BURDEN BREAKDOWN—Continued

| Citation 30 CFR part 553 | Reporting requirement * | Hour burden | Average number of annual reponses | Annual burden hours |
|--------------------------|--|-------------|--|---------------------|
| 62 | Within 15 calendar days of claim, designated applicant must notify the guarantor and responsible parties of the claim. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Subtotal | | | 2 | 3 |
| Total Burden | | | 1,823 | 22,133 |

^{*}In the future, BOEM may require specified electronic filing of financial/bonding submissions.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Deanna Meyer-Pietruszka,

Chief, Office of Policy, Regulation, and Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2019–18213 Filed 8–22–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE

COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-449 and 731-TA-1118-1121 (Second Review)]

Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; Notice of Commission Determinations to Conduct Full Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on lightwalled rectangular pipe and tube ("LWR pipe and tube") from China and revocation of the antidumping duty orders on LWR pipe and tube from China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

DATES: August 5, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andres Andrade (202–205–2078), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain

information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 5, 2019, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). The Commission found that the group responses to its notice of institution (84 FR 18577) from both the domestic interested parties and the respondent interested parties from Mexico were adequate. The Commission determined to conduct a full review of the antidumping order on LWR pipe and tube from Mexico. The Commission did not receive a response to the notice of institution from any respondent interested parties concerning the orders on subject imports from China, Korea, or Turkey in these reviews. Consequently, the Commission determined that the respondent interested party group responses from each of these subject countries were inadequate. The Commission, however, determined to conduct full reviews of the orders on LWR pipe and tube from China, Korea, and Turkey in order to promote administrative efficiency in light of the Commission's determination to conduct a full review of the order on LWR pipe

and tube from Mexico.¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 19, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2019–18171 Filed 8–22–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1093]

Certain Mobile Electronic Devices and Radio Frequency and Processing Components Thereof (II); Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the above-captioned investigation in its entirety based upon settlement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Commissioner Meredith M. Broadbent did not participate in these determinations.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 18, 2018, based on a complaint filed by Qualcomm Incorporated of San Diego, California. 83 FR 834 (Jan. 8, 2018). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of articles that infringe claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 9,154,356 ("the '356 patent"); claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 9,473,336 ("the '336 patent"); claims 1, 5-8, 12, 16–18, and 21–22 of U.S. Patent No. 8,063,674 ("the '674 patent"); claims 1-4, 7–9, 11, 17, 20–23, 31–33, and 36 of U.S. Patent No. 7,693,002; and claims 1-3, 10-12, 18, and 22-24 of U.S. Patent No. 9,552,633. 83 FR at 834. The notice of investigation named as the respondent Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California. Id. at 835. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party. Id.

Based on withdrawal of numerous asserted patent claims, see 19 CFR 210.21(a), the investigation had narrowed to claims 1 and 17 of the '356 patent; claim 4 of the '336 patent; and claims 1 and 8 of the '674 patent. Order No. 37 (Aug. 27, 2018), not reviewed, Notice (Sept. 20, 2018); Order No. 43 (Oct. 3, 2018), not reviewed, Notice (Oct. 29, 2018).

On March 26, 2019, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued the final initial determination ("final ID"), which found a violation of section 337 as to claim 1 of the '674 patent, but no violation of section 337 as to the other asserted patent claims.

On April 8, 2019, Qualcomm and Apple filed petitions for Commission review of the final ID. On April 16, 2019, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed a response to the petitions for review. On April 17, 2019, Qualcomm and Apple filed a joint motion to stay all deadlines in the investigation on the basis of the parties' settlement. On April 19, 2019, the

Commission issued a notice granting that motion.

The Commission's April 19, 2019 notice requested that the private parties file a motion to terminate by April 26, 2019, and Qualcomm and Apple complied. The IA concluded that the motion did not comply with Commission rules, including Commission Rules 201.6 and 210.21(b), 19 CFR 201.6, 210.21(b), because it omitted necessary material and overredacted material that is not confidential business information. Qualcomm, Apple, and the IA moved to extend the deadline for IA's response to the motion to terminate to provide Qualcomm and Apple with additional time to amend their motion to terminate and to address the IA's concerns. The Commission granted the extension motion on May 9, 2019.

On May 13, 2019, Qualcomm and Apple filed an amended motion to terminate. On May 21, 2019, the IA responded in partial opposition, explaining that the revised motion still did not comply with Commission rules concerning the redaction of information. Following that partial opposition, the IA coordinated with the private parties to obtain a filing from the private parties in compliance with Commission rules.

On July 24, 2019, the Commission extended the target date for completion of the investigation to August 29, 2019, and required Qualcomm and Apple to file a rules-compliant motion to terminate the investigation no later than August 8, 2019. On August 8, 2019, Qualcomm and Apple filed a joint motion to supplement their earlier amended joint motion to terminate the investigation. On August 16, 2019, the IA responded in support of the motion.

The Commission finds that Qualcomm's and Apple's motion, as amended and supplemented, is proper in form and complies with Commission Rules. See 19 CFR 201.6(a), 210.21(b). The Commission further finds that termination of the investigation will not adversely affect the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to grant the amended and supplemented motion. The Commission hereby terminates the investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 20, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2019–18189 Filed 8–22–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Toner Cartridges, Components Thereof, and Systems Containing Same, DN 3405;* the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Brother Industries; Ltd., Brother International Corporation (U.S.A.); and Brother Industries (U.S.A.), Inc. on August 19, 2019. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930