

removed or sold from Federal and Indian leases, units, unit participating areas, and areas subject to communitization agreements.

*Title of Collection:* Measurement of Gas.

*OMB Control Number:* 1004–0210.

*Form:* None.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Description of Respondents:* Primarily business that operate Federal oil and gas leases. Also lessees, purchasers, and transporters of natural gas from Federal oil and gas leases.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 430,782.

*Estimated Completion Time per Response:* Varies from 6 minutes to 80 hours, depending on activity.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 95,068 hours.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency of Collection:* “On occasion” with the following exceptions:

A. The following information collection activities are one-time-only, and pertain to equipment in operation before January 17, 2017:

- Transducers—Test Data Collection and Submission for Existing Makes and Models;
- Flow-Computer Software—Test Data Collection and Submission for Existing Makes and Models;
- Isolating Flow Conditioners—Test Data Collection and Submission for Existing Makes and Models;
- Differential Primary Devices Other than Flange-Tapped Orifice Plates—Test Data Collection and Submission for Existing Makes and Models;
- Linear Measurement Devices—Test Data Collection and Submission for Existing Makes and Models; and
- Accounting Systems—Test Data Collection and Submission for Existing Makes and Models.

B. Spot sampling in accordance with 43 CFR 3175.115(a) and (b) is required at the following frequency:

- Once every 12 months for very-low volume facility management points (FMPs);
- Once every 6 months for low-volume FMPs;
- Once every 3 months for high-volume FMPs;
- Once a month for very-high volume FMPs.

C. The following information collection activities require a response in fewer than 30 days upon receipt of a request from the BLM:

- The operator must submit all gas analysis reports to the BLM within 15 days of the due date for the sample as specified in 43 CFR 3175.115.

- A BLM request for information, either while the BLM is witnessing a gas analysis or conducting a production audit, generally requires a response within 2 weeks. The pertinent regulations are at 43 CFR 3175.102(e)(2), 3175.113(d)(1), 3175.118(c) and (d), 3175.104(a), and 3175.104(b).

- An operator must produce proof of test equipment recertification immediately when a BLM inspector is present to witness the verification of a mechanical record or EGM system under 43 CFR 3175.102(h), or to witness a gas sample being taken under 43 CFR 3175.113(c)(3) or 3175.114(a)(3).

*Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:* \$24,600,894.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

**Jean Sonneman,**

*Bureau of Land Management, Information Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019–22798 Filed 10–17–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–84–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[L14400000.PN0000/LXSITCOR0000/LLW0350000/17X; OMB Control Number 1004–0206]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Competitive Processes, Terms, and Conditions for Leasing Public Lands for Solar and Wind Energy Development

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is proposing to renew an information collection.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 17, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your comments on this information collection request (ICR) by mail to Jean Sonneman, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, 1849 C Street NW, Room 2134LM, Washington, DC 20240; or by email to [jsonneman@blm.gov](mailto:jsonneman@blm.gov). Please reference OMB Control Number 1004–0206 in the subject line of your comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request additional information about this ICR, contact Jeremy Bluma by email at [jbluma@blm.gov](mailto:jbluma@blm.gov), or by telephone at 208–373–3847.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the BLM provides the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps to assess the impact of the BLM’s information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the BLM’s information collection requirements and provides the requested data in the desired format.

The BLM is soliciting comments on the proposed ICR that is described below. The BLM is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is the collection necessary to the proper functions of the BLM; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the BLM enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the BLM minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. The BLM will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask in your comment to the BLM to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, the BLM cannot guarantee that it will be able to do so.

*Abstract:* This control number enables the BLM to collect the necessary information to authorize the use of public lands for solar and wind energy, pipelines, and electric transmission lines with a capacity of 100 Kilovolts (kV) or more.

*Title of Collection:* Competitive Processes, Terms, and Conditions for Leasing Public Lands for Solar and Wind Energy Development.

*OMB Control Number:* 1004–0206.

*Form:* SF–299.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Description of Respondents:*

Businesses that seek authorization to use public lands for solar or wind energy development, pipelines, or electric transmission lines with a capacity of 100 Kilovolts (kV) or more.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 3,042.

*Estimated Completion Time per Response:* Varies from 2 to 16 hours, depending on the activity.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 47,112.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency of Collection:* On occasion.

*Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:* \$2,180,808.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

**Jean Sonneman,**

*Information Collection Clearance Officer,  
Bureau of Land Management.*

[FR Doc. 2019-22806 Filed 10-17-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1124]

**Certain Powered Cover Plates; Commission Determination To Review in Part and To Remand a Final Initial Determination**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part and remand in part the final initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on August 12, 2019, finding a violation of section 337 in the above-referenced investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General

information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted the investigation on July 23, 2018, based on a complaint filed by SnapRays, LLC d/b/a SnapPower of Vineyard, UT (“SnapPower”). 83 FR 34871 (July 23, 2018). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain powered cover plates by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,871,324 (“the ‘324 patent”); 9,882,361 (“the ‘361 patent”); 9,917,430 (“the ‘430 patent”); and U.S. Design Patent No. D819,426 (“the Design Patent,” or “the ‘D426 patent”) (collectively, “the Asserted Patents”). *Id.* at 34872. The notice of investigation named thirteen respondents: (1) Ontel Products Corporation of Fairfield, New Jersey (“Ontel”); (2) Dazone, LLC of Ontario, Canada (“Dazone”); (3) Shenzhen C-Myway of Shenzhen, Guangdong, China (“C-Myway”); (4) E-Zshop4u LLC of Howey in the Hills, Florida (“E-ZShop4u”); (5) Desteny Store of Fort Meyers, Florida (“Desteny”); (6) Zhongshan Led-Up Light Co., Ltd. of Zhongshan, Guangdong, China (“Led-Up”); (7) AllTrade Tools LLC of Cypress, California (“Alltrade”); (8) Guangzhou Sailu Info Tech. Co., Ltd. of Guangzhou, Guangdong, China (“Guangzhou Sailu”); (9) Zhejiang New-Epoch Communication Industry Co., Ltd. of Yueqing, Zhejiang, China (“NEPCI”); (10) KCC Industries of Eastvale, California (“KCC”); (11) Vistek Technology Co., Ltd. of Fuyong, Baoan, Shenzhen, China (“Vistek”); (12) Enstant Technology Co., Ltd. of Xixiang Baoan District, Shenzhen, China (“Enstant”); and (13) Manufacturers Components Incorporated of Pompano Beach, Florida (“MCI”) (collectively, “the Respondents”). *Id.* The Commission’s Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party.

The evidentiary hearing on the question of violation of section 337 was held April 8–9, 2019. As of the date of

the evidentiary hearing, as well as of the date of the issuance of the ID, the status of all 13 of the named Respondents was as follows:

Ontel—terminated by settlement (Order No. 12, *non-reviewed* Nov. 27, 2018);

E-Zshop4U—terminated by Consent Order (Order No. 5, *non-reviewed* Oct. 29, 2018);

KCC—terminated by Consent Order (Order No. 6, *non-reviewed* Oct. 29, 2018);

Alltrade—terminated by settlement (Order, No. 36, *non-reviewed* May 8, 2019);

Dazone—found in default (Order No. 18, *non-reviewed* Dec. 21, 2018);

Desteny—found in default (Order No. 18, *non-reviewed* Dec. 21, 2018);

NEPCI—found in default (Order No. 18, *non-reviewed* Dec. 21, 2018);

MCI—found in default (Order No. 18, *non-reviewed* Dec. 21, 2018);

Myway—Complaint withdrawn due to inability to serve this respondent (Order No. 8, *non-reviewed* October 23, 2018);

Led-Up—complaint withdrawn due to inability to serve this respondent (Order No. 8, *non-reviewed* October 23, 2018);

Guangzhou Sailu—complaint withdrawn due to inability to serve this respondent (Order No. 8, *non-reviewed* October 23, 2018);

Enstant—actively participated in all proceedings, and

Vistek—actively participated in all proceedings. *See* ID/RD at 11–12.

Complainant SnapPower, respondents Enstant and Vistek (collectively, “Enstant/Vistek,” or “the Participating Respondents”), and the Commission investigative attorney (“the IA”) participated in the hearing. *See id.* at 11.

We note that Respondents Enstant and Vistek chose not to contest importation and infringement. Similarly, there were no genuine disputes of material fact with respect to the technical prong of the domestic industry (“DI”) requirement. As a result, these legal issues have been decided against Enstant and Vistek and against a category of Respondents identified by the ID as the “Defaulting Respondents” through summary determination (“SD”) orders. ID/RD at 2–3 (citing Order Nos. 39 (July 10, 2019) (Importation and Infringement), 40 (July 22, 2019) (Technical DI)). In particular, Order No. 39 explains the rationale and evidentiary basis for granting SnapPower’s Infringement SD Motion. *Id.* at 12–13 (citing Order No. 39, Doc. ID No. 680751 (July 10, 2019)). Order No. 39 became the Commission’s determination on August 1, 2019,