

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: September 9, 2019.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2019-19791 Filed 9-11-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-533-824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that exporters of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India sold subject merchandise below normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable September 12, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Arrowsmith at (202) 482-5255; AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On July 3, 2018, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty *Order* on PET film from India, for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018.¹ In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), in July 2018, we received requests for reviews of the following companies: Ester Industries Limited (Ester); Garware Polyester Ltd. (Garware); MTZ Polyesters Ltd. (MTZ); Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex); SRF Limited of India (SRF); Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India) (Jindal); Uflex Ltd. (Uflex); and Vacmet India Limited (Vacmet).²

Subsequently, on September 10, 2018, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from India.³

On September 25, 2018, we released U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) import data to eligible parties under the Administrative Protective Order and invited interested parties to submit comments with respect to the selection of respondents for individual examination.⁴ On November 1, 2018, we

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 83 FR 31121 (July 3, 2018); see also *See Notice of Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Film, Sheet, and Strip from India* 67 FR 44176 (July 1, 2002) (*Order*).

² See Petitioners' Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated July 31, 2018; see also Polyplex USA LLC's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated July 27, 2018; Jindal's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Request for Administrative Review," dated July 30, 2018; and SRF Limited's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from India: Requests for Antidumping Admin Review," dated July 30, 2018.

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 45596 (September 10, 2018) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁴ See Memorandum, "Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET) Film from India: Release of U.S. Customs Entry Data for Respondent Selection; 2017-2018," dated

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

September 25, 2018 (September 2018 Import Data Memo). The "Countervailing Duty Order" in the title is an error. This is the Import Data for the antidumping duty administrative review as indicated by the case number (A-533-824) in the upper right-hand corner.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is PET film and is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. A full description of the scope of the Order is contained in the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.¹¹

Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

Commerce initiated a review of eight companies in this proceeding.¹² We are rescinding this administrative review with respect to MTZ and Uflex, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), because all review requests of these companies were timely withdrawn.¹³ Accordingly, the companies that remain subject to the instant review are: Ester; Garware; Jindal; Polyplex; SRF; and Vacmet.

Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

Based upon the guidance provided in section 735(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we have preliminarily assigned to those companies not selected for individual examination the rate calculated for Jindal in this administrative review. Because SRF’s preliminary rate is *de minimis*, we are not including it in the calculation for companies not selected for individual examination.¹⁴

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users

at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins for the period July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018.

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India)	6.55
SRF Limited of India	0.00
Ester Industries Limited	6.55
Garware Polyester Ltd	6.55
Polyplex Corporation Ltd	6.55
Vacmet India Limited	6.55

Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁵ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹⁶

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, must submit a written request to the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce’s electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be

discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁷ We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is not zero or *de minimis*. If either individually-selected respondents’ weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review where applicable.

In accordance with Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Jindal Poly Films (India) or SRF Limited of India for which each company did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of

¹¹ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India; 2017–2018,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted, by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum) at 2–3.

¹² See *Initiation Notice*.

¹³ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4.

¹⁴ See the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁷ In these preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation methodology adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

PET film from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the company under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters is 5.71 percent.¹⁸ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: September 6, 2019.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
- V. Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination
- VI. Comparisons to Normal Value
- VII. Date of Sale
- VIII. Export Price and Constructed Export Price
- IX. Normal Value
- X. Currency Conversion

XI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-19796 Filed 9-11-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-109]

Ceramic Tile From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, Preliminary Negative Critical Circumstances Determination, and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of ceramic tile from the People's Republic of China (China). The period of investigation is January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: Applicable September 12, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yasmin Bordas, Moses Song, or John McGowan, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3813, (202) 482-7885, or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This preliminary determination is made in accordance with section 703(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Commerce published the notice of initiation of this investigation on May 8, 2019.¹ On June 24, 2019, Commerce postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation and the revised deadline is now September 6, 2019.² For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics

¹ See *Ceramic Tile from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigations*, 84 FR 20101 (May 8, 2019) (*Initiation Notice*).

² See *Ceramic Tile from the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 84 FR 29497 (June 24, 2019).

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Affirmative Determination:

discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is ceramic tile from China. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

In accordance with the preamble to Commerce's regulations,⁴ the *Initiation Notice* set aside a period of time for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage, (*i.e.*, scope).⁵ Certain interested parties commented on the scope of the investigation as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice*. For a summary of the product coverage comments and rebuttal responses submitted to the record for this preliminary determination and accompanying discussion and analysis of all comments timely received, see the Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum.⁶ Commerce is not preliminarily modifying the scope language as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice*.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, Commerce preliminarily determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient,

Countervailing Duty Investigation of Ceramic Tile from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997).

⁵ See *Initiation Notice*.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Ceramic Tile from the People's Republic of China: Scope Comments Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determinations," dated September 6, 2019.

¹⁸ See *Order*.