charges in the ANSI ASC X12N 837P (Professional) format, the electronic claim version of CMS-1500.

E. VA proposes that claims must contain the correct member identifier, which, at this time, is the veteran's or beneficiary's Social Security Number.

F. In 2019, VA will have Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) ASC X12N/ 005010X210 Patient Information (275) transaction attachment capabilities with the implementation of an X12 275 solution. However, a recent Council for Affordable Quality Healthcare (CAQH) report, "A Report of Healthcare Industry Adoption of Electronic Business Transactions and Cost Savings", indicated that there is very little adoption of the 275 electronic administrative transaction type throughout the health care industry (https://www.caqh.org/sites/default/ files/explorations/index/report/2018*index-report.pdf*). VA is interested in understanding the barriers to and timelines for wider 275 transaction adoption to consider potential complications to broad implementation of an EDI claim submission mandate.

2. In addition to information regarding the specific statements above, VA also requests any other information and/or documentation that entities and providers in the health care industry recommend VA include on the list.

#### **Signing Authority**

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Robert L. Wilkie, Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on June 26, 2019, for publication.

Dated: July 3, 2019.

#### Luvenia Potts,

Program Specialist, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2019–14527 Filed 7–8–19; 8:45 am]

# BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2019-0339; FRL-9995-60-Region 7]

### Air Plan Approval; Missouri; Revocation of Kansas City Area Transportation Conformity Requirements Plans

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing approval of Missouri's request to remove the transportation conformity rule for the Kansas City area.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before August 8, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2019-0339 to *https://www.regulations.gov*. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received will be posted without change to *https:// www.regulations.gov/*, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the "Written Comments" heading of the

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jed D. Wolkins, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Office, Air Quality Planning Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219; telephone number (913) 551–7588; email address *wolkins.jed@epa.gov*.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document "we," "us," and "our" refer to the EPA.

# **Table of Contents**

- I. Written Comments
- II. What is being addressed in this document?
- III. Have the requirements for approval of a
- SIP revision been met?
- IV. What action is the EPA taking?
- V. Incorporation by Reference VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# I. Written Comments

Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2019– 0339, at *https://www.regulations.gov.* Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov.* The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information

vou consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

# II. What is being addressed in this document?

The EPA is proposing to approve the removal of 10 CSR 10-2.390 Kansas City Area Transportation Conformity Requirements. Pursuant to 40 CFR 93.102 (b) transportation conformity must be conducted in all nonattainment and maintenance areas and States must adopt State Plans to conduct transportation conformity. The Kansas City Area, Clay, Jackson, and Platte Counties, were designated nonattainment for the 1979 one hour ozone standard (40 CFR 81.326, March 3, 1978). On July 23, 1992 the Kansas City Area was redesignated as attainment/ maintenance (57 FR 27939, July 23, 1992). Pursuant to CAA Section 175A, the maintenance status lasted for two consecutive ten year periods from the effective date of the EPA's approval of the first ten-year maintenance plan and redesignation of the area to attainment for the NAAQS. On July 23, 2012, the second maintenance plan ended as did the requirement for transportation conformity in the Kansas City Area. Pursuant to 40 CFR 51.1118, as the Kansas City Area is in attainment for all standards, the Kansas City Area **Transportation Conformity** Requirements are no longer needed. If in the future, the Kansas City Area was to be determined to be non-attainment with a standard requiring conformity, the State would have to develop new transportation conformity requirements. Furthermore, the Kansas City Area **Transportation Conformity** Requirements are not relied on in any other maintenance or attainment plan.

# III. Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

The state submission has met the public notice requirements for SIP

submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submission also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. The state provided public notice from May 15, 2018 to August 2, 2018, and received no comments on this rule. In addition, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

#### IV. What action is the EPA taking?

We are processing this as a proposed action because we are soliciting comments on this proposed action. Final rulemaking will occur after consideration of any comments.

#### V. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, as described in the proposed amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below, the EPA is proposing to remove provisions of the EPA-Approved Missouri Regulations from the Missouri State Implementation Plan, which is incorporated by reference in accordance with the requirements of 1 CFR part 51.

#### VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

• Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866.

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTA) because this rulemaking does not involve technical standards; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: June 24, 2019.

#### James Gulliford,

Regional Administrator, Region 7.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

#### Subpart—AA Missouri

■ 2. In § 52.1320, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by removing the entry "10–2.390" under the heading "Chapter 2—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the Kansas City Metropolitan Area". [FR Doc. 2019–14005 Filed 7–8–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0700; FRL-9996-34-Region 5]

## Air Plan Approval; Indiana; Attainment Plan for the Morgan County Sulfur Dioxide Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision the Morgan County-related elements of an Indiana submission to EPA dated October 2, 2015, as supplemented on February 8, 2019. The October 2015 submission addresses attainment of the 2010 sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for four areas. The February 8, 2019 supplement provides additional modeling information regarding the adequacy of the plan for Morgan County. EPA proposes to conclude that Indiana has appropriately demonstrated that the plan provisions provided for attainment of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in the Morgan County area by the applicable attainment date and that the plan meets the other applicable requirements under the Clean Air Act. DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 8, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0700 at http:// www.regulations.gov. or via email to aburano.douglas@epa.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located