Name of source	Permit No.	State effective date	EPA approval date	Comments
Owens-Corning Fi- berglass Corpora- tion.	3296–060–10079 conditions 25 through 29.	11/15/1994	3/18/1999, 64 FR 13348.	

## EPA APPROVED GEORGIA SOURCE-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS—Continued

[FR Doc. 2019–18590 Filed 8–30–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2019-0403; FRL-9998-96-Region 10]

### Air Plan Approval: ID; Update to CRB Fee Billing Procedures

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking direct final action to approve state implementation plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Idaho's Department of Environmental Quality on June 5, 2019. The revisions implement changes to the timing of when fees for open burning of crop residue are paid. The changes provide Idaho Department of Environmental Quality a more streamlined administrative process and were based on recommendation from Idaho's Crop Residue Advisory Committee.

DATES: This rule is effective on November 4, 2019, without further notice, unless the EPA receives adverse comment by October 3, 2019. If the EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect. ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2019-0403 at https:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information vou consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the

official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randall Ruddick at (206) 553–1999, or ruddick.randall@epa.gov, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 155-15–H13, Seattle, WA 98101–3188.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever

"we," "us," or "our" is used, it is intended to refer to the EPA.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### I. Background

II. Analysis of Rule Updates

#### **III. Final Action**

IV. Incorporation by Reference

## V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background

In 2013, the EPA approved revisions related to Idaho's open burning and crop residue burning requirements that established a streamlined permitting process for spot burns, baled agricultural residue burns, and propane flaming. The revisions also made minor changes to the existing crop residue burning rules to update cross references and clarify certain administrative information. More information regarding the revisions that the EPA approved in 2013 can be found in the EPA's proposed and final actions on the state's 2011 SIP submittal. See 78 FR 2359 (January 11, 2013) and 78 FR 16790 (March 19, 2013).

#### **II. Analysis of Rule Updates**

On June 5, 2019, Idaho submitted a SIP revision request to the EPA. The SIP submittal contains two revisions to the federally-approved crop residue burning (CRB) rules. The two revisions were conducted through Idaho's negotiated rulemaking process involving persons

having an interest in the development of the revisions and based on recommendations from the Idaho Crop Residue Advisory Committee. Specifically, the June 5, 2019, SIP submittal contains revisions to IDAPA 58.01.01.620.01, .02, and Idaho Code 39-114.

Fee due dates in IDAPA 58.01.01.620.01 were changed from "at least seven (7) days prior to the proposed burn date" to "within thirty (30) days following the receipt of the annual burn fee invoice." This revision does not change the burn fee amounts, rather it only changes when the fee is due. Idaho revised IDAPA 58.01.01.620.02 to clarify that IDEQ will not accept or process registration for a permit by rule to burn for any person having burn fees delinquent, in full or in part. Idaho Code 39-114 (codification of Idaho Senate Bill 1024, Section 4) was revised by removing the requirement that fees be paid for acres "to be burned" and the requirement that payment be made "prior to burning" to align with revisions to IDAPA 58.01.01.620.01.

These revisions do not change fee structure amounts and do not change the timing of the fee payment for spot and bale burn permits required under IDAPA 58.01.01.624.02.a. All other CRB requirements remain unchanged.

#### **III. Final Action**

EPA is approving, and incorporating by reference in Idaho's SIP, revisions to Idaho's CRB fee regulations as requested by Idaho on June 5, 2019 to the following provisions:

 IDAPA 58.01.01.620 (Burn Fee, state effective April 11, 2019); and

• Idaho Code 39–114 (Open Burning of Crop Residue, state effective February 26, 2019).

We have determined that the submitted SIP revisions are consistent with section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

#### **IV. Incorporation by Reference**

In this rule, the EPA is approving regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, we are incorporating by reference the provisions described above in

Section III. Final Action. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 10 Office (please contact the person identified in the "For Further Information Contact" section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the State Implementation Plan, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully Federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.

## V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

• Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive

Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because this action does not involve technical standards; and

• Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 4, 2019. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not

affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this action published in the proposed rules section of today's Federal Register, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that the EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: August 15, 2019.

#### Michelle L. Pirzadeh,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

## Subpart N—Idaho

■ 2. Amend § 52.670, in the table in paragraph (c), by:

■ a. Revising entry for "620"; and

■ b. Under the heading "State Statutes":

■ i. Removing the entry for "Section 3 of Senate Bill 1009, codified at Idaho Code Section 39–114"; and

■ ii. Adding an entry for "Section 4 of Senate Bill 1024, codified at Idaho Code Section 39–114".

The revision and addition read as follows:

#### § 52.670 Identification of plan.

(C) \* \* \* \* \* \*

## EPA-APPROVED IDAHO REGULATIONS AND STATUTES

State citation		Title/subject		State effective date	EPA approval date		Explanations
lda	ho Administrat	ive Procedures Act (IDAP	A) 58.01.01	—Rules for t	he Control of	Air Pollution in Idaho	
*	*	*	*		*	*	*
620 Bur		Burn Fee		4/11/2019	9/3/2019, [Insert Federal Register citation].		
*	*	*	*		*	*	*
			State State	utes			
Section 4 of Senat codified at Idaho (		Open Burning of Crop Resid	due	2/26/2019		al Register citation].	

39-114.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2019–18849 Filed 8–30–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 19-588]

## Radio Broadcasting Services; Various Locations

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document amends the FM Table of Allotments, of the Commission's rules, by reinstating certain vacant FM allotments. These FM allotments are considered vacant because of the cancellation of the associated authorizations and licenses, or the dismissal of long-form auction applications. Theses vacant FM allotments have previously undergone notice and comment rule making. Reinstatement of the vacant allotments is merely a ministerial action to effectuate licensing procedures. Therefore, we find for good cause that further notice and comment are unnecessary.

**DATES:** Effective September 3, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rolanda F. Smith, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2700.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Order*, adopted June 24, 2019 and released June 25, 2019. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY–

A257, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. The full text is also available online at *http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/*. This document does not contain information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. The Commission will not send a copy of the *Order* in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, *see* 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) because the *Order* is a ministerial action.

## List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission. Nazifa Sawez.

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

#### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 309, 310, 334, 336, 339.

■ 2. In § 73.202(b), the table is amended as follows:

■ a. Under Alabama by adding Camden, Channel 230A, Maplesville, Channel 292A, and Thomaston, Channel 280C3, in alphabetical order;

b. Under Alaska by adding Kotzebue, Channel 280A, and Yakutat, Channel 280A, in alphabetical order;
c. Under Arizona by adding Salome, Channel 231A, in alphabetical order;
d. Under California by adding Cartago, Channel 233A, Coalinga, Channel 247B1, Earlimart, Channel 228A, and Ludlow, Channel 261B1, in alphabetical order;

■ e. Under Colorado by adding Dotsero, Channel 261A, in alphabetical order; ■ f. Under Florida by adding Fort Walton Beach, Channel 295A, in alphabetical order; ■ g. Under Georgia by adding Pembroke, Channel 257C1, in alphabetical order; ■ h. Under Iowa by adding Dunkerton, Channel 280A, and Rockford, Channel 225A, in alphabetical order; ■ i. Under Louisiana by adding Oil City, Channel 285A, in alphabetical order; ■ j. Under Michigan by adding Carney, Channel 260A, and Pigeon, Channel 267A, in alphabetical order; ■ k. Under Mississippi by adding McLain, Channel 245A, New Albany, Channel 268A, and New Augusta, Channel 269A, in alphabetical order; ■ l. Under Montana İby adding Valier, Channel 289C1, in alphabetical order; ■ m. Under Nevada by adding Tonopah, Channel 224A, in alphabetical order: n. Under New Hampshire by adding Stratford, Channel 254A, in alphabetical order ■ o. Under New Mexico by adding

Chama, Channel 241C3, and Lovington, Channel 269C3, in alphabetical order;

■ p. Under New York by adding Livingston Manor, Channel 296A, in alphabetical order;

• q. Under North Dakota by adding Gackle, Channel 256C1, in alphabetical order;

 r. Under Texas by revising the entries for Carrizo Springs and Groom;
 s. Under Utah by adding Huntington, Channel 287C3, in alphabetical order;

and ■ t. Under Wyoming by adding Albin, Channel 282C3, Manville, Channel 255C1, Medicine Bow, Channel 259C3, Rawlins, Channel 298C2, Rozet, Channel 256C3, and Wamsutter, Channel 285A, in alphabetical order,

and revising the entry for Wheatland. The additions and revisions read as

follows: