

on OCTG from Ukraine.⁷ On July 29, 2019, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it received an adequate substantive response from the respondent interested party.⁸

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this *Order* is certain oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Ukraine, which are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, regardless of end finish (e.g., whether or not plain end, threaded, or threaded and coupled) whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished (including limited service OCTG products) or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products), whether or not thread protectors are attached. The scope of the *Order* also covers OCTG coupling stock.

Excluded from the scope of this *Order* are: Casing or tubing containing 10.5 percent or more by weight of chromium; drill pipe; unattached couplings; and unattached thread protectors.

The merchandise subject to this *Order* is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.31.10, 7304.29.31.20, 7304.29.31.30, 7304.29.31.40, 7304.29.31.50, 7304.29.31.60, 7304.29.31.80, 7304.29.41.10, 7304.29.41.20, 7304.29.41.30, 7304.29.41.40, 7304.29.41.50, 7304.29.41.60, 7304.29.41.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.61.15, 7304.29.61.30, 7304.29.61.45, 7304.29.61.60, 7304.29.61.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.29.10.30, 7306.29.10.90, 7306.29.20.00, 7306.29.31.00, 7306.29.41.00, 7306.29.60.10, 7306.29.60.50, 7306.29.81.10, and 7306.29.81.50.

The merchandise subject to this *Order* may also enter under the following HTSUS item numbers: 7304.39.00.24, 7304.39.00.28, 7304.39.00.32, 7304.39.00.36, 7304.39.00.40, 7304.39.00.44, 7304.39.00.48, 7304.39.00.52, 7304.39.00.56, 7304.39.00.62, 7304.39.00.68, 7304.39.00.72, 7304.39.00.76, 7304.39.00.80, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.15, 7304.59.80.20, 7304.59.80.25, 7304.59.80.30, 7304.59.80.35, 7304.59.80.40, 7304.59.80.45, 7304.59.80.50, 7304.59.80.55, 7304.59.80.60, 7304.59.80.65, 7304.59.80.70, 7304.59.80.80, 7305.31.40.00, 7305.31.60.90, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.90, 7306.50.50.50, and 7306.50.50.70.

The HTSUS subheadings above are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the product coverage is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised for the preliminary results of this sunset review are addressed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁹ The issues discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping, and the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail if this *Order* were revoked.¹⁰ The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(c) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the AD order on OCTG from Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted average margins of 7.47 percent.

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results of this full sunset review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d). Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). A hearing, if requested, will ordinarily be held two days after the date the rebuttal briefs are due. Commerce will issue a notice of final results of this full sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, no later than January 30, 2020.

This five-year (sunset) review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(1).

Dated: September 23, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. History of the Order
- III. Background
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - A. Legal Framework
 - B. Analysis
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019–21149 Filed 9–27–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–469–814]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates From Spain: Preliminary No Shipments Determination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates (chlorinated isos) from Spain for the period of review (POR) June 1, 2018 through May 31, 2019. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Ercros S.A. (Ercros). We preliminarily determine

⁷ See Letter from the GOU, "Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from Ukraine, case No. A–823–815: Comments of Ukraine," dated July 8, 2019 (GOU Comments).

⁸ See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on June 1, 2019," dated July 29, 2019.

⁹ See Memorandum, "Preliminary Decision Memorandum for the First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Oil Country Tubular Goods from Ukraine" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

¹⁰ *Id.*

that Ercros had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable September 30, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Huston, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4261.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are chlorinated isocyanurates. Chlorinated isocyanurates are derivatives of cyanuric acid, described as chlorinated s-triazine triones. There are three primary chemical compositions of chlorinated isocyanurates: (1) Trichloroisocyanuric acid (Cl₃(NCO)₃), (2) sodium dichloroisocyanurate (dihydrate) (NaCl₂(NCO)₃ 2H₂O), and (3) sodium dichloroisocyanurate (anhydrous) (NaCl₂(NCO)₃). Chlorinated isocyanurates are available in powder, granular, and tableted forms. The order covers all chlorinated isocyanurates. Chlorinated isocyanurates are currently classifiable under subheadings 2933.69.6015, 2933.69.6021, and 2933.69.6050 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The tariff classification 2933.69.6015 covers sodium dichloroisocyanurates (anhydrous and dihydrate forms) and trichloroisocyanuric acid. The tariff classifications 2933.69.6021 and 2933.69.6050 represent basket categories that include chlorinated isocyanurates and other compounds including an unfused triazine ring. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isos from Spain covering one company, Ercros.¹ Commerce received a timely submission from Ercros reporting that it did not sell or export the subject merchandise to the United States during

the POR.² On August 29, 2019, we transmitted a “No-Shipment Inquiry” to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) regarding this company. Pursuant to this inquiry, Commerce received no notification from CBP of entries of subject merchandise from Ercros within the ten-day deadline. Accordingly, based on record evidence, we preliminarily determine that Ercros had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Consistent with our practice, Commerce finds that it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to Ercros, but rather to complete the review with respect to Ercros and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.³

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit cases briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁴ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.⁵ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.⁶

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce. Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. All documents must be filed electronically using ACCESS.⁷ An electronically-filed request must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.⁸ If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of

Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Commerce will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

In accordance with Commerce’s practice, we find it appropriate to complete the review and issue liquidation instructions to CBP concerning entries for Ercros following issuance of the final results of review. If we continue to find that Ercros had no shipments of subject merchandise in the final results, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by Ercros, but exported by other parties, at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.⁹

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Ercros will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to the company in the most recently completed review of that company; (2) for other manufacturers and exporters covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 24.83 percent, the all-others rate established

² See Ercros’ Letter, “Chlorinated Isocyanurates from Spain; Revised No Shipment Certification,” dated August 26, 2019.

³ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010) (collectively, *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation*).

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii).

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

⁷ ACCESS is available at <https://access.trade.gov>.

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁹ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation*.

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 36572 (July 29, 2019).

in the investigation.¹⁰ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 23, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2019–21154 Filed 9–27–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Correction to the Final Results of the 2016–2017 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is correcting its notice of the final results of the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People's Republic of China (China). The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2016 through November 30, 2017.

DATES: Applicable September 30, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401

Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 30, 2019, Commerce published the final results of the 2016–2017 administrative review of the AD order on solar cells from China in the **Federal Register**.¹ In the *Final Results*, we incorrectly included Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd/Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd. (Wuxi Suntech) in the list of companies we were continuing to find had made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. However, in the *Preliminary Results* we stated the following:

We found that Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd/Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd. . . . , which claimed no exports, sales or entries of subject merchandise during the POR did, in fact, sell subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. {This company did not file} a separate rate application or certification and thus they have not established their entitlement to a separate rate in this review.²

We based this preliminary finding on record evidence that Wuxi Suntech sold subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.³ We provided Wuxi Suntech an opportunity to discuss the evidence at the time that we placed it on the record,⁴ and also provided Wuxi Suntech with an opportunity to submit a case brief concerning our *Preliminary Results*. Wuxi Suntech did not comment on the evidence or submit a case brief. Thus, there was no basis, and Commerce did not intend, to change our preliminary decision with respect to Wuxi Suntech's Power Co., Ltd/Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd.'s no shipment claim or our preliminary finding that this company was not eligible for a separate rate. Hence, we erred when we included Wuxi Suntech

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 36886 (July 30, 2019) (*Final Results*).

² See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 67222, 67223 (December 28, 2018) (*Preliminary Results*).

³ *Id.*

⁴ See Memorandum, “Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Release of U.S. Customs and Border Protection Information Relating to No Shipment Claims Made in the 2016–2017 Administrative Review of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells from the People's Republic of China,” dated September 10, 2018; see also Memorandum, “Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Information Concerning September 7, 2018 Memorandum,” dated October 23, 2018.

Power Co., Ltd/Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd. in the list of companies that we found did not ship subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Therefore, we are correcting the *Final Results* by clarifying that we have adopted our *Preliminary Results* with respect to Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd/Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd. in the *Final Results*. Specifically, we are continuing to find that Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd/Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd. did in fact have shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR and that Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd/Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd. is not eligible for separate rate status, and thus is part of the China-wide entity. As we noted in the *Final Results*, the China-wide entity rate is 238.95 percent.⁵

This correction to the final results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: September 20, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2019–21150 Filed 9–27–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–042]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on stainless steel sheet and strip from the People's Republic of China for the period April 1, 2018, through March 31, 2019.

DATES: Applicable September 30, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Dunne, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2328.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

⁵ See *Final Results*, 84 FR at 36888.

¹⁰ See *Oil Country Tubular Goods from India: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 79 FR 41981 (July 18, 2014).