

organized by race/ethnicity, gender, socio-economic status, disability, and limited English proficiency. It requires fair and accurate presentation of achievement data and permits the collection of background, noncognitive, or descriptive information that is related to academic achievement and aids in fair reporting of results. The intent of the law is to provide representative sample data on student achievement for the nation, the states, and subpopulations of students and to monitor progress over time. The nature of NAEP is that burden alternates from a relatively low burden in national-level administration years to a substantial burden increase in state-level administration years when the sample has to allow for estimates for individual states and some of the large urban districts. The request to conduct NAEP 2019 and 2020 was approved in September 2018 with the latest update to the NAEP 2020 plan, consisting of the Long Term Trend (LTT) assessment to be conducted during the 2019–20 school year, approved in June 2019 (OMB# 1850–0928 v.10–15). The LTT assessments are based on nationally representative samples of 9-, 13-, and 17-year olds, and have been used by NAEP since the early 1970s to provide measures of students' educational progress over long time periods to allow for analyses of national trends in students' performance in mathematics and reading. NAEP 2019 data collection has been concluded. This request updates for the 2019–2020 LTT: (a) Communication materials, (b) instructions for entering student information, and (c) the SD and ELL section of the MyNAEP System, and provides for the 2019–2020 LTT: (d) The Spanish Bilingual Student Questionnaires translated from the approved in June 2019 (OMB# 1850–0928 v.15) English-language versions of the LTT Student Questionnaires.

Dated: July 17, 2019.

**Kate Mullan,**

*PRA Coordinator, Information Collection Clearance Program, Information Management Branch, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019–15557 Filed 7–22–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. AD19–5–000]

#### Notice Reporting Costs for Other Federal Agencies' Administrative Annual Charges for Fiscal Year 2018; Billing Procedures for Annual Charges for the Costs of Other Federal Agencies for Administering Part I of the Federal Power Act

1. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) is required to determine the reasonableness of costs incurred by other Federal agencies (OFAs)<sup>1</sup> in connection with their participation in the Commission's proceedings under the Federal Power Act (FPA) Part I<sup>2</sup> when those agencies seek to include such costs in the administrative charges licensees must pay to reimburse the United States for the cost of administering Part I.<sup>3</sup> The Commission's *Order on Remand and Acting on Appeals of Annual Charge Bills*<sup>4</sup> determined which costs are eligible to be included in the administrative annual charges. This order also established a process whereby the Commission would annually request each OFA to submit cost data, using a form<sup>5</sup> specifically designed for this purpose. In addition, the order established requirements for detailed cost accounting reports and other documented analyses to explain the cost assumptions contained in the OFAs' submissions.

2. The Commission has completed its review of the forms and supporting documentation submitted by the U.S. Department of the Interior (Interior), the U.S. Department of Agriculture (Agriculture), and the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) for fiscal year (FY) 2018. This notice reports the costs the Commission included in its administrative annual charges for FY 2019.

<sup>1</sup> The OFAs include: The U.S. Department of the Interior (Bureau of Indian Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Reclamation, National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of the Solicitor, Office of Environmental Policy & Compliance, Office of Hearings and Appeals, and Office of Policy Analysis); the U.S. Department of Agriculture (U.S. Forest Service); the U.S. Department of Commerce (National Marine Fisheries Service); and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

<sup>2</sup> 16 U.S.C. 791a–823d (2012).

<sup>3</sup> See *id.* 803(e)(1) and 42 U.S.C. 7178.

<sup>4</sup> 107 FERC 61,277, *order on reh'g*, 109 FERC 61,040 (2004).

<sup>5</sup> Other Federal Agency Cost Submission Form, available at <https://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/forms.asp#ofa>.

## Scope of Eligible Costs

3. The basis for eligible costs that should be included in the OFAs' administrative annual charges is prescribed by the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Circular A–25—*User Charges* and the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board's Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) Number 4—*Managerial Cost Accounting Concepts and Standards for the Federal Government*. Circular A–25 establishes Federal policy regarding fees assessed for government services and provides specific information on the scope and type of activities subject to user charges. SFFAS Number 4 provides a conceptual framework for federal agencies to determine the full costs of government goods and services.

4. Circular A–25 provides for user charges to be assessed against recipients of special benefits derived from federal activities beyond those received by the general public.<sup>6</sup> With regard to licensees, the special benefit derived from federal activities is the license to operate a hydropower project. The guidance provides for the assessment of sufficient user charges to recover the full costs of services associated with these special benefits.<sup>7</sup> SFFAS Number 4 defines full costs as the costs of resources consumed by a specific governmental unit that contribute directly or indirectly to a provided service.<sup>8</sup> Thus, pursuant to OMB requirements and authoritative accounting guidance, the Commission must base its OFA administrative annual charge on all direct and indirect costs incurred by agencies in administering Part I of the FPA. The special form the Commission designed for this purpose, the “Other Federal Agency Cost Submission Form,” captures the full range of costs recoverable under the FPA and the referenced accounting guidance.<sup>9</sup>

## Commission Review of OFA Cost Submittals

5. The Commission received cost forms and other supporting documentation from the Departments of the Interior, Agriculture, and Commerce. The Commission completed a review of each OFA's cost submission forms and supporting reports. In its examination of the OFAs' cost data, the

<sup>6</sup> OMB Circular A–25 6.

<sup>7</sup> OMB Circular A–25 6.a.2.

<sup>8</sup> SFFAS Number 4 7.

<sup>9</sup> For the past few years, the form has excluded Other Direct Costs to avoid the possibility of confusion that occurred in earlier years as to whether costs were being entered twice as Other Direct Costs and Overhead.

Commission considered each agency's ability to demonstrate a system or process which effectively captured, isolated, and reported FPA Part I costs as required by the Other Federal Agency Cost Submission Form.

6. The Commission held a Technical Conference on March 28, 2019 to report its initial findings to licensees and OFAs. Representatives for several licensees and most of the OFAs attended the conference. Following the technical conference, a transcript was

posted, and licensees had the opportunity to submit comments to the Commission regarding its initial review.

7. Idaho Falls Group (Idaho Falls) filed written comments,<sup>10</sup> stating its general support of the Commission's analysis but raising questions regarding certain various individual cost submissions. These issues are addressed in the Appendix to this notice.

8. After additional review, full consideration of the comments presented, and in accordance with the

previously cited guidance, the Commission accepted as reasonable any costs reported via the cost submission forms that were clearly documented in the OFAs' accompanying reports and/or analyses. These documented costs will be included in the administrative annual charges for FY 2019.

#### Summary of Reported & Accepted Costs for Fiscal Year 2018

|  | Municipal        |                  | Non-Municipal    |                  | TOTAL            |                  |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|  | Reported         | Accepted         | Reported         | Accepted         | Reported         | Accepted         |
| <b>Department of Interior</b>                          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| <b>Bureau of Indian Affairs</b>                        | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                |
| <b>Bureau of Land Management</b>                       | 21,691           | 21,691           | -                | -                | 21,691           | 21,691           |
| <b>Bureau of Reclamation</b>                           | 609              | 609              | 21,430           | 21,430           | 22,039           | 22,039           |
| <b>National Park Service</b>                           | 331,673          | 331,673          | 473,467          | 473,467          | 805,141          | 805,141          |
| <b>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</b>                  | 321,933          | 321,933          | 1,167,397        | 1,167,397        | 1,489,330        | 1,489,330        |
| <b>Office of the Solicitor</b>                         | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                |
| <b>Office of Environmental Policy &amp; Compliance</b> | 31,703           | 31,703           | 75,462           | 75,462           | 107,166          | 107,166          |
| <b>Office of Hearings and Appeals</b>                  | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                | -                |
| <b>Department of Agriculture</b>                       |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| <b>U.S. Forest Service</b>                             | 739,622          | 580,291          | 1,500,079        | 1,634,462        | 2,239,701        | 2,214,753        |
| <b>Department of Commerce</b>                          |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| <b>National Marine Fisheries Service</b>               | 901,844          | 1,020,974        | 868,617          | 645,811          | 1,770,461        | 1,666,785        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>2,349,075</b> | <b>2,308,874</b> | <b>4,106,454</b> | <b>4,018,029</b> | <b>6,455,528</b> | <b>6,326,904</b> |

Figure 1

9. Figure 1 summarizes the total reported costs incurred by Interior, Agriculture, and Commerce with respect to their participation in administering Part I of the FPA. Additionally, Figure 1 summarizes the reported costs that the Commission determined were clearly documented and accepted for inclusion in its FY 2019 administrative annual charges.

#### Summary Findings of Commission's Costs Review

10. As presented in Figure 1, the Commission has determined that \$6,326,904 of the \$6,455,528 in total reported costs were reasonable and clearly documented in the OFAs' accompanying reports and/or analyses. Based on this finding, 2% of the total reported cost was determined to be unreasonable. The Commission notes the most significant issue with the documentation provided by the OFAs was the lack of supporting documentation to substantiate costs reported on the "Other Federal Agency Cost Submission Form."

11. The cost reports that the Commission determined were clearly

documented and supported could be traced to detailed cost-accounting reports, which reconciled to data provided from agency financial systems or other pertinent source documentation. A further breakdown of these costs is included in the Appendix to this notice, along with an explanation of how the Commission determined their reasonableness.

#### Points of Contact

12. If you have any questions regarding this notice, please contact Norman Richardson at (202) 502-6219 or Raven Rodriguez at (202) 502-6276.

Dated: July 17, 2019.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2019-15580 Filed 7-22-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2698-110]

#### Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC; Notice of Application Accepted for Filing, Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Protests

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Temporary variance from reservoir level (Article 401) requirements to conduct spillway upgrade work.

b. *Project No:* 2698-110.

c. *Date Filed:* July 9, 2019.

d. *Applicant:* Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (licensee).

e. *Name of Project:* East Fork Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* The project is located on the East Fork of the Tuckasegee River in Jackson County, North Carolina.

<sup>10</sup> See Letter from Sharon L. White, Van Ness Feldman, to the Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, FERC, Docket No. AD19-5-000 (filed April 30, 2019).