had not acted to the best of its ability in responding to our request for information and determined Bosun's margin entirely on the basis of the facts available with an adverse inference (AFA). Because we applied AFA to Bosun, the issue concerning the surrogate value for copper power and copper iron clab was moot.³ On December 16, 2019, the CIT sustained our final remand redetermination in its entirety.⁴

Timken Notice

In its decision in Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken), as clarified by Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's December 16, 2019, final judgment sustaining the final remand redetermination constitutes the CIT's final decision which is not "in harmony" with the Final Results. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of Timken. Accordingly, Commerce will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending expiration of the period to appeal or, if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Results of Review

Because there is now a final court decision, Commerce is amending the Final Results with respect to Bosun and

⁶ See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

¹ See Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of

Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015, 82 FR 26912 (June 12, 2017) (Final Results).

² See Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 18–146 (CIT Oct. 23, 2018).

³ See Final Remand Redetermination dated April 17, 2019, pursuant to *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 18–146 (CIT Oct. 23, 2018), available at https://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/18-146.pdf.

⁴ See Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States, Court No. 17–00167, Slip Op. 19–157 (CIT Dec. 16, 2019).

the non-selected separate rate respondents as follows:

In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld by a

final and conclusive court decision, Commerce will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise based on the revised rates listed above, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2).

Cash Deposit Requirements

As the cash deposit rate for Danyang Hantronic Import & Export Co., Ltd., has not been subject to subsequent administrative reviews, Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to CBP adjusting the rate from 6.19 percent to 82.05 percent, effective December 26, 2019. For all other respondents listed above, because the cash deposit rates have been updated in subsequent administrative reviews,7 we will not update their cash deposit rates as a result of these amended final results.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 27, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

82.05 Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. 82.05

[FR Doc. 2019-28327 Filed 12-31-19; 8:45 am] 82.05 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

82.05 82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

Weighted-

average

dumping

margin

(percent)

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

82.05

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department of Commerce (Commerce) is automatically initiating the five-year reviews (Sunset Reviews) of the antidumping and countervailing duty (AD/CVD) order(s) listed below. The International Trade Commission (the Commission) is publishing concurrently with this notice its notice of *Institution* of Five-Year Reviews which covers the same order(s).

DATES: Applicable January 1, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commerce official identified in the Initiation of Review section below at AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. For information from the Commission contact Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission at (202) 205-3193.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in its Procedures for Conducting Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) and 70 FR 62061 (October 28, 2005). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to Commerce's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14,

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c), we are initiating the Sunset Reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty order(s):

DOC Case No.	ITC Case No.	Country	Product	Commerce contact
A–570–880 A–570–010		China	Barium Carbonate (3rd Review)	Matthew Renkey (202) 482–2312. Matthew Renkey (202) 482–2312.
C-570-011	701–TA–511	China	Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Products (1st Review).	Jacqueline Arrowsmith (202) 482-5255.
A-570-873	731-TA-986	China	Ferrovanadium (3rd Review)	Mark Kolberg (202) 482-1785.

⁵ See Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 82 FR 60177 (December 19, 2017). In this changed circumstances review, Commerce determined that Chengdu Huifeng New Material Technology Co., Ltd. is the successor-in-interest to Chengdu Huifeng Diamond Tools Co., Ltd.

and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 81 FR 20618 (April 8, 2016). Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017, 83 FR 39673, 39674, n.10 (August 10, 2018), unchanged in Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017, 83 FR 64331 (December 14, 2018).

⁶ Wuhan Wanbang Laser Diamond Tools Co., Ltd., is the successor-in-interest to Wuhan Wanbang Laser Diamond Tools Co. See Diamond Sawblades

⁷ For Bosun, Danyang NYCL Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Weihai Xiangguang Mechanical Industrial Co., Ltd., and Wuhan Wanbang Laser Diamond Tools Co., Ltd., see Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016, 83 FR 17527, 17528 (April 20, 2018). For all other respondents listed above, see