

Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.⁷ As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan.

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by this *Order* is PET Film and is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁸

Analysis of Comments Received⁹

All issues raised in these sunset reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum,¹⁰ which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the dumping margin likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and

Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted-average dumping margins up to 24.10 percent for India and 8.99 percent for Taiwan.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: October 29, 2019.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Orders
- IV. History of the Orders
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 2. Magnitude of the Dumping Margins Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019–24044 Filed 11–1–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

C–533–825

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this expedited sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies as indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable November 4, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2019, Commerce published the initiation of the five-year (sunset) review of the countervailing duty order on PET film from India, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ Commerce received notices of intent to participate in this sunset review from DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Terphane LLC (collectively, domestic interested parties), within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as producers of the domestic like product.

Commerce received adequate substantive responses to the *Notice of Initiation* from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Commerce received no substantive response from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day)

⁷ See Commerce's Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on July 1, 2019,” dated September 3, 2019.

⁸ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Third Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India and Taiwan,” dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁹ 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) states that where a domestic interested party intends to participate in a sunset review, it must file a notice of intent to participate with Commerce within 15 days after the publications of the notice of initiation. As noted above, Polyplex USA untimely filed its notice of appearance on July 31, 2019. 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(A) states that Commerce will not accept or consider any unsolicited submissions from that party during the course of the review. Accordingly, we have not considered Polyplex USA's submissions.

¹⁰ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 84 FR 31304 (July 1, 2019).

sunset review of the countervailing duty order on PET film from India.²

Scope of the Order

For purposes of the order, the products covered are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip, whether extruded or coextruded.

Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies and the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail if the order were revoked, are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. A list of the issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached at the appendix to this notice. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Commerce determines that revocation of the countervailing duty order on PET film from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following rates:

Manufacturers/exporters	Subsidy rates (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Ester Industries Ltd	20.46
Garware Polyester Ltd	26.70
Polyplex Corporation Ltd	15.82
All Others	22.50

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: October 29, 2019.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. History of the Order
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
 2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Likely to Prevail
 3. Nature of the Subsidy
- VI. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-24033 Filed 11-1-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-838]

Certain Cold Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel From Italy: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is partially rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cold drawn mechanical tubing of carbon and alloy steel (cold drawn mechanical tubing) from Italy for the period of review November 22, 2017, through May 31, 2019.

DATES: Applicable November 4, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Scully or Emily Halle, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0572 or (202) 482-0176 respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 3, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of “Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review” of the antidumping duty (AD) order on cold drawn mechanical tubing from Italy for the period November 22, 2017, through May 31, 2019.¹ On July 29, 2019, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce initiated an administrative review of the AD order on cold drawn mechanical tubing from Italy with respect to seven companies.² On October 8, 2019, ArcelorMittal Tubular Products LLC, Michigan Seamless Tube, LLC, PTC Alliance Corp., and Webco Industries, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners), timely withdrew their requests for an administrative review of all six companies for which they had requested a review.³

Partial Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The petitioners timely withdrew their review requests for six companies. Because Commerce received no other requests for review of these six

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 25521 (June 3, 2019).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 36572 (July 29, 2019).

³ See *Petitioner's Letter “Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing from Italy—Domestic Industry's Withdrawal of Request for First Administrative Review.”* Dated October 8, 2019.

² See *Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India*, 67 FR 44179 (July 1, 2002) (Order).

³ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).