

Controlled substance	Drug code	Schedule
4-Methyl-N-ethylcathinone (4-MEC)	1249	I
Aminorex	1585	I
APINACA and AKB48 (N-(1-Adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide)	7048	I
JWH-018 (also known as AM678) (1-Pentyl-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole)	7118	I
3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine	7405	I
5-Methoxy-N-N-dimethyltryptamine	7431	I
Alpha-methyltryptamine	7432	I
N-Benzylpiperazine	7493	I
2C-E (2-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylphenyl) ethanamine)	7509	I
25B-NBOMe (2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(2-methoxybenzyl) ethanamine)	7536	I
alpha-pyrrolidinopentiophenone (α -PVP)	7545	I
AH-7921 (3,4-dichloro-N-[(1-dimethylamino)cyclohexylmethyl]benzamide)	9551	I
Secobarbital	2315	II
Fentanyl	9801	II

The company plans to synthesize the above controlled substances for distribution to its research and forensic customers.

Dated: September 23, 2019.

Thomas W. Prevoznik,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Deputy Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2019-21311 Filed 9-30-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On September 23, 2019, the Department of Justice filed a Complaint and concurrently lodged a proposed Consent Decree to resolve claims by the United States against the Utah Department of Transportation for violations of the Clean Water Act, specifically violations of the terms and conditions of Defendant’s National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit issued by the State of Utah under Section 402(b) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1342(b), for discharges of stormwater from Defendant’s municipal separate storm sewer system (“MS4”) throughout the State of Utah. The Complaint alleges that Defendant failed to comply with permit procedures related to wet and dry weather monitoring of its MS4; implement a program to detect and eliminate illicit discharges and improper disposal into the MS4; implement a program to reduce pollutants in construction site stormwater runoff; implement and enforce a program to address post-construction stormwater runoff in new development and redevelopment; and implement an operation and maintenance program to reduce polluted runoff from municipal operations. The proposed Consent Decree addresses the alleged violations by requiring Defendant to update its

MS4 plans and operating practices to comply with its permit and to pay a \$325,000 civil penalty.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. the Utah Department of Transportation*, Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00677, DOJ number 90-5-1-1-11614. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is \$9.00.

Jeffrey Sands,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-21213 Filed 9-30-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On September 19, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Gaetano Associates LP and Charles A. Gaetano Construction Corporation*, Civil Action No. 6:19-cv-01162. In the filed Complaint, the United States, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), alleges that the Defendants are liable under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for the response costs EPA incurred to respond to the releases and/or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the environment from a parcel of property where the former Charlestown Mall outlet is located in Utica, New York that the Defendants owned and operated. The Consent Decree requires the Defendants to pay \$1.85 million in a lump sum to the United States for the settlement of the allegations in the filed Complaint.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Gaetano Associates LP and Charles A. Gaetano Construction Corporation*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-11061. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$9.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost), payable to the United States Treasury.

Jeffrey Sands,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2019–21208 Filed 9–30–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA–2016–0022]

Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.: Grant of Expansion of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this notice, OSHA announces the final decision to expand the scope of recognition for Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL).

DATES: The expansion of the scope of recognition becomes effective on October 1, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Information regarding this notice is available from the following sources:

Press inquiries: Contact Mr. Frank Meilinger, Director, OSHA Office of Communications, U.S. Department of Labor; telephone: (202) 693–1999; email: meilinger.francis2@dol.gov.

General and technical information: Contact Mr. Kevin Robinson, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor; telephone: (202) 693–2110; email: robinson.kevin@dol.gov. OSHA’s website includes information about the NRTL Program (see <http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpca/nrtl/index.html>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Notice of Final Decision

OSHA hereby gives notice of the expansion of the scope of recognition of Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (BACL) as a NRTL. BACL’s expansion covers the addition of two recognized testing standards to the NRTL scope of recognition.

OSHA recognition of a NRTL signifies that the organization meets the requirements specified in 29 CFR 1910.7. Recognition is an acknowledgment that the organization can perform independent safety testing and certification of the specific products covered within the scope of recognition, and is not a delegation or grant of government authority. As a result of recognition, employers may use products properly approved by the NRTL to meet OSHA standards that require testing and certification.

The agency processes applications by a NRTL for initial recognition, or for expansion or renewal of this recognition, following requirements in Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7. This appendix requires that the agency publish two notices in the **Federal Register** in processing an application. In the first notice, OSHA announces the application and provides the preliminary finding and, in the second notice, the agency provides the final decision on the application. These notices set forth the NRTL’s scope of recognition or modifications of that scope. OSHA maintains an informational web page for each NRTL

that details the scope of recognition. These pages are available from the agency’s website at <http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpca/nrtl/index.html>.

BACL submitted an application, dated December 4, 2017 (OSHA–2016–0022–0006), to expand its scope of recognition to include two additional test standards. OSHA performed a detailed analysis of the application packet and reviewed other pertinent information. OSHA did not perform any on-site reviews in relation to this application.

OSHA published the preliminary notice announcing BACL’s expansion application in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 2019 (84 FR 21834). The agency requested comments by May 30, 2019, and the agency received one comment (OSHA–2016–0022–0007) about the application. The comment did not require a response from the agency. OSHA now is proceeding with this final notice to grant expansion of BACL’s scope of recognition.

To obtain or review copies of all public documents pertaining to BACL’s application, go to www.regulations.gov or contact the Docket Office, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Room N–3655, Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–2350. Docket No. OSHA–2016–0022 contains all materials in the record concerning BACL’s recognition.

II. Final Decision and Order

OSHA staff examined BACL’s expansion application and examined other pertinent information. Based on a review of this evidence, OSHA finds that BACL meets the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.7 for expansion of recognition, subject to the limitation and conditions listed below. OSHA, therefore, is proceeding with this final notice to grant expansion of BACL’s scope of recognition. OSHA limits the expansion of BACL’s scope of recognition to testing and certification of products for demonstration of conformance to the test standards listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1—LIST OF APPROPRIATE TEST STANDARDS FOR INCLUSION IN BACL’S NRTL SCOPE OF RECOGNITION

Test standard	Test standard title
UL 61010–1	Safety Requirements for Electrical Equipment for Measurement, Control and Laboratory Use: Part 1—General Requirements.
UL 62368–1	Audio/Video, Information and Communication Technology Equipment: Part 1—Safety Requirement.