

(2) Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, adopted on March 23, 2017, except for the subchapter titled “South Coast Commitment” in chapter 3 (“Proposed SIP Commitment”).

(B) South Coast Air Quality Management District.

(1) The following portions of the “Final 2016 Air Quality Management Plan (March 2017),” adopted March 3, 2017: Chapter 5 (“PM_{2.5} Modeling Approach”), pages 5–17 through 5–27; Appendix III (“Base and Future Emission Inventory”), Attachment A (“Annual Average Emissions by Source Category in South Coast Air Basin”) for PM_{2.5}, NO_x, SO₂, VOC, and NH₃ for years 2012, 2017, 2019, and 2020 and Attachment D, tables D–1, D–3, D–7 and D–9; Appendix IV–A (“SCAQMD’s Stationary and Mobile Source Control Measures”), Table IV–A–4 and section 2 (“PM_{2.5} Control Measures”); Appendix IV–C (“Regional Transportation Strategy and Control Measures”), section IV (“TCM Best Available Control Measure (BACM) Analysis for 2006 24-Hour and 2012 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS”); Appendix V (“Modeling and Attainment Demonstration”), Chapter 7 (“24-hour PM_{2.5} Demonstration”) and Attachment 8 (“24-hour Unmonitored Area Analysis Supplement”); Appendix VI–A (“Reasonably Available Control Measures (RACM)/Best Available Control Measures (BACM) Demonstration”), pages VI–A–13 through VI–A–42, Attachment VI–A–1 (“Evaluation of SCAQMD Rules and Regulations”), Attachment VI–A–2 (“Control Measure Assessment”), and Attachment VI–A–3 (“California Mobile Source Control Program Best Available Control Measures/Reasonably Available Control Measures Assessment”); Appendix VI–C (“Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) and Milestone Years”),

pages VI–C–5 through VI–C–8, and Attachment VI–C–1 (“California Existing Mobile Source Control Program”); Appendix VI–D (“General Conformity and Transportation Conformity Budget”), pages VI–D–2 through VI–D–6 and excluding tables VI–D–1 through 3; and Appendix VI–F (“Precursor Requirements”).

(2) Letter dated March 14, 2018 from Philip Fine, Deputy Executive Officer, Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources, South Coast Air Quality Management District, to Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director, Air Division, EPA Region IX.

(3) Letter dated June 15, 2018 from Philip Fine, Deputy Executive Officer, Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources, South Coast Air Quality Management District, to Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director, Air Division, EPA Region IX, regarding “Condensable and Filterable Portions of PM_{2.5} Emissions in the 2016 AQMD.”

[FR Doc. 2019–08628 Filed 5–2–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2018–0787; FRL–9992–14–Region 9]

Air Plan Approval; California; Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a revision to the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District (AVAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This

revision concerns emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from organic liquid loading. We are approving a local rule that regulates these emission sources under the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act).

DATES: This rule will be effective on June 3, 2019.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2018–0787. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <https://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Newhouse, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 972–3004, newhouse.rebecca@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Response
- III. EPA Action
- IV. Incorporation by Reference
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Proposed Action

On December 18, 2018 (83 FR 64795), the EPA proposed to approve the following rule into the California SIP.

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Amended	Submitted
AVAQMD	462	Organic Liquid Loading ¹	09/19/17	11/13/17

We proposed to approve this rule because we determined that it complies with the relevant CAA requirements. We also proposed to find that the rule satisfied the District’s commitment to remedy the Rule 462 deficiency identified in the conditional approval of the District’s reasonably available

¹ As explained in our proposal, subsequent to the submittal of Rule 462, the District made two minor administrative corrections to the rule text. The EPA is approving the corrected version of the rule, a copy of which is included in the docket for this action.

control technology (RACT) demonstrations for the 1997 8-hr ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and the 2008 8-hr ozone NAAQS (RACT SIP) (82 FR 46923). Our proposed action contains more information on the rule and our evaluation.

II. Public Comments and EPA Response

The EPA’s proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received no comments.

III. EPA Action

No comments were submitted. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, the EPA is fully approving this rule into the California SIP. The EPA is also converting the partial conditional approval of the District’s RACT SIP with respect to Rule 462 into a full approval.

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes

incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the AVAQMD rule described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 2, 2019. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: March 4, 2019.

Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart F—California

- 2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(88)(iii)(D) and (c)(516) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan-in part.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(88) * * *

(iii) * * *

(D) Previously approved on July 8, 1982 in paragraph (c)(88)(iii)(B) of this section, and now deleted with replacement in the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District in paragraph (c)(516)(i)(A)(1) of this section, Rule 462.

* * * * *

(516) New and amended regulations for the following APCDs were submitted on November 13, 2017 by the Governor's designee.

(i) *Incorporation by reference.* (A) Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District.

(1) Rule 462, "Organic Liquid Loading," amended on September 19, 2017.

(2) [Reserved]

(B) [Reserved]

(ii) [Reserved]

§ 52.248 [Amended]

- 3. Section 52.248 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (b)(1).

[FR Doc. 2019-08629 Filed 5-2-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P