Registration review case name and No.	Docket ID No.	Chemical review manager and contact information
o-benzyl-p-chlorophenol. Case Number 2045	EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0423	Erin Dandridge, <i>dandridge.erin@epa.gov,</i> (703) 347-0185.
Octenol.Case Number 6033	EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0940	Joseph Mabon, <i>mabon.joseph@epa.gov</i> ,(703) 347-0177.
p-Menthane-3,8-diol (PMD). Case Number 6017	EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0693	Susanne Cerrelli, <i>cerrelli.susanne@epa.gov</i> , (703) 308–8077.
Pyridaben. Case Number 7417	EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0214	Steven R. Peterson, <i>peterson.stevenr@epa.gov</i> , (703) 347–0755.
Starlicide. Case Number 2610	EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0696	Nathan Sell, sell.nathan@epa.gov, (703) 347-8020.
Tri-N Butyl Tetradecyl Phosphonium Chloride (TTPC). Case Number 5111.	EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0952	Daniel Halpert, halpert.daniel@epa.gov,(703) 347-0133.
Uniconazole-P. Case 7007	EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0729	Susan Bartow, <i>bartow.susan@epa.gov</i> ,(703) 603–0065.
Zinc and Zinc Salts. Case Number 4099	EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0011	Michael McCarroll, <i>mccarroll.michael@epa.gov</i> , (703) 347–0147.
Zoxamide. Case Number 7032	EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0391	Jocelyn Hospital, <i>hospital.jocelyn@epa.gov,</i> (703) 347–0756.

The registration review docket for a pesticide includes earlier documents related to the registration review case. For example, the review opened with a Preliminary Work Plan, for public comment. A Final Work Plan was placed in the docket following public comment on the Preliminary Work Plan.

The documents in the dockets describe EPA's rationales for conducting additional risk assessments for the registration review of the pesticides included in the table in Unit IV, as well as the Agency's subsequent risk findings and consideration of possible risk mitigation measures. These proposed interim registration review decisions are supported by the rationales included in those documents. Following public comment, the Agency will issue interim or final registration review decisions for the pesticides listed in the table in Unit IV.

The registration review final rule at 40 CFR 155.58(a) provides for a minimum 60-day public comment period on all proposed interim registration review decisions. This comment period is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for initiating any necessary amendments to the proposed interim decision. All comments should be submitted using the methods in ADDRESSES and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. These comments will become part of the docket for the pesticides included in the Table in Unit IV. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

The Agency will carefully consider all comments received by the closing date and may provide a "Response to Comments Memorandum" in the docket. The interim registration review decision will explain the effect that any comments had on the interim decision and provide the Agency's response to significant comments.

Background on the registration review program is provided at: *http:// www.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation.*

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.

Dated: July 24, 2019.

Mary Reaves,

Acting Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. 2019–16315 Filed 7–30–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2012-0678; FRL-9996-87-OMS]

Information Collection Request Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; NESHAP for Mineral Wool Production (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has submitted an information collection request (ICR), **NESHAP** for Mineral Wool Production (EPA ICR Number 1799.10, OMB Control Number 2060–0362), to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through September 30, 2019. Public comments were previously requested, via the Federal Register, on May 30, 2018 during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. A fuller description of the ICR is given below, including its estimated burden and cost to the public. An agency may

neither conduct nor sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Additional comments may be submitted on or before August 30, 2019. ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID Number EPA– HQ–OECA–2012–0678, to: (1) EPA online using *www.regulations.gov* (our preferred method), or by email to *docket.oeca@epa.gov*, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; and (2) OMB via email to *oira_submission@omb.eop.gov*. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick Yellin, Monitoring, Assistance, and Media Programs Division, Office of Compliance, Mail Code 2227A, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564– 2970; fax number: (202) 564–0050; email address: *yellin.patrick@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents, which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting, are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at *www.regulations.gov,* or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202–566–1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit: *http:// www.epa.gov/dockets.*

Abstract: The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Mineral Wool Production were proposed on May 8, 1997, promulgated on June 1, 1999, and amended on July 29, 2015. These regulations apply to both new and existing mineral wool production facilities with cupolas and/or curing ovens. These standards apply to owners or operators located at a plant site that is a major source of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions. This signifies that the plant has the potential to emit any single HAP at a rate of 9.07 megagrams (10 tons) or more per year or any combination of HAPs at a rate of 22.68 megagrams (25 tons) or more per year. New facilities include those that commenced construction or reconstruction after the date of proposal. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 63, subpart DDD.

In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notification reports, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all affected facilities subject to NESHAP.

Form Numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities: Mineral wool production facilities.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (40 CFR part 60, subpart DDD).

Estimated number of respondents: 8 (total).

Frequency of response: Initially, semiannually.

Total estimated burden: 2,130 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

Total estimated cost: \$308,000 (per year), which includes \$6,000 in annualized capital/startup and/or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is no change in the burden in this ICR compared to the previous ICR, however, there is an adjustment increase in the labor costs in this ICR compared to the previous ICR. This adjustment is due to a labor rate change in the calculation of labor costs. There are no changes to the

capital and operation and maintenance costs.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Regulator Support Division. [FR Doc. 2019–16228 Filed 7–30–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2019-0210; FRL-9997-56-OAR]

Proposed Determinations of Light-Duty Vehicle Alternative Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards for Small Volume Manufacturers

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA is requesting comment on proposed determinations of alternative light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emissions standards for small volume manufacturers. The alternative standards are proposed pursuant to small volume manufacturer provisions in EPA's light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas regulations. Four small volume manufacturers have applied for alternative standards: Aston Martin, Ferrari, Lotus and McLaren. The alternative standards in these determinations cover model years 2017– 2021.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 30, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ– OAR–2019–0210, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov/ (our preferred method). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

• *Email: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov.* Include Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR– 2019–0210 in the subject line of the message.

• *Fax:* (202) 566–9744 Include Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2019–0210 on the cover of the fax.

• *Mail:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center, OAR, Docket EPA–HQ–OAR–2019– 0210, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460.

• *Hand Delivery/Courier:* EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center's hours of operations are 8:30 a.m.-4:30 p.m., Monday–Friday (except Federal Holidays). Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received may be posted without change to *https:// www.regulations.gov/*, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the "Public Participation" heading of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christopher Lieske, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Assessment and Standards Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Telephone: (734) 214–4584. Fax: (734) 214–4816. Email address: *lieske.christopher@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Public Participation

Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2019-0210, at https://www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), or the other methods identified in the ADDRESSES section. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from the docket. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

II. Background

EPA's light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas (GHG) program for model years (MYs) 2012–2016 provided a conditional exemption for small volume manufacturers (SVMs) with annual U.S. sales of less than 5,000 vehicles due to unique feasibility issues faced by these SVMs.¹ The exemption was conditioned on the manufacturer making a good faith effort to obtain credits from larger

¹75 FR 25419–25421, May 7, 2010.