

**South Dakota**

U.S. District Court—South Dakota: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Dallas (Region VI).

**Tennessee**

U.S. District Court—Eastern District of Tennessee: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Kansas City (Region VII).  
 U.S. District Court—Middle District of Tennessee: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Kansas City (Region VII).  
 U.S. District Court—Western District of Tennessee: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Kansas City (Region VII).

**Texas**

U.S. District Court—Eastern District of Texas: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Dallas (Region VI).  
 U.S. District Court—Northern District of Texas: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Dallas (Region VI).  
 U.S. District Court—Southern District of Texas: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Dallas (Region VI).  
 U.S. District Court—Western District of Texas: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Dallas (Region VI).

**Utah**

U.S. District Court—Utah: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Denver (Region VIII).

**Vermont**

U.S. District Court—Vermont: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, New York (Region II).

**Virgin Islands**

U.S. District Court—Virgin Islands: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, New York (Region II).

**Virginia**

U.S. District Court—Eastern District of Virginia: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Philadelphia (Region III).  
 U.S. District Court—Western District of Virginia: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Philadelphia (Region III).

**Washington**

U.S. District Court—Eastern District of Washington: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Seattle (Region X).  
 U.S. District Court—Western District of Washington: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Seattle (Region X).

**West Virginia**

U.S. District Court—Northern District of West Virginia: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Philadelphia (Region III).  
 U.S. District Court—Southern District of West Virginia: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Philadelphia (Region III).

**Wisconsin**

U.S. District Court—Eastern District of Wisconsin: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Chicago (Region V).  
 U.S. District Court—Western District of Wisconsin: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Chicago (Region V).

**Wyoming**

U.S. District Court—Wyoming: Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Denver (Region VIII).

**Addresses of OGC Offices**

Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region I, Social Security Administration, JFK Federal Building, Room 625, 15 New Sudbury Street, Boston, MA 02203-0002  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region II, Social Security Administration, 26 Federal Plaza, Room 3904, New York, NY 10278-0004  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region III, Social Security Administration, 300 Spring Garden Street, 6th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19123-2932  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region IV, Social Security Administration, Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Suite 20T45, Atlanta, GA 30303-8910  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region V, Social Security Administration, 200 West Adams Street, 30th Floor, Chicago, IL 60606-5208  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region VI, Social Security Administration, 1301 Young Street, Ste. 340, Mailroom 104, Dallas, TX 75202-5433  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region VII, Social Security Administration, Richard Bolling Federal Building, 601 E. 12th Street, Room 965, Kansas City, MO 64106-2898  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region VIII, Social Security Administration, 1961 Stout Street, Suite 4169, Denver, CO 80294-4003  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX, Social Security Administration, 160 Spear Street, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94105-1545  
 Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region X, Social Security Administration, 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900, M/S 221A, Seattle, WA 98104-7075  
 Office of Program Law, Office of the General Counsel, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Altmeyer Building, Room 617, Baltimore, MD 21235-6401

**Andrew Saul,**

*Commissioner of Social Security.*

[FR Doc. 2019-23478 Filed 10-25-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4191-02-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice: 10871]

**30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Disclosure of Violations of the Arms Export Control Act**

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comment and submission to OMB of proposed collection of information.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State has submitted the information collection described below to the Office of

Management and Budget (OMB) for approval. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 we are requesting comments on this collection from all interested individuals and organizations. The purpose of this Notice is to allow 30 days for public comment.

**DATES:** Submit comments directly to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) up to November 27, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct comments to the Department of State Desk Officer in the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). You may submit comments by the following methods:

- *Email:* [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov). You must include the DS form number, information collection title, and the OMB control number in the subject line of your message.
- *Fax:* 202-395-5806. Attention: Desk Officer for Department of State.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Direct requests for additional information regarding the collection listed in this notice, including requests for copies of the proposed collection instrument and supporting documents, to Andrea Battista, Directorate of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State, who may be reached at [battistaAL@state.gov](mailto:battistaAL@state.gov) or 202-663-3136.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- *Title of Information Collection:* Disclosure of Violations of the Arms Export Control Act.
- *OMB Control Number:* 1405-0179.
- *Type of Request:* Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.
- *Originating Office:* T/PM/DDTC.
- *Form Number:* DS-7787.
- *Respondents:* Individuals and companies engaged in the business of exporting or temporarily importing defense hardware of defense technology data who have committed an ITAR violation.

- *Estimated Number of Respondents:* 12,500.

- *Estimated Number of Responses:* 700.

- *Average Time per Response:* 10 hours.

- *Total Estimated Burden Time:* 7,000 hours.

- *Frequency:* On Occasion.
- *Obligation to Respond:* Voluntary.

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department.
- Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Please note that comments submitted in response to this Notice are public record. Before including any detailed personal information, you should be aware that your comments as submitted, including your personal information, will be available for public review.

#### Abstract of Proposed Collection

The Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (DDTC), located in the Political-Military Affairs Bureau of the Department of State, encourages voluntary disclosures of violations of the Arms Export Control Act (AECA) (22 U.S.C. 2751 *et seq.*), its implementing regulations, the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) (22 CFR 120–130), and any regulation, order, license, or other authorization issued thereunder. The information disclosed is analyzed by DDTC to ultimately determine whether to take administrative action concerning any violation that may have occurred. Voluntary disclosure may be considered a mitigating factor in determining the administrative penalties, if any, that may be imposed. Failure to report a violation may result in circumstances detrimental to the U.S. national security and foreign policy interests and will be an adverse factor in determining the appropriate disposition of such violations. Also, the activity in question might merit referral to the Department of Justice for consideration of whether criminal prosecution is warranted. In such cases, DDTC will notify the Department of Justice of the voluntary nature of the disclosure, but the Department of Justice is not required to give that fact any weight.

ITAR § 127.12 enunciates the information which should accompany a voluntary disclosure. Historically, respondents to this information collection submitted their disclosures to DDTC in writing via hard copy documentation. However, as part of an IT modernization project designed to streamline the collection and use of information by DDTC, a discrete form has been developed for the submission of voluntary disclosures. This will allow both DDTC and respondents submitting a disclosure to more easily track submissions.

#### Methodology

This information will be collected by electronic submission.

**Karen Wrege,**

*Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019–23442 Filed 10–25–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710–25–P**

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36301]

##### **Oakland Global Rail Enterprise, LLC—Acquisition Exemption—Rail Line in Alameda County, Cal.**

Oakland Global Rail Enterprise, LLC (OGRE), has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 for authority after-the-fact to acquire by sublease from Oakland Bulk & Oversized Terminal, LLC (OBOT), approximately 15,000 lineal feet of rail line (located within approximately 11.5 acres of rail right of way) at the former Oakland Army Base (OAB) in Alameda County, Cal. (the Line).<sup>1</sup> OGRE states that the length of the Line includes parallel tracks running within the rail right-of-way, and that the Line does not have milepost designations.

According to OGRE, the City of Oakland leased to OBOT, an affiliate of OGRE, an existing railroad right-of-way located at the OAB, a portion of which includes the Line. OGRE subleased the railroad right-of-way from OBOT on June 26, 2018, with the intent to rehabilitate the rail line within that right-of-way in order to provide rail service to the rail-to-ship bulk commodity marine terminal OBOT plans to build at the OAB. OGRE states that it is not seeking authority to operate the Line at this time but that in the near future it plans to seek operating authority for what will be its entire rail line, which will encompass track in addition to the Line.

OGRE certifies that the projected annual revenues as a result of this transaction will not exceed the amount that would qualify OGRE as a Class III railroad, and that the projected annual revenue for the Line will not exceed \$5

<sup>1</sup> As explained more fully in previous decisions in this docket, OGRE filed its verified notice in response to the Board's decision in *Oakland Global Rail Enterprise—Petition for Declaratory Order*, FD 36168 (STB served Mar. 15, 2019), and thereafter, the effective date of the exemption was postponed pending further order of the Board. Concurrent with the publication of this notice, the Board is serving a decision denying a petition by the City of Oakland to reject or revoke OGRE's verified notice and making the exemption effective on November 11, 2019. See *Oakland Glob. Rail Enter.—Acquis. Exemption—Rail Line in Alameda Cty., Cal.*, FD 36301 et al. (STB served October 28, 2019).

million. OGRE also states that its agreement with OBOT does not contain any provision that would prohibit, restrict, or otherwise limit future interchange with any third-party carrier.

This exemption will become effective on November 11, 2019.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions to stay must be filed no later than November 4, 2019 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

All pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 36301, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board either via e-filing or in writing addressed to 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on OGRE's representative, Kathryn Kusske Floyd, Venable LLP, 600 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20001.

According to OGRE, this action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c) and from historic reporting requirements under 49 CFR 1105.8(b).

Board decisions and notices are available at [www.stb.gov](http://www.stb.gov).

Decided: October 23, 2019.

By the Board, Allison C. Davis, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Raina Contee,**

*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2019–23511 Filed 10–25–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915–01–P**

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36302]

##### **Oakland Bulk & Oversize Terminal, LLC—Acquisition Exemption—Rail Line in Alameda County, Cal.**

Oakland Bulk & Oversize Terminal, LLC (OBOT), has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 for authority after-the-fact to acquire by lease from the City of Oakland (City) approximately 15,000 lineal feet of rail line (located within approximately 11.5 acres of rail right of way) at the former Oakland Army Base (OAB) in Alameda County, Cal. (the Line).<sup>1</sup> The length of

<sup>1</sup> As explained more fully in previous decisions in this docket, OBOT filed its verified notice in response to the Board's decision in *Oakland Global Rail Enterprise—Petition for Declaratory Order*, FD 36168 (STB served Mar. 15, 2019), and thereafter, the effective date of the exemption was postponed pending further order of the Board. Concurrent with