

Exporter/Producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Oman Fasteners LLC	0.00

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protections (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the sole respondent, for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the respondent noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 9.10 percent, the all-others rate established in the

investigation.⁷ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: December 18, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
- Comment 1: Which Financial Statement is the Appropriate Source for the Calculation of Constructed Value Profit and Indirect Selling Expenses
- Comment 2: Whether Oman Fasteners is Affiliated with a Customer by Virtue of a Close Supplier Relationship
- Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Adjust the Per-Unit Zinc Cost
- Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Allow a Scrap Offset
- Comment 5: Whether to Include Excluded Bad Debt Expenses Either in the General and Administrative (G&A) Expenses or in Indirect Selling Expenses
- Comment 6: Whether Commerce's Differential Pricing Methodology is Unlawful

⁷ See *Certain Steel Nails from the Sultanate of Oman: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 80 FR 28972 (May 20, 2015).

VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-27933 Filed 12-26-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-9

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-978]

High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Beijing Tianhai Industry Co., Ltd. (BTIC), a producer/exporter of high pressure steel cylinders from the People's Republic of China (China), received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017.

DATES: Applicable December 27, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Kolberg, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1785.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 14, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the CVD administrative review in the **Federal Register**.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On September 20, 2019, we received timely filed case briefs from BTIC and the Government of China (GOC).² We received a rebuttal brief from Norris Cylinder Company (the petitioner) on September 25, 2019.³ Based on an analysis of the comments received, Commerce has made certain

¹ See *High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2017*, 84 FR 40393 (August 14, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See BTIC's Letter, "BTIC Administrative Case Brief: Sixth Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China (C-570-978)," dated September 20, 2019; see also GOC's Letter, "GOC Administrative Case Brief: Sixth Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China (C-570-978)," dated September 20, 2019.

³ See Petitioner's Letter, "High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China—Rebuttal Brief of Norris Cylinder Company," dated September 25, 2019.

changes to the subsidy rate that was preliminarily determined for BTIC. The final subsidy rate is listed in the “Final Results of Administrative Review” section below.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is seamless steel cylinders designed for storage or transport of compressed or liquefied gas (high pressure steel cylinders). For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by the GOC, BTIC, and the petitioner are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>; and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Methodology

We conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable during the POR, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an authority that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁵ For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on comments submitted in the case and rebuttal briefs, we have made revisions to some of our subsidy rate calculations for BTIC. For a discussion of these issues, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of the Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we determine the following net countervailable subsidy

rate for BTIC, for the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Beijing Tianhai Industry Co., Ltd. (BTIC) ⁶	28.54

Disclosure

We will disclose to the parties in this proceeding the calculations performed for these final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.⁷

Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after publication of these final results of review. We will instruct CBP to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise produced by and/or exported by BTIC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017, at the *ad valorem* rate listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, we intend to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount shown above for BTIC, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, Commerce will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. Accordingly, the cash deposit requirements that will be applied to companies covered by this order, but not examined in this administrative review, are those established in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for each company. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their

responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: December 17, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- V. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VI. Benchmarks and Discount Rates
- VII. Analysis of Programs
- VIII. Analysis of Comments
 - Comment 1: How to Use the Available Price Data to Calculate the Benchmark for Seamless Tube Steel
 - Comment 2: Whether to Recalculate the Ocean Freight Benchmark to Include BTIC’s Descartes Ocean Freight Data
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Use BTIC’s Consolidated Sales in Attributing Subsidies Received by Tianjin Tianhai and Langfang Tianhai
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Modify its Calculation of the Loan Benchmark
 - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Used the Appropriate Benchmark for the Calculation of Benefits under the Provision of Electricity for LTAR Program
 - Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Modify its Calculation of the Grant for Production Base Construction for Gas Storage and Transportation Equipment
 - Comment 7: Whether Commerce Improperly Rejected BTIC’s Customer Declarations as Untimely New Factual Information
 - Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Change Its Determination with Regard to the Export Buyer’s Credit Program
- IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-27938 Filed 12-26-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

⁴ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of 2017 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁶ As discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Commerce has found the following companies to be cross-owned with BTIC: Tianjin Tianhai High Pressure Container Co., Ltd.; Langfang Tianhai High Pressure Container Co., Ltd.; Beijing Jingcheng Machinery Electric Holding Co., Ltd.; and Beijing Jingcheng Machinery Electric Co., Ltd.

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).