

maximum 40-watt limitation; and (II) be sold at retail only in a package containing 1 lamp. 42 U.S.C. 6295(l)(4)(E)(ii)

DOE stated in the December 2017 final rule that it will continue to collect and model data for vibration service lamps for two years after the effective date of January 25, 2018 (calendar years 2018 and 2019), in accordance with 42 U.S.C. 6295(l)(4)(I)(ii). 82 FR 60845, 60846 (December 26, 2017). For the 2018 calendar year, the exponential growth forecast projected the benchmark unit sales estimate for vibration service lamps to be 2,229,000 units. The NEMA-provided shipment data reported shipments of 4,723,000 units in 2018, which is 211.9 percent of the benchmark estimate. DOE will complete its obligation to collect and model data for vibration service lamps after the 2019 calendar year.

### C. Three-Way Incandescent Lamps

For 3-way incandescent lamps, the exponential growth forecast projected the benchmark unit sales estimate for 2018 to be 47,121,000 units. The NEMA-provided shipment data reported shipments of 22,098,000 units in 2018. As the NEMA-provided shipment data reported is only 46.9 percent the benchmark estimate, DOE will continue to track 3-way incandescent lamp sales data and will not initiate an accelerated standards rulemaking for this lamp type at this time.

### D. 2,601–3,300 Lumen General Service Incandescent Lamps

For 2,601–3,300 lumen general service incandescent lamps, the exponential growth forecast projected the benchmark unit sales estimate for 2018 to be 34,373,000 units. The NEMA-provided shipment data reported shipments of 2,465,000 units in 2018. As the NEMA-provided shipment data reported is only 7.2 percent of the benchmark estimate, DOE will continue to track 2,601–3,300 lumen general service incandescent lamp sales data and will not impose statutory requirements for this lamp type at this time.

### E. Shatter-Resistant Lamps

For shatter-resistant lamps, the exponential growth forecast projected the benchmark unit sales estimate for 2018 to be 1,688,000 units. The NEMA-provided shipment data reported shipments of 400,000 units in 2018. As the NEMA-provided shipment data reported is only 23.7 percent of the benchmark estimate, DOE will continue to track shatter-resistant lamp sales data

and will not initiate an accelerated standards rulemaking for this lamp type at this time.

## V. Conclusion

This NODA compares the 2018 shipments against benchmark unit sales estimates for rough service lamps, vibration service lamps, 3-way incandescent lamps, 2,601–3,300 lumen general service incandescent lamps, and shatter-resistant lamps. For 3-way incandescent lamps, 2,601–3,300 lumen general service incandescent lamps, and shatter-resistant lamps, the 2018 sales are not greater than 200 percent of the forecasted estimates. The 2018 unit sales for vibration service lamps are greater than 200 percent of the benchmark unit sales estimate. The 2018 unit sales for rough service lamps are below the benchmark unit sales estimate. DOE will continue to monitor these lamp types and will assess 2019 unit sales next year.

Signed in Washington, DC, on April 18, 2019.

**Steven Chalk,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.*

[FR Doc. 2019–08276 Filed 4–24–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450–01–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R09–OAR–2019–0176; FRL–9992–65–Region 9]

### Air Plan Approval; California; South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a revision to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) from on-road heavy-duty vehicles. We are proposing to approve a local measure to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from these emission sources under the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by May 28, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R09–

OAR–2019–0176 at <https://www.regulations.gov>. For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rynda Kay, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 947–4118, [kay.rynda@epa.gov](mailto:kay.rynda@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

## Table of Contents

- I. The State’s Submittal
  - A. What measure did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of this measure?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted measure?
- II. The EPA’s Evaluation and Proposed Action
  - A. How is the EPA evaluating the measure?
  - B. Does the measure meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. Proposed action and request for public comment
- III. Incorporation by Reference
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

## I. The State’s Submittal

### A. What measure did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the measure addressed by this proposal with the date that it was adopted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). We refer to this measure as the “South Coast Incentive Measure.”

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED MEASURE

Agency	Resolution No.	Measure title	Adopted	Submitted
CARB .....	18–3	South Coast On-Road Heavy-Duty Vehicle Incentive Measure .....	03/22/18	05/04/18

On November 4, 2018, the submittal for the South Coast Incentive Measure was deemed by operation of law to meet the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51 Appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

*B. Are there other versions of this measure?*

There are no previous versions of the South Coast Incentive Measure in the SIP.

*C. What is the purpose of the submitted measure?*

Emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> contribute to ground-level ozone, smog and particulate matter, which harm human health and the environment. The CAA generally requires states to submit control measures to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in ozone nonattainment areas.

On July 18, 1997, the EPA revised the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS or “standards”) for ozone to establish an 8-hour ozone standard of 0.08 parts per million (ppm).<sup>1</sup> Effective June 15, 2004, the EPA designated and classified the Los Angeles-South Coast Air Basin (“South Coast”) as a Severe nonattainment area for the 1997 ozone NAAQS.<sup>2</sup> On May 5, 2010, EPA reclassified the South Coast as an Extreme area for the 1997 ozone NAAQS with an attainment date of June 15, 2024.<sup>3</sup> The EPA has previously approved various SIP revisions submitted by California to provide for expeditious attainment of the 1997 ozone NAAQS in the South Coast and to meet other applicable planning requirements in the Clean Air Act.<sup>4</sup> The South Coast is also designated and classified as an Extreme nonattainment area for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS promulgated in 1979, the revised 8-hour ozone NAAQS promulgated in 2008, and the revised 8-hour ozone NAAQS promulgated in 2015.<sup>5</sup>

On April 27, 2017, CARB submitted the “Final 2016 Air Quality Management Plan,” March 2017 (“2016 AQMP”) and the “Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State

Implementation Plan,” March 7, 2017 (“State SIP Strategy”), which contain, inter alia, a revised attainment demonstration and revised commitments to achieve specific amounts of emission reductions by 2023 for the 1997 ozone NAAQS. The 2016 AQMP also contains an attainment demonstration and commitments to achieve specific amounts of emission reductions by 2031 for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, in addition to revised attainment plan components for the 1979 1-hour ozone NAAQS. The purpose of the South Coast Incentive Measure is to satisfy a portion of the State’s emission reduction commitments for the 1997 ozone NAAQS.

The South Coast Incentive Measure is a set of enforceable commitments by CARB to, among other things, monitor the SCAQMD’s implementation of 1,300 on-road heavy-duty compression ignition truck repower and replacement projects during the 2019–2022 timeframe in the South Coast in accordance with specified portions of the Carl Moyer Program Guidelines, 2017 Revisions, approved April 27, 2017 (“2017 Carl Moyer Guidelines”). These program requirements ensure, among other things, that older, dirtier truck engines currently in operation in the South Coast will be replaced with less-polluting engines.

The South Coast Incentive Measure obligates CARB to achieve specific amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> emission reductions through implementation of the program by a specific year, to submit annual reports to the EPA beginning on March 31, 2020, detailing its implementation of the program and the projected emission reductions, and to adopt and submit substitute measures by specific dates if the EPA determines that the program will not achieve the necessary emission reductions by the applicable implementation deadline.

We are proposing to approve the South Coast Incentive Measure into the California SIP and to make the obligations stated therein enforceable by the EPA and by citizens under the CAA. The State relies on the measure to achieve 1 ton per day of NO<sub>x</sub> emission reductions in 2023 for purposes of meeting the requirements for attainment of the 1997 ozone NAAQS. We intend to evaluate California’s submitted ozone attainment plans for South Coast through subsequent notice-and-

comment rulemaking actions, as appropriate. The EPA’s technical support document (TSD) has more information about this measure.

**II. The EPA’s Evaluation and Proposed Action**

*A. How is the EPA evaluating the measure?*

Generally, SIP control measures must be enforceable (see CAA section 110(a)(2)), must not interfere with applicable requirements concerning attainment and reasonable further progress or other CAA requirements (see CAA section 110(l)), and must not modify certain SIP control requirements in nonattainment areas without ensuring equivalent or greater emissions reductions (see CAA section 193).

The CAA explicitly provides for the use of economic incentive programs (EIPs) as one tool for states to use to achieve attainment of the NAAQS.<sup>6</sup> EIPs use market-based strategies to encourage the reduction of emissions from stationary, area, and mobile sources in an efficient manner. EPA has promulgated regulations for statutory EIPs required under section 182(g) of the Act and has issued guidance for discretionary EIPs.<sup>7</sup>

The EPA’s guidance documents addressing EIPs and other nontraditional programs provide for some flexibility in meeting established SIP requirements for enforceability and quantification of emission reductions, provided the State takes clear responsibility for ensuring that the emission reductions necessary to meet applicable CAA requirements are achieved. Accordingly, the EPA has consistently stated that nontraditional emission reduction measures submitted to satisfy SIP requirements under the Act must be accompanied by appropriate “enforceable commitments” from the State to monitor emission reductions achieved and to rectify

<sup>6</sup> See, e.g., CAA sections 110(a)(2)(A), 172(c)(6), and 183(e)(4).

<sup>7</sup> 59 FR 16690 (April 7, 1994), codified at 40 CFR part 51, subpart U and EPA, “Improving Air Quality with Economic Incentive Programs,” January 2001 (“2001 EIP Guidance”). A “discretionary economic incentive program” is “any EIP submitted to the EPA as an implementation plan revision for purposes other than to comply with the statutory requirements of sections 182(g)(3), 182(g)(5), 187(d)(3), or 187(g) of the Act.” 40 CFR 51.491.

<sup>1</sup> 62 FR 38856 (July 18, 1997).

<sup>2</sup> 40 CFR 81.305, 69 FR 23858, 23888–89 (April 30, 2004).

<sup>3</sup> 75 FR 24409 (May 5, 2010).

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., 77 FR 12674 (March 1, 2012), 79 FR 52539 (September 3, 2014).

<sup>5</sup> 40 CFR 81.305, 77 FR 30088 (May 21, 2012), and 83 FR 25776 (June 4, 2018).

shortfalls in a timely manner.<sup>8</sup> The EPA has also consistently stated that, where a state intends to rely on a nontraditional program to satisfy CAA requirements, the state must demonstrate that the program achieves emission reductions that are quantifiable, surplus, enforceable, and permanent.<sup>9</sup>

Guidance documents that we use to evaluate discretionary EIPs and other nontraditional emission reduction programs include the following:

- “Guidance on Incorporating Voluntary Mobile Source Emission Reduction Programs in State Implementation Plans (SIPs),” Richard D. Wilson, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, October 24, 1997 (“1997 VMEP”).

- “Improving Air Quality with Economic Incentive Programs” January 2001 (EPA-452/R-01-001) (“2001 EIP Guidance”).

- “Incorporating Emerging and Voluntary Measure in a State Implementation Plan (SIP),” Stephen D. Page, OAQPS, October 4, 2004 (“2004 Emerging and Voluntary Measures Guidance”).

- “Guidance on Incorporating Bundled Measures in a State Implementation Plan,” Stephen D. Page, OAQPS, and Margo Oge, OTAQ, August 16, 2005 (“2005 Bundled Measures Guidance”).

- “Diesel Retrofits: Quantifying and Using Their Emission Benefits in SIPs and Conformity: Guidance for State and Local Air and Transportation Agencies,” February 2014 (EPA-420-B-14-007) (“2014 Diesel Retrofits Guidance”).

#### *B. Does the measure meet the evaluation criteria?*

The South Coast Incentive Measure contains clear, mandatory obligations that are enforceable against CARB and ensure that information about the emission reductions achieved through the program will be readily available to the public through CARB’s submission of annual reports to the EPA. Our approval of the South Coast Incentive Measure would make these obligations enforceable by the EPA and by citizens under the CAA. The South Coast Incentive Measure obligates the State to implement a program that achieves quantifiable, surplus, permanent, and enforceable NO<sub>x</sub> emission reductions and does not alter any existing SIP

requirements. Our approval of this measure would strengthen the SIP and would not interfere with applicable requirements concerning attainment and reasonable further progress or other CAA requirements, consistent with the requirements of CAA section 110(l). Section 193 of the CAA does not apply to this action because this measure does not modify any SIP control requirement that was in effect before November 15, 1990.

We are proposing to find that the South Coast Incentive Measure satisfies CAA requirements for enforceability, SIP revisions, and nontraditional emission reduction programs as interpreted in EPA guidance documents. The TSD contains more information on our evaluation of this measure.

#### *C. Proposed Action and Request for Public Comment*

The EPA proposes to fully approve the submitted measure under CAA section 110(k)(3) based on a conclusion that the measure satisfies all applicable requirements. We will accept comments from the public on this proposal until May 28, 2019. If we take final action to approve the submitted measure, our final action will incorporate this measure into the federally enforceable SIP.

### **III. Incorporation by Reference**

In this rule, the EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference the CARB measure described in Table 1 of this preamble. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and at the EPA Region IX Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

### **IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this proposed action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

#### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

<sup>8</sup> See, e.g., EPA, “Guidance on Incorporating Voluntary Mobile Source Emission Reduction Programs in State Implementation Plans (SIPs),” Richard D. Wilson, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, October 24, 1997 (“1997 VMEP”), 4–5.

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., 2001 EIP Guidance, section 4.1.

Dated: April 4, 2019.

**Deborah Jordan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 2019-08308 Filed 4-24-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2019-0068; FRL-9992-70-Region 9]

#### Determination of Attainment by the Attainment Date; 2006 24-Hour Fine Particulate Matter National Ambient Air Quality Standard; Pinal County, Arizona

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to determine that the West Central Pinal County nonattainment area attained the 2006 24-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for particulate matter with a diameter of 2.5 micrometers or smaller (PM<sub>2.5</sub> or “fine particulate matter”) by December 31, 2017, the statutory attainment date for the area. The proposal is based on the three-year average of annual 98th percentile 24-hour concentrations for the 2015–2017 period, using complete, quality-assured, and certified PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring data.

**DATES:** Written comments must arrive on or before May 28, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2019-0068 at <https://www.regulations.gov>. For comments submitted at *Regulations.gov*, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerry Wamsley, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4111, [wamsley.jerry@epa.gov](mailto:wamsley.jerry@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. Background and Regulatory Context
- II. Criteria for Determining That an Area Has Attained the 2006 24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS
- III. The EPA’s Proposed Action and Associated Rationale
  - A. Data Completeness, Network Review, and Certification of Data
  - B. State and Local Air Monitoring Stations Site Replacement
  - C. Determination of Attainment
- IV. Summary of Our Proposed Action
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background and Regulatory Context

This proposed action is related to the ongoing efforts of states and the EPA to implement the PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. Since the EPA’s initial promulgation of the NAAQS to address fine particulate matter, there have been significant rulemaking and litigation developments that affect these ongoing efforts. To clarify the proper application of the statutory and regulatory requirements to this action, the EPA is providing a detailed explanation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> implementation efforts, nationally and in West Central Pinal County, Arizona.

On July 18, 1997, the EPA established the first NAAQS for PM<sub>2.5</sub> (“the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standards”), including an annual standard of 15.0 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) based on a three-year average of annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, and a 24-hour (or daily) standard of 65 µg/m<sup>3</sup> based on a three-year average of the 98th percentile of 24-hour concentrations.<sup>1</sup> The EPA established the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standards based on significant evidence and numerous health studies demonstrating the serious health effects associated with exposures to PM<sub>2.5</sub>. To provide guidance on the Clean Air Act (CAA) requirements for state and tribal implementation plans to implement the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Standards, the EPA promulgated the “Final Clean Air Fine Particle Implementation Rule” in October 2007 (hereinafter, the “2007 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Implementation Rule”).<sup>2</sup> The Natural Resources Defense Council

(NRDC) subsequently filed a petition for review challenging certain aspects of this rule.

On October 17, 2006, the EPA strengthened the 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS by revising it to 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and retained the level of the annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard at 15.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.<sup>3</sup> Following promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, the EPA is required by the CAA to promulgate designations for areas throughout the U.S. in accordance with section 107(d)(1) of the CAA. On November 13, 2009, the EPA designated 31 areas across the U.S. with respect to the revised 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS, requiring states to prepare and submit attainment plans to meet those NAAQS.<sup>4</sup> At the time of the 2009 designations, the states and the EPA were operating under the interpretations of the CAA set forth in the 2007 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Implementation Rule, which covered issues such as the timing of attainment plan submissions, the content of attainment plan submissions, and the relevant attainment dates. The EPA deferred making a PM<sub>2.5</sub> designation for Pinal County, Arizona in its November 13, 2009 designations action.

On February 3, 2011, the EPA designated a portion of state lands in Pinal County, Arizona (“West Central Pinal County”) as nonattainment for the 2006 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS based on 2006–2008 data.<sup>5</sup> For more information on our designation of West Central Pinal County, see the February 3, 2011 final rule.<sup>6</sup> On October 26, 2012, the EPA designated nearby areas of Indian country of the Ak-Chin Indian Community and the Gila River Indian Community, which lie within the 2009 deferred area, as “unclassifiable/attainment” for the 2006 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS based on improved air quality.<sup>7</sup> These areas of Indian country are not addressed in this proposal.

On March 2, 2012, the EPA issued its “Implementation Guidance for the 2006 24-Hour Fine Particle (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standards” to provide guidance to states on the development of attainment plans to demonstrate attainment with the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS (“March 2012 Implementation Guidance”).<sup>8</sup> This

<sup>3</sup> 71 FR 61144 (October 17, 2006).

<sup>4</sup> 74 FR 58688 (November 13, 2009).

<sup>5</sup> 76 FR 6056 (February 3, 2011).

<sup>6</sup> The boundaries for the West Central Pinal County nonattainment area are described in 40 CFR 81.303.

<sup>7</sup> 77 FR 65310 (October 26, 2012).

<sup>8</sup> Memorandum dated March 2, 2012, from Stephen D. Page, Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, to EPA Regional Air Directors, Regions I–X, “Implementation Guidance for the 2006 24-Hour Fine Particle (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National

<sup>1</sup> 62 FR 38652 (July 18, 1997).

<sup>2</sup> 72 FR 20586 (April 25, 2007).