

Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 28, 2019 (84 FR 6823).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics Unit,
Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-12644 Filed 6-14-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Importer of Controlled Substances Registration

ACTION: Notice of registration.

SUMMARY: The registrant listed below have applied for and been granted registration by the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) as an importer of schedule I controlled substances.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The company listed below applied to be registered as an importer of basic class of controlled substances. Information on previously published notice is listed in the table below. No comments or objections were submitted and no requests for a hearing were submitted for this notice.

Company	FR Docket	Published
Sanyal Biotechnology, LLC	84 FR 13953	April 8, 2019.

The DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823, 952(a) and 958(a) and determined that the registration of the listed registrant to import the applicable basic class of schedule I controlled substances is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971. The DEA investigated the company's maintenance of effective controls against diversion by inspecting and testing the company's physical security systems, verifying the company's compliance with state and local laws, and reviewing the company's background and history.

Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the DEA has granted a registration as an importer for schedule I controlled substances to the above listed company.

Dated: June 7, 2019.

John J. Martin,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2019-12740 Filed 6-14-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act; the Clean Water Act; and the Oil Pollution Act

On June 10, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington in the lawsuit entitled *United States, State of Washington, Suquamish Tribe, and Muckleshoot Indian Tribe v. Earle M. Jorgensen Company*, Civil Action No. 19-cv-00907.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves claims alleged against the Defendant for natural resource damages caused by releases and discharges of hazardous substances and oil from its formerly owned and operated facility to the Lower Duwamish River in and near Seattle, Washington. The settlement requires Defendant to pay its equitable share of total natural resource damages estimated for the Lower Duwamish River, for purposes of early settlements, and assessment costs incurred by the Natural Resource Trustees. The Consent Decree requires Defendant to pay \$1.3 million for natural resource damages and reimburse past assessment costs incurred by the Trustees totaling \$75,538.96. The Defendant will receive a covenant not to sue under the Clean Water Act; the Oil Pollution Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"); and the State of Washington Model Toxics Control Act for natural resource damages caused by releases and discharges from its formerly owned and operated facility to the Lower Duwamish River.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States, State of Washington, Suquamish Tribe, and Muckleshoot Indian Tribe v. Earle M. Jorgensen Company*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-07227/3. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$8.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Susan M. Akers,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental
Enforcement Section, Environment and
Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-12673 Filed 6-14-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Extension of Public Comment Period for Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On February 8, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama in the lawsuit entitled *United States et al. v. Drummond Company, Inc. d/b/a ABC Coke (Drummond)*, Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00240-AKK. The United States is joined in this matter by its co-plaintiff the Jefferson County Board of Health (JCBH). At the request of members of the public, DOJ is extending the public comment period for an additional 30 days.

This case relates to alleged releases of benzene from Drummond's coke by-product recovery plant in Tarrant, Alabama (Facility). The case involves claims for civil penalties and injunctive relief under the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations known as National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs), including 40 CFR part 61, subpart L (Benzene Emissions from Coke By-product Recovery Plants), Subpart V (Equipment Leaks and Fugitive Emissions), and Subpart FF (Benzene Waste Operations), as well as related claims under laws promulgated by the Jefferson County Board of Health.

The settlement resolves the alleged claims by requiring Drummond to, among other things: (1) Pay a civil penalty of \$775,000 for the past alleged violations to be split equally between the United States and JCBH; (2) undertake fixes to the Facility to address the alleged violations; (3) implement a leak detection and repair program to ensure compliance and reduce potential future fugitive benzene emissions; and (4) implement a supplemental environmental project of two years of semi-annual use of an infrared camera as part of leak detection efforts at a cost of \$16,000.

Notice of the lodging of the decree was originally published in the **Federal Register** on February 14, 2019. See 84 FR 4104 (February 14, 2019). The publication of the original notice opened a thirty (30) day period for public comment on the Decree. The public comment period was extended until June 17, 2019. 84 FR 9,560 (March 15, 2019); 84 FR 16,038 (April 17, 2019); 84 FR 22,168 (May 16, 2019). The publication of the present notice extends the period for public comment on the Decree to July 17, 2019.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States et al. v. Drummond Company, Inc. d/b/a ABC Coke*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–10717. All comments must be submitted no later than July 17, 2019. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.00 (25 cents per page

reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Jeffrey Sands,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2019–12635 Filed 6–14–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–CW–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OMB Number 1121–NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; New Collection

AGENCY: Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, is submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: The Department of Justice encourages public comment and will accept input until July 17, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Gregory Torain, Policy Advisor, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance, 810 Seventh Street NW, Washington, DC 20531, Gregory.Torain@usdoj.gov, 202–305–4485. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be sent to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice

Assistance, including whether the information will have practical utility; —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; —Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* New collection.
2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Annual Treatment Court Survey Series.
3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Justice Assistance.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The Local ATCS (N=4,172 courts), Tribal ATCS (N=117 courts), and State Coordinator (N=54 state/territory court coordinators) address the structure (e.g., funding, personnel, partnerships), operation (e.g., services offered, eligibility, decision making), and successes and challenges (e.g., adherence to or deviance from best practices; racial, ethnic, and gender disparity or equity). The purpose of the ATCS is to develop a current portrait of treatment courts including needs and emerging trends.
5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* Overall, the ATCS Series uses three national population frames, totaling 4,343 courts and offices for court administration. Data collection uses these full population frames; samples from these population frames are not created for collection purposes. The national population frame for the Local ATCS contains each of the treatment courts across the country (N=4,172) with one respondent from each court, and the tribal courts across the country constitute the population frame for the Tribal ATCS (N=117) with one respondent from each court. All state/territory court coordinators (N=54) comprise the population frame for the