

the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Identification of Explosive Materials.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:*  
*Form number:* None.

*Component:* Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

*Primary:* Business or other for-profit.

*Other:* None.

*Abstract:* Marking of explosives enables law enforcement entities to more effectively trace explosives from the manufacturer through the distribution chain, to the end purchaser. This process is used as a tool in criminal enforcement activities.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 2,153 respondents will respond to this information collection approximately 520 times, and it will take each respondent approximately three (3) seconds to provide responses twice per day.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is

932.9 or 933 hours, which is equal to 2,153 (# of respondents) \* 260 (number of workdays) \* 0.00166667 hours (total six (6) seconds to respond each day).

(7) *An Explanation of the Change in Estimates:* The adjustment associated with this collection is a decrease in the number of respondents by 52.

Consequently, the total responses and burden hours have reduced by 27,040 and 23 hours respectively, since the last renewal in 2016.

*If additional information is required contact:* Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 1, 2019.

**Melody Braswell,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2019-21699 Filed 10-3-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-14-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

##### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On September 27, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Mahogany Company of Mays Landing, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-18481-RMB-AMD. In the filed complaint, the United States, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), alleges that Mahogany Company of Mays Landing, Inc. ("Mahogany") is liable under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for past response costs EPA incurred to respond to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances into the environment at the Superior Barrel and Drum Site located in Gloucester County, New Jersey. The proposed consent decree requires Mahogany to pay \$375,000, in five annual installments, with interest, to EPA, in settlement of the United States' claim for past response costs against Mahogany.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural

Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Mahogany Company of Mays Landing, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-11831/2. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$5.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost), payable to the United States Treasury.

**Jeffrey Sands,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2019-21578 Filed 10-3-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

##### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On September 27, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Cassidy Painting Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-18472-RMB-AMD. In the filed complaint, the United States, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), alleges that the defendants are liable under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for past response costs EPA incurred to respond to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances into the environment at the Superior Barrel and