

OSMRE selected Alternative 2, in part, as its preferred alternative, after consideration of all alternatives analyzed in the Final EIS. The analysis in the Final EIS considers direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of the Proposed Action and two Alternatives. Per 40 CFR 1501.7, the issues raised during the scoping period (August 27–November 8, 2013) were used to inform the analyses and identify the alternatives considered in the EIS.

V. Environmental Impact Analysis

The Final EIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts to 16 different resource categories, including:

- Air Quality
- Climate Change
- Geology and Soils
- Archaeology and Cultural Resources
- Water Resources and Hydrology
- Vegetation
- Wildlife and Habitats
- Special Status Species
- Land Use, Transportation, and Agriculture
- Recreation
- Social and Economic Values
- Environmental Justice
- Visual Resources
- Noise and Vibration impacts
- Hazardous and Solid Wastes
- Public Health and Safety

In accordance with the CEQ's regulations for implementing NEPA and the DOI's NEPA regulations, OSMRE solicited public comments on the Draft EIS. OSMRE responses to comments are included in Appendix F of the Final EIS. The agencies considered comments received from the public on the Draft EIS and incorporated them, as appropriate, into the Final EIS.

VI. Decision

In consideration of the information presented above, OSMRE approves the ROD and selects Alternative 2 (the Proposed Action), in part, as described in the ROD (Section 3.2). This action can be implemented following approval of the mining plan by the ASLM.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.1.

Dated: June 18, 2019.

David Berry,

Regional Director, Regions 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

[FR Doc. 2019–13778 Filed 6–27–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1135]

Certain Strength-Training Systems and Components Thereof Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Based on a Consent Order Stipulation and Proposed Consent Order; Issuance of a Consent Order; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 11) of the presiding Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. The Commission has issued a consent order and has terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on October 4, 2018, based on a complaint filed by Hoist Fitness Systems, Inc. of Poway, California (“Complainant”). 83 FR 50120 (Oct. 4, 2018). The complaint,

as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain strength-training systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,549,949; 7,563,209; 7,594,880; 7,654,938; and 7,976,440. The complaint named as respondents TuffStuff Fitness International, Inc. of Chino, California (“TuffStuff Fitness”), and Shandong Relax Health Industry Co., Ltd. of Jimo City, Qingdao, Shandong Province, China (“Relax Health”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party in this investigation. *Id.* Subsequently, the investigation was terminated as to Relax Health based on the consent order. Order No. 9 dated March 26, 2019 (*unreviewed*, April 15, 2019).

On May 1, 2019, Complainant and respondent TuffStuff Fitness jointly moved to terminate this investigation in its entirety based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order.

On May 28, 2019, the ALJ issued the subject ID. The ALJ found that the consent order stipulation complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(c)(3), 19 CFR 210.21(c)(3). *Id.* at 2. The ALJ further found that the proposed consent order complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(c)(4), 19 CFR 210.21(c)(4). *Id.* The ALJ also found no evidence that terminating this investigation based on the consent order stipulation and the proposed consent order would be contrary to the public interest. *Id.* at 3. Based on the foregoing, the ALJ granted the joint motion.

No party petitioned for review of the ID. The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The Commission has issued a consent order and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 24, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–13784 Filed 6–27–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P