

involvement in managing damage and conflicts associated with predators in Idaho. Once completed, the EIS will replace APHIS–WS’ environmental assessments on predator damage management in Southern Idaho, predator damage management in Northern and Central Idaho, and gray wolf damage management in Idaho.

### Scoping

Please review the information in this notice and the supplemental information in our supplement, “Invitation for Public Involvement,” which may be obtained from the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and viewed on the *Regulations.gov* website or in our reading room (see **ADDRESSES** above for a link to *Regulations.gov* and information on the location and hours of the reading room). Comments that will assist in further delineating the scope of alternatives, and environmental impacts and other issues of public concern that should be addressed in the analysis are encouraged. Please also submit any scientific data, studies, or research that you feel is relevant to the analysis.

### Alternatives

The EIS will consider a range of reasonable alternatives that will include a “no action” alternative, which can be defined as a continuation of the ongoing management practices described above, in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality’s regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (7 CFR parts 1500–1508). Suggestions for other alternatives that could be considered are listed below. Additional recommendations for management alternatives to be advanced for detailed analysis are welcome.

- Current integrated PDM activities with PDM activities in Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas limited to the protection of human health and safety.
- APHIS–WS uses and recommends only nonlethal PDM methods.
- APHIS–WS only uses lethal PDM methods after it is confirmed and recorded that reasonable nonlethal methods were employed by APHIS–WS or the cooperator and were ineffective in resolving the problem.
- Current integrated PDM activities, with the exception that APHIS–WS would not use toxicants for PDM.
- Current integrated PDM activities, but PDM for the protection of natural resources would be limited to protection of species federally listed under the Endangered Species Act.
- Option for the use of M–44s under any alternative that allows for the use of

toxicants for PDM. APHIS–WS currently does not use this method in Idaho.

### Issues for Detailed Consideration in the Analysis

In considering reasonable alternatives, the EIS will study the effects of the project on environmental issues and other issues of public concern identified as important for understanding the impacts of PDM activities. APHIS–WS and the cooperating agencies have identified the following issues for consideration in the EIS. The public is encouraged to submit comments identifying other issues that should be considered.

- Impacts on State and regional predator populations;
- Effects on nontarget animal populations including species federally listed under the Endangered Species Act;
- Impacts of the alternatives on predator-prey relationships and ecosystem processes (*e.g.*, trophic cascades);
- Impacts on Special Management Areas, including Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas;
- Humaneness and ethical perspectives regarding actions proposed in the alternatives;
- Effects on recreation and people’s aesthetic enjoyment of wildlife, including hunting and non-consumptive uses;
- Impacts of the alternatives on Native American culture and resource uses;
- Economic costs and benefits of the proposed alternatives; and
- Risks and benefits to human and pet safety from PDM activities.

After the comment period closes, APHIS–WS will review and consider all comments received during the comment period and any other relevant information in the development of the EIS. All comments received will be available for public review as required and allowed by law. Upon completion of the draft EIS, a notice announcing its availability and an opportunity to comment will be published in the **Federal Register**.

The EIS will be prepared in accordance with: (1) NEPA, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*); (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508); (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b); and (4) APHIS’ NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

Done in Washington, DC, this 4th day of June 2019.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 2019–12066 Filed 6–7–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS–2019–0016]

#### Addition of Mongolia to the List of Regions Affected by African Swine Fever

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** We are advising the public that we have added Mongolia to the list of regions that the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service considers to be affected with African swine fever (ASF). We are taking this action because of the confirmation of ASF in Mongolia.

**DATES:** Mongolia was added to the APHIS list of regions considered affected with ASF on January 10, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Rebecca Gordon, Import Risk Analyst, Strategy and Policy, VS, APHIS, 920 Main Campus Drive, Suite 200, Raleigh, NC 27606; (919) 855–7741; email: [Rebecca.k.gordon@usda.gov](mailto:Rebecca.k.gordon@usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The regulations in 9 CFR part 94 (referred to below as the regulations) govern the importation of specified animals and animal products to prevent the introduction into the United States of various animal diseases, including foot-and-mouth disease, bovine spongiform encephalopathy, swine vesicular disease, classical swine fever, and African swine fever (ASF). These are dangerous and destructive diseases of ruminants and swine.

Section 94.8(a)(3) of the regulations states that the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) will consider a region to have ASF and add it to the list referenced in § 94.8(a)(2) upon determining ASF exists in the region, based on reports APHIS receives of outbreaks of the disease from veterinary officials of the exporting country, from the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE), or from other sources the Administrator determines to be reliable.

ASF is a highly contagious disease of wild and domestic swine that can spread rapidly in swine populations

with extremely high rates of morbidity and mortality. A list of regions where ASF exists or is reasonably believed to exist is maintained on the APHIS website at <https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/animal-and-animal-product-import-information/animal-health-status-of-regions/>.

In a report dated January 15, 2019, the veterinary authorities of Mongolia reported to the OIE confirmation of an ASF outbreak on January 10, 2019. Therefore, in response to this outbreak, APHIS has added Mongolia to the list of regions where ASF exists or is reasonably believed to exist.

Although the importation of most swine commodities from Mongolia into the United States is already restricted based on that country's classical swine fever, foot-and-mouth disease, and swine vesicular disease status, APHIS has determined that it is necessary to impose ASF-related restrictions on the importation of pork and pork products from Mongolia into the United States.

As a result, pork and pork products from Mongolia, including casings, are subject to APHIS import restrictions designed to mitigate the risk of ASF introduction into the United States.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1633, 7701–7772, 7781–7786, and 8301–8317; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

Done in Washington, DC, this 4th day of June 2019.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 2019–12067 Filed 6–7–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

---

## COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the South Dakota Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Commission on Civil Rights.

**ACTION:** Announcement of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a planning meeting of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 12:00 p.m. (MDT) on Thursday, June 27, 2019 via teleconference. The purpose of the meeting is review and vote on an Advisory Memorandum to wrap up the Committee's work on subtle racism in South Dakota.

**DATES:** Thursday, June 27, 2019, at 12:00 p.m. (MDT)

**ADDRESSES:** To be held via teleconference: 1–800–458–4121, Conference ID: 4148595.

**TDD:** Dial Federal Relay Service 1–800–877–8339 and give the operator the above conference call number and conference ID.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Evelyn Bohor, [ebohor@usccr.gov](mailto:ebohor@usccr.gov), 303–866–1040.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Members of the public may listen to the discussion by dialing the following Conference Call Toll-Free Number: 1–800–458–4121; Conference ID: 4148595. Please be advised that before being placed into the conference call, the operator will ask callers to provide their names, their organizational affiliations (if any), and an email address (if available) prior to placing callers into the conference room. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free phone number.

Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the discussion by first calling the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339 and provide the FRS operator with Conference Call Toll-Free Number: 1–800–458–4121; Conference ID: 4148595. Members of the public are invited to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office by Monday, July 29, 2019. Written comments may be mailed to the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1961 Stout Street, Suite 13–201, Denver, CO 80294, faxed to (303) 866–1050, or emailed to Evelyn Bohor at [ebohor@usccr.gov](mailto:ebohor@usccr.gov). Persons who desire additional information may contact the Rocky Mountain Regional Office at (303) 866–1040.

Records and documents discussed during the meeting will be available for public viewing as they become available at <https://www.facadatabase.gov/FACA/FACAPublicViewCommitteeDetails?id=a10t000001gz5AAA> and clicking on the “Meeting Details” and “Documents” links. Records generated from this meeting may also be inspected and reproduced at the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's website, [www.usccr.gov](http://www.usccr.gov), or to contact the Rocky Mountain Regional Office at the above phone number, email or street address.

### Agenda:

Thursday, June 27, 2019 (12:00 p.m.–MDT)

- Roll-call
- Review and vote on Advisory Memorandum
- Public Comment
- Adjourn

Dated: June 5, 2019.

**David Mussatt,**

*Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.*

[FR Doc. 2019–12102 Filed 6–7–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–896]

#### Magnesium Metal From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) continues to find that Tianjin Magnesium International, Co., Ltd. (TMI) and Tianjin Magnesium Metal Co., Ltd. (TMM) had no shipments of subject merchandise covered by the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the People's Republic of China (China) for the period of review (POR) April 1, 2017, through March 31, 2018.

**DATES:** Applicable June 10, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kyle Clahane or Brendan Quinn, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5449 or (202) 482–5848, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 1, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>1</sup> We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, but no comments were received. Accordingly, we made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of

<sup>1</sup> See *Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 84 FR 1048 (February 1, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*).